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Stock Price Dynamics

\[ dX_t = a(X_t, t)dt + b(X_t, t)dW_t \]

Log DAX

d-fine, 2002
**Stock Price Dynamics**

**NIG Lévy Asset Price Model**

Normal Inverse Gaussian Process (NIG) is the subclass of generalized hyperbolic laws and has the following representation:

\[
f_{NIG}(x; \alpha, \beta, \delta, \mu) = \alpha \exp\left\{ \delta \sqrt{\alpha^2 - \beta^2} + \beta (x - \mu) \right\} \frac{K_1(\alpha \sqrt{\delta^2 + (x - \mu)^2})}{\sqrt{\delta^2 + (x - \mu)^2}},
\]

where

\[
K_1(y) = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^\infty \exp(t + \frac{y^2}{4t}) t^{-2} dt.
\]

Ö. Önal, 2006
Stock Price Dynamics

NIG Lévy Asset Price Model

(b) Simulated NIG Lévy process
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Lévy Processes

Some basic Definition:

A process is said to be càdlàg (“continue à droite, limite à gauche”) or RCLL (“right continuous with left limits”) is a function that is right-continuous and has left limits in every point. Càdlàg functions are important in the study of stochastic processes that admit (or even require) jumps, unlike Brownian motion, which has continuous sample paths.

A process is adapted if and only if, for every realisation and every \( n \), this process is known at time \( n \).
**Definition:** A cadlag adapted processes

\[ L = (L_t \mid t \geq 0) \]

defined on a probability space \((\Omega, F, P)\) is said to be a *Lévy processes*, if it possesses the following properties:
Lévy Processes

(i) \( P( L_0 = 0 ) = 1 \).

(ii) For \( 0 \leq s \leq t \), \( L_t - L_s \) is independent of \( F_s \),
i.e., \( L \) has independent increments.

(iii) For any \( 0 \leq s \leq t \), \( L_t - L_s \) is equal in distribution to \( L_{t-s} \)
(the distribution of \( L_{t+s} - L_s \) does not depend on \( t \));
\( L \) has stationary increments.

(iv) For every \( s, t \geq 0 \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \),
\( \lim_{s \to t} P( | L_t - L_s | > \varepsilon ) = 0 \),
i.e., \( L \) is stochastically continuous.

In the presence of (i), (ii), (iii), this is equivalent to the condition
\( \lim_{t \downarrow 0} P( | L_t | > \varepsilon ) = 0 \).
There is strong interplay between Lévy processes and infinitely divisible distributions.

**Proposition:** If \( L \) is a Lévy processes, then \( L_t \) is infinitely divisible for each \( t > 0 \).

**Proof:** For any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and any \( t \geq 0 \):

\[
L_t = L_{t/n} + \left( L_{2t/n} - L_{t/n} \right) + \ldots + \left( L_t - L_{(n-1)t/n} \right).
\]

Together with the stationarity and independence of increments we conclude that the random variable \( L_t \) is infinitely divisible.
Moreover, for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $t \geq 0$ we define

$$\Psi_t(u) := \ln E \left[ e^{iuL_t} \right];$$

hence, for rational $t > 0$:

$$t \Psi_1(u) = \Psi_t(u).$$
Lévy Processes

For every Lévy process, the following property holds:

\[
E\left[ e^{iuL_t} \right] = e^{t\Psi(u)}
\]

\[
= \exp\left[ t \left( i bu - \frac{u^2c}{2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( e^{iux} - 1 - iux1_{\{ |x| < 1 \}} \right) v(dx) \right) \right],
\]

where \( \Psi(u) = \Psi_1(u) \) is the characteristic exponent of \( L_1 = X \).
The triplet \((b, c, \nu)\) is called the \textit{Lévy} or \textit{characteristic triplet} and

\[
\Psi(u) = iu b - \frac{u^2 c}{2} + \int \left( e^{iux} - 1 - iux 1_{\{|x|<1\}} \right) \nu(dx)
\]

is called the \textit{Lévy} or \textit{characteristic exponent},

where \(b \in \mathbb{R} \) : \textit{drift term},

\(c \in \mathbb{R}^+\) : \textit{diffusion coefficient} and

\(\nu\) : \textit{Lévy measure}.
The Lévy measure is a measure on $\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$ which satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( |x|^2 \land 1 \right) \nu(dx) < \infty.$$ 

- This means that a Lévy measure has no mass at the origin, but infinitely many jumps can occur around the origin.
- The Lévy measure describes the expected number of jumps of a certain size in a time in interval length 1.
The sums of all jumps smaller than some $\varepsilon > 0$ does not converge. However, the sum of the jumps compensated by their mean does converge. This peculiarity leads to the necessity of the compensator term $iux1_{\{x<1\}}$.

If the Lévy measure is of the form $v(dx) = f(x)dx$, then $f(x)$ is called the Lévy density.

In the same way as the instant volatility describes the local uncertainty of a diffusion, the Lévy density describes the local uncertainty of a pure jump process.

The Lévy-Khintchine Formula allows us to study the distributional properties of a Lévy process.
Lévy Processes

- Another key concept, the *Lévy-Ito Decomposition Theorem*, allows one to describe the structure of a Lévy process sample path.

