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General remarks

1- SCALE
   - Large scale approach present in all teams
   - *Oblast* scale taken into consideration

- **Recommendations**:
  - Keep on thinking global
  - Do’nt be framed into the actual administrative limits
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- Recommendations:
   - Keep on thinking global
   - Don’t be framed into the actual administrative limits

2- STRUCTURE
   - Radioconcentric structure is challenged
   - Several options are presented:
     - Polycentric development
     - Linear city
     - Isotropic structure
- Recommendation: explore all options
3 - NATURE
- Nature is closely integrated in planning proposals
- Preservation of agricultural land is considered as part of urban development

- **Recommendations**:  
  - Define clear strategy to preserve nature within the city  
  - Use the potential of the river
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4 - MOBILITY
- Key issue to the urban development and functioning
- Public transportation essential
- Multimode mobility is to be considered

-Recommendations:
  - Propose integrated public transport systems strategies
  - Include alternative transport modes: trams, bicycles, cable systems...
  - Consider nodes and stations as focus for development
5 - POTENTIALS

- Potential of the existing city should be fully used
- Lots of available land opportunities exist within the city
- The potential of the disused industrial plants is huge

Recommendations:
- Integrate potential regeneration within the planning schemes
- Propose strategies for uses of old industrial areas
- Connect these new development areas
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Recommendations:
- Integrate potential regeneration within the planning schemes
- Propose strategies for uses of old industrial areas
- Connect these new development areas

6- EXTENSIONS
- Difficulty to address the right development scale
- Very different proposals are put forward

Recommendations:
- Interpret all scenarios within a global approach
- Consider all aspects of different scenarios
Some weaknesses

-Lack of vision of social reality and demographic issues
-Lack of concrete vision of reality vs cartographic approach
-Lack of cultural vision, taking into account the way of life
-Lack of vision on plurality of mobility modes
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OMA // Strelka // Siemens // Project Meganom
1- OMA

- Large scale approach: includes a reflection on *Oblast* limits and role of SKAD
- Interrogation on the status of Moscow
- Interesting benchmark approach with Randstadt
- Taking into account social reform prior to city extension
- Proposal to enhance multipolarity with 4 centres connected to the 4 airports

WEAKNESSES

- The 4 airports are not included in the city life at the moment (one is military)
- Development around airport is not usually efficient to develop a metropolis
- Development in SW is just one polarity among 4
ПРОЕКТ ПЕРСПЕКТИВНОГО РАЗВИТИЯ МОСКОВСКОЙ АГЛΟМЕРАЦИИ (2012-2062 г.г.)

ПРОБЛЕМЫ И ПРЕДПОСЫЛКИ РАЗВИТИЯ МОСКОВСКОГО РЕГИОНА. ПЕРВАЯ РЕДАКЦИЯ.
2- CENTRAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT INSTITUTE FOR URBAN PLANNING

- Move away from the centre
- Concept of satellite towns
- Good focus on infrastructures
- Benchmark with Tokyo, as model of redistribution of urban functions and links between new centres
- Development of ring roads around Moscow to be conducted in conjunction with logistics

WEAKNESSES

- No real new strategic options except for satellite towns
The 7 ?
7 вопросов

Antoine Grumbach et Associés, Paris - Mandatory
Wilmotte & Associés, Paris
Egis International, France
Villes & Paysages, France
Национальный исследовательский университет «Высшая школа экономики»
ФГБУ ВПО «Финансовый университет при Правительстве Российской Федерации»
ООО «Линия. Архитектурная мастерская»

Moscow Committee for Architecture and Urban Development
Departement of Urban Planning Policy of Moscow City
3- GRUMBACH AND WILMOTTE

- Interesting geopolitics large scale approach
- Clear options for Moscow city limits (within the time frame of 1.30 hour)
- Ecosystem continuity considered
- Public transport system clearly addressed at all scales
- No new towns but linear city option and transformation of existing city

