Monetary policy and quantitative easing in an open economy

> Udara Peiris and Herakles Polemarchakis Discussion by Stephane Guibaud (LSE)

Second International Moscow Finance Conference November 2012

1/17

## A tough discussion

I agonized over the paper.

- Ambitious, dense, written for the happy few.
- Builds on earlier work by Polemarchakis and coauthors.
- Inconsistent notations, patchwork, many typos.
- I almost understand the structure of the model and the derivations, but still struggling with the economic intuitions.
- I believe it is a great framework to study many interesting issues in an open monetary economy setting.

### Plan for the discussion

- My (imperfect) understanding of the paper
  - Setup
  - Results
- A few comments

# Setup

- Three dates t = 0, 1, (2).
- Two countries i = H, F.
- One good.
- Linear production technology:  $y_t^i = \ell_t^i$ .
- Country-specific fiat money introduced through CIA constraint.
  - Price level p<sub>it</sub>.
- Uncertainty at t = 1 described by state s, probabilities f(s).
- Nominal assets
  - At t = 0, complete set of one-period, nominal, state-contingent securities, with prices q<sub>i</sub>(s).
  - At t = 1, non-contingent one-period nominal bond in each country.
- ▶ No friction on international trade in good, assets, currencies.

## Households

- ► At t = 0
  - Start with exogenous nominal wealth.
  - Trade in money and state-contingent nominal securities
  - Buy good under CIA constraint
  - Produce y<sub>0</sub><sup>i</sup>, sell in domestic currency, carry monetary proceeds to the next period
- ► At t = 1
  - Trade in money and one-period nominal bonds.
  - Buy good under CIA constraint
  - Produce  $y_1^i(s)$ , carry monetary proceeds to the next period
- ► At t = 2
  - End with zero nominal wealth.

#### Households

- If monetary policy sets positive nominal interest rates r<sub>i0</sub>, {r<sub>i1(s)</sub>}, then CIA binding.
- Intertemporal budget constraint:

$$p_{i0}c_0^i + \sum_s q_i(s)p_{i1}(s)c_1^i(s) \le w_0^i + rac{p_{i0}y_0^i}{1+r_{i0}} + \sum_s q_i(s)rac{p_{i1}(s)y_1^i(s)}{1+r_{i1(s)}}$$

- Nominal interest rate r<sub>it</sub> works as a tax on production and drives marginal rate of substitution between consumption and leisure.
  - Higher *r<sub>it</sub>* results in lower production at date *t*.

#### Households

Preferences:

$$u(c_0^i, \ell_0^i) + \beta \sum_s f(s)u[c_1^i(s), \ell_1^i(s)].$$

Utility function over consumption and labor, e.g.,

$$u(c,\ell) = rac{c^{1-
ho}}{1-
ho} + rac{(ar{\ell}-\ell)^{1-
ho}}{1-
ho}.$$

7/17

#### Monetary/fiscal authority Budget constraints

Starts with net nominal liabilities W<sup>i</sup><sub>0</sub>

$$W_0^i = M_0^i - \sum_i q_i(s) B_0^i(s).$$

• Flow budget constraint at t = 1 in state s

$$\frac{B_1^i(s)}{1+r_{i1}(s)}=B_0^i(s)+M_1^i(s)-M_0^i.$$

• Zero net liabilities at t = 2 imposes  $B_1^i(s) = M_1^i(s)$  for all s.

- Monetary/fiscal authority in country i sets
  - nominal interest rates  $r_{i0}$ ,  $\{r_{i1}(s)\}$ ,
  - composition of its bond holdings, i.e., set of weights

$$\xi^{i}(s) = rac{B_{0}^{i}(s)}{\sum_{s'} B_{0}^{i}(s')}$$

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト 二日

9/17

# Equilibrium

Taking government policies as given, determine

- price level  $p_{i0}, p_{i1}(s)$ ,
- exchange rate  $e_0, e_1(s)$  pinned down by LoOP,
- security prices q<sub>i</sub>(s),
- consumption and production  $c_0^i, c_1^i(s), y_0^i, y_1^i(s)$ ,
- money holdings and money supplies  $m_{i0}^i, m_{i1}^i(s), M_0^i, M_1^i(s)$ ,

• household bond holdings  $b_{j0}^{i}(s), b_{j1}^{i}(s)$ .

## Result 1: determinacy vs. indeterminacy

- Entire path of the price level uniquely determined.
- Contrast with QE type of monetary policy.
  - ► Indeterminacy under QE is an unappealing feature.

#### Result 2: Bad state of the world

- From now on, suppose  $r_{F1}(s)$  independent of s.
- Let (s, s') such that  $r_{H1}(s) > r_{H1}(s')$ , then

$$egin{array}{rcl} y_1^i(s) &< y_1^i(s') \ c_1^i(s) &< c_1^i(s') \end{array}$$

Determines real risk premia.

# Risk premia under alternative policy regimes

- Study implications of three types of monetary/fiscal policies for bond and currency risk premia.
- Three alternative policies:
  - Price stability (inflation targeting), i.e., policy such that

$$p_{H1}(s) = p_{H1} \quad \forall s.$$

Monetary stability (nominal GDP targeting), i.e., policy such that

$$M_1^H(s) = M_1^H \quad \forall s.$$

'Financial stability', where

$$\xi^{H}(s) = rac{1}{S} \quad orall s.$$

Determinacy is guaranteed under all three policy types.

Result 3: AD prices and nominal yield curve

Euler equation (state-by-state)

$$q_H(s) = eta f(s) rac{p_{H0}}{p_{H1}(s)} \left(rac{c_0^H}{c_1^H(s)}
ight)^
ho.$$

Implication for nominal yield curve at t = 0 via no-arbitrage pricing of nominal, two-period, non-contingent bond.

#### Result 4: Currency risk premia

 Exchange rate (price of foreign currency in terms of domestic currency)

$$e_0 = rac{p_{H0}}{p_{F0}}, \qquad e_1(s) = rac{p_{H1}(s)}{p_{F1}(s)}.$$

 Forward exchange rate pinned down by covered interest rate parity

$$x_0 = e_0 \frac{1 + r_{H0}}{1 + r_{F0}}.$$

- Study the sign of  $x_0 \sum_s f(s)e_1(s)$ .
- Can potentially account for failure of UIP (aka 'forward premium puzzle').

# Comments (1)

- Major need of rewriting, merge two parts (ideally in infinite horizon setup), clean the proofs, and give intuitions about economic mechanisms.
- Do not assume too much prior knowledge from the reader, e.g., carefully define 'Non-Ricardian fiscal policy'.
- Discuss more the link between QE in practice and the way it is captured in the model.
- Better discuss differences with Dupor (JME, 2000).
- Describe full construction of equilibrium (numerical algorithm used for computation).
- Say something about the mapping between policy objectives and the {ξ<sup>i</sup>(s)} that implement them.
- Give better characterization of current account, and emphasize more the results that are specific to the open-economy setting.

# Comments (2)

- ▶ Here LoOP in good market implies RER=1.
  - Extension with differentiated goods and home bias in preferences.
- How crucial is the assumption that production has to be sold for domestic currency?
- For conceptual clarity, it would help to distinguish central bank from fiscal authority.
  - Government taxes and debt issuance, central bank holds government debt.
  - Under what conditions are we fine considering just one monetary/fiscal authority? Game government vs. central banks?
- Would be nice to extend this setup to analyze the impacts of central bank foreign reserves policy.