**Lévy-Ito Decomposition Theorem:**

Let $X$ be a Lévy process, the distribution of $X_1$ parametrized by $(\beta, \sigma^2, \nu)$. Then $X$ decomposes as

$$X_t = \beta t + \sigma W_t + J_t + M_t,$$

where $W_t$ is a Brownian motion, and $\Delta X_t = X_t - X_{t-} \ (t \geq 0)$ is an independent Poisson point process with intensity measure $\nu$, $J_t = \sum_{s \leq t} \Delta X_s 1_{\{\Delta X_s > 1\}}$ and $M_t$ is a martingale with jumps $\Delta M_t = \Delta X_t 1_{\{\Delta X_t \leq 1\}}$. 


**Basic Idea**

\[ X(k+1) = M_{s(k)} X(k) + C_{s(k)} \]

**Excursion:**

Hybrid Systems

\[ s(k) := F_B Q(X(k-1)) \]

\[ Q_i(X(k)) := \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } X_i(k) > \theta_i \\
0 & \text{otherwise} 
\end{cases} \]
Excursion: Hybrid Systems under Interval Uncertainty

\[
\min_{(m_{ij}^*), (c_{i\ell}^*), (d_i^*)} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{l^*-1} \left\| M^* \bar{E}_{\kappa_\alpha} + C^* E_{\kappa_\alpha} + D^* - \dot{E}_{\kappa_\alpha} \right\|_2^2
\]

subject to

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{ij}(m_{ij}^*, y) \leq \alpha_j(y) \quad (j = 1, \ldots, n)
\]

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i\ell}(c_{i\ell}^*, y) \leq \beta_\ell(y) \quad (\ell = 1, \ldots, m)
\]

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i(d_i^*, y) \leq \gamma(y)
\]

\[
m_{ii} \geq \delta_{i,\min} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n)
\]

& overall box constraints
Excursion: Hybrid Systems

Generalized Semi-Infinite Programming

\[ P_{gSIT}(f, h, g, u, v) \]
\[ C^2 \]

Minimize \( f(x) \) on \( M_{gSIT}[h, g] \), where

\[ M_{gSIT}[h, g] := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid h_i(x) = 0 \ (i \in I), \]
\[ g(x, y) \geq 0 \ (y \in Y(x)) \} \]

\[ Y(x) = M_{\mathcal{F}}[u(x, \cdot), v(x, \cdot)] \]

\[ := \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^q \mid u_k(x, y) = 0 \ (k \in K), \ v_\ell(x, y) \geq 0 \ (\ell \in L) \} \]

\( I, K, L \) finite
Excursion:
Hybrid Systems

Generalized Semi-Infinite Programming

\[ \exists O_{(f, h, g, u, v)} \quad \forall (\tilde{f}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in O_{(f, h, g, u, v)} \]

\[ \exists \psi(\cdot), \varphi(\cdot, \cdot) \in C^0 : \]

\[ M_{GSI}[h, g, u, v] \]

\[ \varphi(\cdot, \tau) \quad \text{homeom.} \]

\[ M_{GSI}[\tilde{h}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{v}] \]

\[ \Leftrightarrow : P_{GSI}(f, h, g, u, v) \quad \text{structurally stable} \]
Stochastic Hybrid Model I

\[ (X(t), \theta(t))_{t \in [0, \infty)} : \]

\[ X(t) \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \theta(t) \in \Theta = \{0, 1, \ldots, N\}, \quad \text{where} \]

- \( \theta(t) \) is a pure jump process taking values in \( \Theta \),
- \( (X(t), \theta(t)) \) is a switching jump-diffusion between jumps.

M.K. Ghosh and A. Bagchi, 2004
The asset price dynamics is given by the following system:

\[ \begin{cases} 
  dX(t) = \mu(X(t), \theta(t))dt + \sigma(X(t), \theta(t))dW(t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(X(t^-), \theta(t^-), u)N(dt, du), \\
  d\theta(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(X(t^-), \theta(t^-), u)N(dt, du), 
\end{cases} \tag{1} \]

for \( t \geq 0, \)

\[ X(0) = X_0, \quad \theta(0) = \theta_0. \]

The price process \( X(t) \) switches from one jump-diffusion path to another as the discrete component \( \theta(t) \) moves from one step to another.
Stochastic Control of Hybrid Systems

\[ h : \mathbb{R}^d \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N \] is defined as

\[ h(x, i, u) = \begin{cases} 
  j - i, & \text{if } u \in \Delta_{ij}(x), \\
  0, & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases} \]

\( N(\cdot) \): Poisson random measure,

\( \tilde{N}(\cdot) \): Poisson process on \((\Omega, F, P)\) corresponding to the given Poisson random measure,

\( D_{\tilde{N}} \): domain of \( \tilde{N}(\cdot) \),

\[ D = \left\{ t \in D_{\tilde{N}} \mid \tilde{N}(t) \in U \right\}, \]

\[ F = \sigma \{ W(s), N(A, B) \mid s \leq t, A \in \mathcal{B}([0,T]), B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \} \]
Stochastic Control of Hybrid Systems

Under boundedness, measurability and Lipschitz conditions a pathwise unique solution of (1) exists.