WEAKNESSES

- Linear city model still to be challenged as efficient structure
ЭТАП/ЧАСТЬ 2

МЕЖДУНАРОДНЫЙ КОНКУРС НА РАЗРАБОТКУ ПРОЕКТА КОНЦЕПЦИИ РАЗВИТИЯ МОСКОВСКОЙ АГЛОМЕРАЦИИ
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- Historical perspective taking into account main urban drivers - Focus on city management, population growth, social issues (including segregation)
- Proposed revitalisation of city structure - Distinction between summer and winter city - Taking into account sustainable development and economic issues
- Approach based on realities and time schedule consciousness

WEAKNESSES - 12 polycentrals and circular system more or less theoretical: needs to be more focused
Competition for the Elaboration of the Draft Concept of the Moscow City Agglomeration

4- CHERNIKOV

- Historical perspective taking into account main urban drivers
- Focus on city management, population growth, social issues (including segregation)
- Proposed revitalisation of city structure
- Distinction between summer and winter city
- Taking into account sustainable development and economic issues
- Approach based on realities and time schedule consciousness

WEAKNESSES

- 12 polycentralities and circular system more or less theoretical: needs to be more focused
1. The project of the Moscow Agglomeration perspective development

STUDIO 012 Bernardo Secchi, Paola Viganò
5- SECCHI - VIGANO

- Take into account the understanding of Moscow reality
- Different city structure options are considered
- Specific reading of the territory through environmental approach
- Mobility issues are considered central
- Specific approach of SW extension as best area for living
- Scenarios based approach (urban form, traffic and program based)

WEAKNESSES

- Several options which need to be more thoroughly explored
- Synergies or contradictions between options are to be more clearly defined
STAGE 1 SUMMARY WORKSHOP

Capital Cities Planning Group

Capital Cities Planning Group | Stage 1 Summary Workshop
7- URBAN DESIGN ASSOCIATES

- City growth described as an organic process
- Several imperatives are explored (capital imperative, great city, urban design, environment, economics...)
- Reflection on urban form integrated
- Constellation of cities proposal
- Interesting plural approach on different topics: forests, governance, structures...

WEAKNESSES

- No real options specified
- Needs to go beyond analytical position
8-OSTOZHENKA

Careful approach of the history and sensible perception of the city
Specific focus on the Moskva river
Insist on potential of disused land (industrial, infrastructures…)
Focus on mobility and importance of nodes + development of bus lanes on existing highways
Take opportunity of old infrastructures
Develop new centralities
Allow the future to happen
Explicit criticism of SW extension

WEAKNESSES
Unclear position concerning voluntary planning capabilities for the future vs letting things happen
8- OSTOZHENKA

- Careful approach of the history and sensible perception of the city
- Specific focus on the Moskva river
- Insist on potential of disused land (industrial, infrastructures...)
- Focus on mobility and importance of nodes + development of buses or tram lanes on existing highways
- Take opportunity of old infrastructures
- Develop new centralities
- Allow the future to happen
- Explicit criticism of SW extension

WEAKNESSES

- Unclear position concerning voluntary planning capabilities for the future vs letting things happen
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9- L’AUC

- Creative, theoretical and radical approach to city limits (square)
- New concepts, figures and paradigms proposed
- Specific proposals on transportation, extensions, post-industrial belt, SW extension
- Borderless agglomeration as characteristic of a contemporary metropolis
- 5 guidelines with clear strategies framing potential options

WEAKNESSES

- Proposed strategies need to be reassessed in a more practical and concrete way
i MOSCOW
REGION & CITY
INTELLIGENT MOSCOW:
METAMORPHOSIS INTO A SMART METROPOLIS

RICARDO BOFILL
TALLER DE ARQUITECTURA
10- RICARDO BOFILL

- Approach based on a clear analysis of the city and its current dynamics
- Benchmarking on other metropolis
- Focus on nature (green corridor, green belt, forest preservation...) as key to the structure of central federal district
- Clear option for the SW extension as objects or centres positioned on an axis with transport connection, including reflection on logistics plan

WEAKNESSES

- Still unclear vision of global development and extension strategy
Thank you for your attention