Considering the SDE

\[ Y(t) = X_0 + \int_0^t \mu(Y(s), \theta_0) \, ds + \int_0^t \sigma(Y(s), \theta_0) \, dW(s), \]

the unique solution \( \{X_1(t), \theta_1(t)\}_{t \in [0, \tau_1]} \) in time interval \([0, \tau_1]\) is found as

\[ X_1(t) = \begin{cases} Y(t), & \text{if } 0 \leq t < \tau_1, \\ Y(\tau_1) + g(Y(\tau_1), \theta_0, \tilde{N}(\tau_1)), & \text{if } t = \tau_1, \end{cases} \]

\[ \theta_1(t) = \begin{cases} \theta_0, & \text{if } 0 \leq t < \tau_1, \\ \theta_0 + h(Y(\tau_1), \theta_0, \tilde{N}(\tau_1)), & \text{if } t = \tau_1, \end{cases} \]

M.K. Ghosh and A. Bagchi, 2004
Merton’s Consumption Investment Problem

An investor with a finite lifetime must determine the amounts $c_t$ that will be consumed and the fraction of wealth $\pi_t$ that will be invested in a stock portfolio, so as to maximize expected lifetime utility.

Assuming a relative consumption rate

$$\lambda(t) = \frac{c(t)}{X^{(c,\pi)}(t)},$$

the wealth process evolves with the following SDE:

$$d^- X^{(\lambda,\pi)}(t, \theta_t) = X^{(\lambda,\pi)}(t, \theta_t) \left[ \left\{ r(t, \theta_t) - \lambda(t, \theta_t) + (\mu(X_t^{(\lambda,\pi)}, \theta_t) - r(t, \theta_t))\pi(t, \theta_t) \right\} dt ight.$$

$$\left. + \sigma(X_t^{(\lambda,\pi)}, \theta_t)\pi(t, \theta_t) d^- B(t) + \pi(t, \theta_t) \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} g(X_t^{(\lambda,\pi)}, \theta_t, u) N(dt^-, du) \right].$$

D. David and Y. Yolcu Okur, 2009
Merton’s Consumption Investment Problem

The objective is

\[
\max_{(c, \pi)} E \left[ \int_0^T U(c_t, s) ds + g(X^{(c, \pi)}(T), T) \right]
\]

subject to \( c(t) \geq 0, \; X^{(c, \pi)}(t) > 0 \; (t \in [0, T]), \; X^{(c, \pi)}(0) = \nu. \)

Assuming a **logarithmic utility function**, which is **iso-elastic**, the optimization problem becomes

\[
\max_{(c, \pi)} E \left[ \int_0^T e^{-\delta(t)} \ln c(t) dt + Ke^{-\delta(T)} \ln X^{(c, \pi)}(T) \right]
\]

....

D. David and Y. Yolcu Okur, 2009
Merton’s Consumption Investment Problem

We assume a constant relative risk aversion (CRRA) utility function

\[ U(x, t) = \frac{x^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}, \]

where \( \gamma \in (0, \infty) \setminus \{1\} \).

Hence, the objective takes the following form

\[
\max_{(c, \pi)} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T e^{-\delta s} U(c(s), s) ds + e^{-\delta T} U(X^{(c, \pi)}(T), T) \right] \tag{2}
\]

subject to \( c(t) \geq 0, \ X^{(c, \pi)}(t) > 0 \ (t \in [0, T]), \ X^{(c, \pi)}(0) = \nu. \)
In applying the **dynamic programming** approach, we solve the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation associated with the utility maximization problem (2).

From (W. Fleming and R. Rishel, 1975) we have that the corresponding **HJB equation** is given by

\[
\rho J (\nu, t) = \max_{c_t \geq 0, \pi_t \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ U (c_t) + J_t (\nu, t) + J_{\nu} (\nu, t) \left( \nu \left[ \pi_t^T (\mu - r) + r \right] - c_t \right) + \frac{1}{2} J_{\nu \nu} (\nu, t) \nu^T \pi_t \sigma \sigma^T \pi_t \right\}
\]

subject to the terminal condition \( J (\nu, T) = u (\nu) \), where \( J \) the **value function** is given by

\[
J (\nu, t) = \sup_{(c, \pi)} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_t^T e^{-\delta s} U (c_s, s) ds + e^{-\delta T} U (X^{c, \pi} (T), T) \right].
\]
In solving the HJB equation (3), the static optimization problem

$$\max_{c_t \geq 0, \pi_t \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ U(c_t) + J_v(v,t) \left( v \left[ \pi_t^T (\mu - r) + r \right] - c_t \right) + \frac{1}{2} J_{vv}(v,t) v^2 \pi_t^T \sigma \sigma^T \pi_t \right\}$$

can be handled separately to reduce the HJB equation (3) to a nonlinear partial differential equation of $J$ only.

Introducing the Lagrange function as

$$L \left( \pi(v,t), c(v,t), \tilde{\lambda}(v,t) \right) = J_v(v,t) \left( v \left[ \pi_t^T (\mu - r) + r - c_t \right] \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} v^2 \| \pi_t^T \sigma \|_2^2 J_{vv}(v,t) + u(c_t) - \tilde{\lambda}(v,t) c_t,$$ (4)

where $\tilde{\lambda}$ is the Lagrange multiplier.
Simultaneous resolution of these first-order conditions yields the optimal solutions \( \pi^{opt}, c^{opt} \) and \( \tilde{\lambda}^{opt} \).

Substituting these into (3) gives the PDE

\[
-\delta J(v,t) + \frac{c^{opt}(v,t)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} + J_t(v,t) + J_v(v,t) \left( v \left[ \left( \pi^{opt}(v,t) \right)^T (\mu - r) + r \right] - c^{opt}(v,t) \right) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} J_{vv}(v,t) v^2 \left( \pi^{opt}(v,t) \right)^T \sigma \sigma^T \left( \pi^{opt}(v,t) \right) = 0,
\]

with terminal condition

\[
J(v,T) = e^{-\delta T} \frac{v^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma},
\]

which can then be solved for the optimal value function \( J^{opt}(v,T) \).
Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman Equation

Because of the nonlinearity in $\pi^{opt}$ and $c^{opt}$, the first-order conditions together with the HJB equation are a nonlinear system.

So the PDE equation (5) has no analytic solution and numerical methods such as *Newton’s method* or *Sequential Quadratic Programming (SQP)* are required to solve for $\pi^{opt}(\nu,t)$, $c^{opt}(\nu,t)$, $\tilde{\lambda}^{opt}(\nu,t)$ and $J^{opt}(\nu,t)$ iteratively.

D. Akume and G.-W. Weber, 2010
Bubbles, Jumps and Insiders

Notation:

\((\Omega, F, P), \quad (\Omega, P) = (\Omega_B \times \Omega_\eta, P_B \times P_\eta),\)

\[ \eta(t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{N}(dt, dz) \geq 0, \quad \tilde{N}(dt, dz) = (N - v_F)(dt, dz) = N(dt, dz) - v_F(dz)dt, \]

\[ F_t^B := \sigma\{B(s) \mid s < t, t \in [0,T]\} \lor N, \quad F_t^{\tilde{N}} := \sigma\{\tilde{N}(\Delta) \mid \Delta \in B(\mathbb{R}_0 \times (0,t)), t \in [0,T]\} \lor N, \]

\[ F_t = F_t^B \otimes F_t^{\tilde{N}}, \]

\[ F_t \subseteq G_t \subseteq F \quad \forall t \in [0,T], \]

B. Oksendal

D. Akume and G.-W. Weber, 2010
Bubbles, Jumps and Insiders

\((\Omega, F, P), (\Omega, P) = (\Omega_B \times \Omega_\eta, P_B \times P_\eta)\),

\(\eta(t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} z \tilde{N}(dt, dz) \geq 0, \quad \tilde{N}(dt, dz) = (N - \nu_F)(dt, dz) = N(dt, dz) - \nu_F(dz)dt,\)

pure jump Lévy process           compensated Poisson random measure           Lévy measure

\[F^B_t := \sigma\left\{ B(s) \mid s < t, t \in [0,T]\right\} \vee N, \quad F^\tilde{N}_t := \sigma\left\{ \tilde{N}(\Delta) \mid \Delta \in B(\mathbb{R}_0 \times (0,t)), t \in [0,T]\right\} \vee N,\]

\[F_t = F^B_t \otimes F^\tilde{N}_t,\]

\[F_t \subseteq G_t \subseteq F \quad \forall t \in [0,T], \quad \text{Bubble}\]
Bubbles, Jumps and Insiders

\[ \int_0^\infty \varphi(t, \omega) \, d^{-}B(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_0^\infty \varphi(t, \omega) \frac{B(t + \varepsilon) - B(t)}{\varepsilon} \, dt \]

Forward Integrals

\[ \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \varphi(t, \omega) \tilde{N}(d^{-}t, dz) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^\infty \int_{U_n} \varphi(t, z) \tilde{N}(dt, dz) \]

\( (U_n \subset \mathbb{R}_0 \text{ compact, } U_n \subseteq U_{n+1} \ (n \in \mathbb{N}), \ \nu_F(U_n) < \infty, \ \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty U_n = \mathbb{R}_0) \)

\[ X(t) = X(0) + \int_0^t \alpha(s) \, ds + \int_0^t \beta(s) \, d^{-}B(s) + \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \gamma(s, z) \tilde{N}(d^{-}s, dz) \quad \forall t \in [0, T] \]

Forward Process

\[ d^{\cdot} X(t) = \alpha(t) \, dt + \beta(t) \, d^{-}B(t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \gamma(t, z) \tilde{N}(d^{-}t, dz) \]
If \( Y(t) = f(X(t)) \):

\[
d^-Y(t) = \left[ f''(X(t)) \alpha(t) + \frac{1}{2} f'''(X(t)) \alpha(t) \beta(t)^2 + \int_{R_0} \left\{ f(X(t^-) + \gamma(t, z)) \right. \right.
\]

\[
- f(X(t^-)) - f'(X(t^-)) \gamma(t, z) \nu_F (dz) \left. \left. \right] dt + f'(X(t)) \beta(t) d^-B(t) \right.
\]

\[
+ \int_{R_0} \left( f(X(t^-) + \gamma(t, z)) - f'(X(t^-)) \right) \tilde{N}(d^-t, dz). \]
\[ dS_0(t) = r(t)S_0(t)dt, \]
\[ S_0(0) = 1, \]

\[ dS_1(t) = S_1(t^-) \left[ \mu(t) dt + \sigma(t) dB(t) + \int_{0}^{\infty} \gamma(t, z) \tilde{N}(dt, dz) \right], \]
\[ S_1(0) > 0, \]

\[ d^- X^{(c,\pi)}(t) = X^{(c,\pi)}(t^-) \left[ \{ r(t) + (\mu(t) - r(t))\pi(t) \} dt + \sigma(t)\pi(t) d^- B(t) \right. \]
\[ \left. + \pi(t) \int_{0}^{\infty} \gamma(t, z) \tilde{N}(d^- t, dz) \right] - c(t) dt, \]
\[ X^{(c,\pi)}(0) = \nu. \]
Bubbles, Jumps and Insiders

If \( \lambda(t) = \frac{c(t)}{X^{(c,\pi)}(t)} \), then

\[
d^- X^{(c,\pi)}(t) = X^{(c,\pi)}(t^-) \left[ \{ r(t) - \lambda(t) + (\mu(t) - r(t))\pi(t) \} dt \right.
\]

\[
+ \sigma(t)\pi(t) d^- B(t) + \pi(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \gamma(t, z) \tilde{N}(d^- t, dz) \Bigg] ,
\]

\[
J(\lambda^*, \pi^*) := \sup_{(\lambda, \pi) \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{IE} \left[ \int_0^T e^{-\delta(t)} \ln \left( \lambda(t) X^{(c,\pi)}(t) \right) dt + K e^{-\delta(T)} \ln X^{(c,\pi)}(T) \right].
\]
Bubbles, Jumps and Insiders

Brownian Motion Case

\( \gamma(t, z) = 0, \quad \sigma(t) \neq 0 \) for almost all \((t, z)\)

\( \pi^*_i \): optimal portfolios of the insider (generator of a bubble),

\( \pi^*_h \): optimal portfolios of the uninformed (honest) agent

\[
\pi^*_i(t) = \frac{\mu(t) - r(t)}{\sigma(t)^2} + \frac{\alpha(t)}{\sigma(t)} \quad \pi^*_h(t) = \frac{\mu(t) - r(t)}{\sigma(t)^2}
\]

\[
\lambda^*_i(t) = \lambda^*_h(t) = \frac{e^{-\delta(t)}}{\int_t^T e^{-\delta(s)} ds + Ke^{-\delta(T)}}
\]

\[
J_i(c_{\lambda^*_i}, \pi^*_i) = J_h(c_{\lambda^*_h}, \pi^*_h) + \frac{1}{2} \text{IE} \left[ \int_0^T e^{-\delta(t)} \int_0^t \sigma(s)^2 \, ds \, dt \right] + \frac{1}{2} K \text{IE} \left[ e^{-\delta(T)} \int_0^T \sigma(s)^2 \, ds \right]
\]
Bubbles, Jumps and Insiders

Mixed Case

\( \gamma(t, z) = z, \quad \sigma(t) \neq 0 \) for almost all \((t, z)\)

\[ G'_t = F_t \vee \sigma(B(T_0), \eta(T_0)), \quad T_0 > T \]

Assumptions:

1. Uninformed agent has access to filtration \( F_t \subseteq G_t \subseteq G'_t \) \((t \in [0, T])\),

2. Lévy measure \( \nu_F \) is given by \( \nu_F(ds, dz) = \rho \delta_1(dz) ds \) \((\delta_1(dz) : \text{unit point mass at 1})\),

3. \( \eta(t) \) is given by \( \eta(t) = Q(t) - \rho t \) \((Q : \text{Poisson process of intensity } \rho)\).

\[
\pi^*_i(t) = \pi^*_h(t) + \frac{\zeta(t)}{\sigma(t)} \quad \pi^*_h(t) = \frac{\mu(t) - r(t)}{\sigma(t)^2} - \frac{\rho}{\sigma(t)^2} \quad \lambda^*_i(t) = \lambda^*_h(t) = \frac{e^{-\delta(t)}}{\int_0^T e^{-\delta(s)} ds + Ke^{-\delta(T)}}
\]

\[
\zeta(t) = \frac{1}{2\sigma(t)} \left[ -\mu(t) + r(t) + \rho + \sigma(t)\alpha(t) - \sigma(t)^2 \right. \\
\left. + \sqrt{\left( \mu(t) - r(t) - \rho + \sigma(t)\alpha(t) + \sigma(t)^2 \right) + 4\sigma(t)^2 \theta(t)} \right]
\]

\[
\alpha(t) = \frac{\text{IE} \left[ B(T_0) - B(t) \mid G_t \right]}{T_0 - t} \\
\theta(t) = \frac{\text{IE} \left[ Q(T_0) - Q(t) \mid G_t \right]}{T_0 - t}
\]
Bubbles, Jumps and Insiders

Mixed Case

\[ J_i (c_{\lambda_i}, \pi_i^*) = J_h (c_{\lambda_h}, \pi_h^*) + \text{IE} \left[ \int_0^T e^{-\delta(t)} \int_0^t \left( -\frac{1}{2} \zeta(s)^2 + \zeta(s)\alpha(s) \right) ds \right. \]

\[ + \text{IE} \left[ \int_0^T e^{-\delta(t)} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{z\zeta(s)\sigma(s)}{\sigma(s)^2 + (\mu(s) - r(s) - \rho)z} \right) \nu_G(ds, dz) dt \right] \]

\[ + K \text{IE} \left[ e^{-\delta(T)} \int_0^T \left( -\frac{1}{2} \zeta(s)^2 + \zeta(s)\alpha(s) \right) ds \right] \]

\[ + K \text{IE} \left[ e^{-\delta(T)} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{z\zeta(s)\sigma(s)}{\sigma(s)^2 + (\mu(s) - r(s) - \rho)z} \right) \nu_G(ds, dz) dt \right] \]

D. David and Y. Yolcu Okur, 2009
Stochastic Hybrid Model II

\[ dX(t) = b^n(X(t), \theta(t))dt + \sigma^n(X(t), \theta(t))dW^n(t), \]

\[ P(\theta(t + \delta t) = j \mid \theta(t) = i, X(s), \theta(s), s \leq t) = \lambda_{ij}^n(X(t))\delta t + O(\delta t) \quad \forall i \neq j, \]

\[ X(0) = X_0, \quad \theta(0) = \theta_0 \]
Stochastic Hybrid Model II

\[ F_t^n = \sigma \{ W^n(s), p(A, B) \mid s \leq t, \ A \in B ([0, t]), B \in B (\mathbb{R}) \}, \]

\[ \tau_n = \inf \{ t \geq 0 \mid X(t) \in A_n \}, \]

\[ F_t = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_t^n, \]

\[ 0 = \tau_0 < \tau_1 < \ldots < \tau_m < \ldots, \quad \tau_m \to \infty \ (m \to \infty), \]

\[ \eta(t) = n, \quad \text{if} \ (X(t), \theta(t)) \in S_n \times \Theta_n \]
Stochastic Control of Hybrid Systems

Stochastic Hybrid Model II

\[ dX(t) = \left( b(X(t), \theta(t), \eta(t)) + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( \tilde{g}_1(X(\tau_m^-), \theta(\tau_m^-), \eta(\tau_m^-)) - X(\tau_m^-) \right) \delta(t - \tau_m) \right) dt \]

\[ + \sigma(X(t), \theta(t), \eta(t))dW^{\eta(t)}(t), \]

\[ d\theta(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(X(t^-), \theta(t^-), \eta(t^-), u) p(dt, du) \]

\[ + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( \tilde{g}_2(X(\tau_m^-), \theta(\tau_m^-), \eta(\tau_m^-)) - \theta(\tau_m^-) \right) \delta(t - \tau_m) dt, \]

\[ d\eta(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( \tilde{h}(X(\tau_m^-), \theta(\tau_m^-), \eta(\tau_m^-)) - \eta(\tau_m^-) \right) I_{\{\tau_m \leq t\}} \]
CMARS Method

- CMARS uses expansions in piecewise linear basis functions of the form

\[ c^+(x, \tau) = [(x - \tau)]_+, \quad c^-(x, \tau) = [-(x - \tau)]_+. \]

Set of basis functions:

\[ \mathcal{\varphi} := \left\{ (X_j - \tau)_+, (\tau - X_j)_+ \mid \tau \in \{\tilde{x}_{1,j}, \tilde{x}_{2,j}, \ldots, \tilde{x}_{N,j}\}, \ j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, p\} \right\} \]
SDEs with Fractional Brownian Motion (fBm)

\[(SDE) \quad \dot{X}(t) = a(X,t) + b(X,t)\delta(t) \quad (t \in [0, \infty)),\]

- \(a\) : deterministic part, \(b\delta(t)\) : stochastic part, \(\delta(t)\) : generalized stochastic process.
- The system of stochastic differential equation is generated by fractional Brownian motion
  \[dX = a(X,t)dt + b(X,t)dW^H(t),\]
- \(X(t)\) : solution,
- \(W^H(t)\) : fBm(s) with Hurst parameter \(H\).
Let $H$ be between $(0,1)$. fBm $\left( W^H(t) \right)_{t \geq 0}$ with Hurst parameter $H$ is a continuous and centered Gaussian process with covariance function

$$\text{Cov} (W^H(t), W^H(s)) = \frac{1}{2} (s^{2H} + t^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}).$$

$s, t : \text{time}.$

The Hurst parameter $H$ of fBm explains the dependence of data:

- Observations with $H > \frac{1}{2}$ display long-range dependence ("positively correlated").
- Observations with $H < \frac{1}{2}$ display short-range dependence ("negatively correlated").
- For $H = \frac{1}{2}$, $W^H(t)$ is a standard Brownian motion.
Estimation of Hurst Parameter Using CMARS

- **penalized residual sum of squares** PRRS

\[
PRSS := \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \hat{X}_i - \left( \overline{G}_i + \overline{F}_i c_i \right) \right)^2 + \sum_{l=1}^{d_B} \lambda_l \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{2} \sum_{r<s} \int \alpha^2_l \left[ D_{r,s}^\alpha B_l (\overline{U}_B^l) \right]^2 d\overline{U}_B^l
\]

\[
+ \sum_{m=1}^{d_C} \mu_m \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{2} \sum_{r<s} \int \beta^2_m \left[ D_{r,s}^\alpha C_m (\overline{U}_C^m) \right]^2 d\overline{U}_C^m,
\]

- \( \lambda_l, \mu_m \geq 0 \) (*smoothing parameters, tradeoff*)
- **large** values of \( \lambda_l, \mu_m \) yield smoother curves and **smaller** ones result in more fluctuation.

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \hat{X}_i - \left( \overline{G}_i + \overline{F}_i c_i \right) \right)^2 =
\]

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \hat{X}_i - \left( \alpha_0 + \sum_{l=1}^{d_B} \alpha_l B_l (\overline{U}_{i,B}^l) + \beta_0 + \sum_{m=1}^{d_C} \beta_m C_m (\overline{U}_{i,C}^m) \right) \right)^2.
\]
• We reformulate our Tikhonov regularization as a CQP problem:

\[
\min_{t, \theta} t, \quad \text{subject to} \quad \| \hat{X} - \bar{A} \theta \|_2 \leq t, \quad \| L \theta \|_2 \leq \sqrt{M}.
\]

• To write the optimality condition for this problem, we reformulate our problem as

\[
\min_{t, \theta} t, \quad \text{such that} \quad \chi := \begin{pmatrix} 0_N^T & \bar{A} \\ 1 & 0_{T_{M_{\text{max}} + 1}}^T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t \\ \theta \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -\hat{X} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\eta := \begin{pmatrix} 0_{M_{\text{max}} + 1}^T \\ 0_{T_{M_{\text{max}} + 1}}^T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t \\ \theta \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0_{M_{\text{max}} + 1} \\ \sqrt{M} \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\chi \in L^{N+1}, \quad \eta \in L^{M_{\text{max}} + 2}.
\]
**Examples:** CMARS performances for fBm generated by $H=0.2$, $H=0.3$, $H=0.7$ and $H=0.8$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hurst index</th>
<th>Performance Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H = 0.1$</td>
<td>0.8766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H = 0.2$</td>
<td>0.6207*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H = 0.3$</td>
<td>0.8861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H = 0.4$</td>
<td>0.8770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H = 0.5$</td>
<td>0.8839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H = 0.1$</td>
<td>0.7138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H = 0.2$</td>
<td>0.7162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H = 0.3$</td>
<td>0.3606*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H = 0.4$</td>
<td>0.6926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H = 0.5$</td>
<td>0.7053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H = 0.5$</td>
<td>0.7031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H = 0.6$</td>
<td>0.7048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H = 0.7$</td>
<td>0.3634*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H = 0.8$</td>
<td>0.7041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H = 0.9$</td>
<td>0.7081</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* indicates better performance
Conclusion and Outlook

A main **superiority** of our approach others:

- it **not only** estimates the Hurst parameter **but also** finds spline parameters of the stochastic process,
- our representation of financial processes is **empowered** by all the modeling and numerical advantages of **CMARS**.

As a **future research**, we intend

- to give a further **integrated (model-based) identification** of **all** parameters,
- to extend this approach to **hybridicity and jumps**, 
- to do our study for the **multi-dimensional systems**,
- to further contribute to a deeper understanding of our modern financial markets, and in science and engineering, and to offer helpful mathematical decision tools there.
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**Excursion:**

**Hybrid Systems**

**Generalized Semi-Infinite Programming**

**Thm.** *(W. 1999/2003, 2006):*

Let Assumptions A and B hold for the generalized semi-infinite optimization problem $\mathcal{P}_{\text{GSI}}(f, h, g, u, v)$ (with convex functions).

Then, $\mathcal{P}_{\text{GSI}}(f, h, g, u, v)$ is **structurally stable** $\iff$

- $C_{\text{GSI}1}$.  **EMFCQ** holds for $M_{\text{GSI}}[h, g]$.

- $C_{\text{GSI}2}$.  All the $\mathcal{G}$-$\mathcal{O}$ Kuhn-Tucker points $x^u$ of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{GSI}}(f, h, g, u, v)$ are **strongly stable**.

- $C_{\text{GSI}3}$.  For each two different $\mathcal{G}$-$\mathcal{O}$ Kuhn-Tucker points $x^1 \neq x^2$ of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{GSI}}(f, h, g, u, v)$ the corresponding critical values are different (separate), too: $f(x^1) \neq f(x^2)$.

\[ \Box \]
Appendix:

Stochastic Control of SHS with Jumps

We define the SHSJ as follows:

\[(X, \Theta, U, \Omega, A, a, b, f, \delta, R, (x_0, \theta_0)), \quad (1)\]

where

- \(X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d\) is the continuous state space,
- \(\Theta = \{1, 2, \ldots, M\}\) is finite set of discrete states,
- \(U = \{U_\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta}\), where \(U_\theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m_\theta}\), is a finite family of sets of continuous controls,
- the partition of \(X\) is denoted by \(\Omega = \{\Omega_\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta}\), where \(\Omega_\theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d\),
- \(A = \{A_\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta}\), where \(A_\theta \subseteq \partial \Omega_\theta\), is the collection of switchings,
Appendix:

Stochastic Control of SHS with Jumps

- $a : \mathbb{R}^d \times \Theta \times U_\theta \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is a controlled drift term,
- $b : \mathbb{R}^d \times \Theta \times U_\theta \to \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ is a controlled diffusion term,
- $f : \mathbb{R}^d \times \Theta \times U_\theta \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a controlled jump function,
- $\delta : \Theta \times A \to \Theta$ is an autonomous switching map,
- $R : \Theta \times A \to P(X)$ is a reset map which assigns a reset probability kernel to each $x(\cdot) \in A_\theta$ and $\theta$ on $X$, where $P(X)$ is the family of probability measures on $X$, where $(x_0; \theta_0)$ is the pair of initial values on $X \times \Theta$. 
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Transition times $\{t_0 = 0, t_1, t_2, \ldots\}$ are defined as

$$t_{i+1} := \inf\{t > t_i : x_t \notin \Omega_{\theta_i}^0\}.$$ 

The state of the SHSJ at $t_i$ is given by

$$(x_i; \theta_i) = (x(t_i); \theta(t_i)),$$

where $x_i \in \Omega_{\theta_i}^0$. 


While $x(t)$ stays in $\Omega^0_{\theta_i}$, it evolves according to the SDE

$$dx_t = a(x(t), \theta(t), u(t))dt + b(x(t), \theta(t), u(t))dW_t + \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma} f(x(t), \theta(t), z, u(t))N(ds, dz),$$

where $W(\cdot)$ is a standard Wiener process and $N(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a Poisson random measure on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}$. 
When the switching occurs

- the new discrete state is $\theta_{i+1} = \delta(\theta_i, x(t_{i+1}^-))$,
- the new continuous state $x_{t_{i+1}}$ is determined according to $R(\theta_i, x_{t_{i+1}^-})(\Xi)$, where $\Xi \in \Omega_{\theta_{i+1}}$ is a measurable set.

$\Rightarrow x(t)$ evolves according to SDE (2) with $\theta(t) = \theta_{i+1}$ and initial condition $x(t_{i+1})$ until the next switching time.
Appendix:  
Stochastic Control of SHS with Jumps

- \( N(\cdot, \cdot) \) has intensity \( \lambda \) and jump size distribution \( F(z) \), with compact support \( \Gamma \).
- \( \nu_n \) is the \( n^{th} \) jump time with \( \nu_{n+1} - \nu_n \sim \exp(\lambda) \).

Making necessary assumptions, we can write the jump term as

\[
J(t) = \sum_{\nu_n \leq t} f(x(\nu_n^-), \theta(\nu_n^-), z_n(\nu_n^-), u).
\]
Appendix:
Stochastic Control of SHS with Jumps

- The functions $a$, $b$ and $f$ satisfy Lipschitz and Growth conditions, uniformly $\theta$ and $u$.
  \[ \Rightarrow \text{(2) has a unique strong solution, } \forall \theta \in \Theta, \text{ Skorohod 1972.} \]
- Every point $x \in A_\theta$ is a regular point.
- The continuous control $u(t)$ is a measurable process taking values in compact set $U$. 
Problem: Minimizing a cost until a target set $G \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is reached.

We assume that

- $G$ is compact with smooth boundary,
- $\partial G$ satisfies the regularity conditions,
- $x_0 \notin G$ and $G \subset \Omega_\theta$ for some $\theta \in \Theta$.

We define the stopping time,

$$\tau := \inf\{t : x(t) \in \partial G\}.$$
Given an initial state \((x_0, \theta_0)\) and a discount factor \(\beta \geq 0\) the cost is

\[
H(x_0, \theta_0, u) := E\left( \int_0^T e^{-\beta s} k(x(s), \theta(s), u(s)) \, ds + e^{-\beta \tau} g(x(\tau)) \right)
\]  

with respect to the admissible controls \(u(t)\).

The value function is defined as

\[
V(x_0, \theta_0) := \inf_u H(x_0, \theta_0, u)
\]

for \(x_0 \in \Omega_{\theta_0}\).
Appendix:
Stochastic Control of SHS with Jumps

**Theorem**

Given a SHSJ with the cost (3), an optimal admissible control policy $u(x)$ must satisfy the conditions

$$\inf_{u \in U} [L^u V(x, \theta) + k(x, \theta, u)] = 0, x \in G^0,$$

$$V(x, \theta) = g(x), \forall x \in \partial G, \theta \in \Theta, G \subset \Omega_\theta,$$

$$V(x', \theta') \leq V(x, \theta), \forall \theta \in \Theta, x \in A_\theta, \theta' = \delta(\theta, x), x' = R(\theta, x)(\Xi).$$

$L^\alpha h(x, \theta) := h(x, \theta) a(x, \theta, \alpha) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}[h_{xx}(x, \theta)c(x, \theta, \alpha)] + \lambda \int (h(x + f(x, \theta, z, \alpha)) - h(x, \theta)) F(dz)$ with $c(x, \theta, \alpha) = b(x, \theta, \alpha)b'(x, \theta, \alpha)$ for fixed $\alpha \in U.$
Theorem

Suppose that $V(x, \theta)$ is defined so that it is continuous from the right, twice differentiable and bounded in $\Omega_\theta^0$ and a feedback control $\bar{u}(x)$ such that the conditions of the above theorem hold and $H(x, \theta, \bar{u})$ is bounded. Then, $V(x, \theta)$ is the optimal cost and $\bar{u}(x)$ is the optimal control.