

# Systems of Innovation and Innovation Policy

Presentation at:

Extended Workshop

**Higher School of Economics**

Moscow

13-15 June 2012

By

Charles Edquist

CIRCLE, Lund University, Sweden

[Charles.Edquist@circle.lu.se](mailto:Charles.Edquist@circle.lu.se)

[www.circle.lu.se](http://www.circle.lu.se)

# Background definitions

**Innovations** = new creations of economic and societal significance primarily carried out by firms (but not in isolation). They include new *products* and new *processes*.

**Innovation Policy** = Actions by public organizations that influence innovation processes, i.e. the development and the diffusion of innovations. (Includes actions by public organizations that unintentionally affect innovation.)

**Innovation system** = The **determinants** of innovation processes *and* the **innovations** themselves.

# Evolutionary!

- Innovation processes develop over time and involve the influence of many factors and feedback processes, and they can be characterized as **evolutionary**: set-up of products and processes -- innovations introduce novelties -- selection reduces diversity - - new set-up, etc, etc.
- Innovation processes are open-ended and path-dependent, and no optimal solution to a technical problem can be identified.

# Optimal?

- Therefore, an **optimal or ideal SI cannot be specified.**
- **Neither** can we talk about an **optimal innovation intensity** for a certain category of innovations – or an optimal level of investments in R&D.
- The notion of **optimality** is **irrelevant** in a SI context.

# Reasons for policy intervention

**Two conditions** must be fulfilled for public intervention to be motivated in a market economy:

- (1) Private actors must fail to achieve the objectives formulated; i.e. a '**problem**' must exist.
- (2) Public actors must have the **ability** to solve or mitigate the problem.

# Innovation Policy Analysis:

- What is a (policy) problem?
- How can we identify the problems, and their causes?

# Problem identification

- **An innovation policy problem** is a low performance (output) of the innovation system = a low innovation intensity for a certain category of innovations (for which the direct objective is a high intensity).
- Problems can be identified through **comparative** analyses between **existing** systems of innovation (over time and space)
- Remember: Comparisons cannot be made with optimal systems! This is **contrary** to most policy analysis

# Objectives of Innovation Policy

- The **ultimate** objectives of innovation policy are politically determined.
- They can be economic, military, environmental, social, related to health, etc.
- If economic, the objectives concern economic growth, productivity growth, employment and competitiveness.
- But they have to be 'translated' into **direct** objectives, i.e. into **innovation** terms = **self-evident but rarely done**.



# Most important things to do to design innovation policy = **comparisons!**

- The **output** of innovations **PROPER**:
  - Can **NOT** be measured by R&D expenditures!
  - Can **NOT** be measured by patents
  - **Can only be measured as output of new products and new processes**
  - Therefore direct Innovation policy **objectives** must be formulated in innovation **output** terms (= in terms of **comparative innovation intensities**)
  - But we do not know them in enough **detail!** There is a lot of **work** to do here!

# Identify Causes!

- An identification of a problem only indicates **where** and **when** policy intervention is called for – it says nothing about **how** it should be pursued.
- When the “problems” have been identified, we also need to know the main **causes** or determinants behind the “problems”.
- This is necessary to identify appropriate policy instruments.
- This can be the same as pursuing the analysis in terms of **the ten activities – to which I will now turn:**

# The 'activities' view on SI's

- The early view of SI's stressed the components in SI's: **organizations** and **institutions**. More recently, some of us wanted to focus more on what actually **happens** in SI's.
- The **overall purpose of** SI's is to pursue innovation processes = develop and diffuse innovations (new product and processes).
- What we call '**activities**' in SI's are those factors that influence the development and diffusion of innovations.

# 10 important activities in SI's (1)

Provision of **knowledge inputs** to the innovation process:

- 1.** Provision of **Research and Development (R&D)**, creating new knowledge, primarily in engineering, medicine and the natural sciences.
- 2.** **Competence** building (provision of education and training, creation of human capital, production and reproduction of skills) in the labor force to be used in innovation and R&D activities.

# 10 important activities in SI's (2)

## Demand-side activities:

**3. Formation of new product markets.**

**4. Articulation of quality requirements**  
emanating from the demand side with  
regard to new products.

# 10 important activities in SI's (3)

## Provision of constituents for SI's:

5. **Creating and changing organizations** e.g. enhancing entrepreneurship and intrapreneurship, research organizations, policy agencies, etc.
6. **Networking** through markets and other mechanisms, including **interactive learning** between different organizations
7. **Creating and changing institutions** - e.g. IPR laws, tax laws, environment and safety regulations, R&D investment routines, etc

## 10 important activities in SI's (4)

### Support services for innovating firms:

8. **Incubating activities**, e.g. providing access to facilities, administrative support, etc.
9. **Financing** of innovation processes and other activities that can facilitate commercialization of knowledge and its adoption.
10. Provision of **consultancy services** of relevance for innovation processes, e.g. technology transfer, commercial information, and legal advice.

# Policy = division of labour between public and private

- Innovation policy is public intervention with regard to **all** the ten activities – it is **not** a separate activity.
- Policy is a matter of **division of labour** between what public and private organizations do.
- We can analyze the **existing** division of labour as well as how it should be **changed** to mitigate a certain “problem”.
- But policy (change) is also to change the nature and operation of what public organizations are **already** doing.



## Are some of the 10 activities more important?

- The ten activities are actually hypothetical **determinants** of the development and the diffusion of innovations.
- It is **not** possible to single out one or two activities that have been most crucial for all systems at all times.
- They **all** have to be present.
- Their relative importance depends on the **place** and **time** = context-dependent = analyze SI's over and over again!

# Is more innovation always better?

- **No**, but we cannot know the optimum = a **dilemma!**
- There has to be some **balance** between categories of innovations. This includes balance between innovations with different ultimate objectives.
- **How?**

# Balance between categories:

- 'New to the world' (development) and 'new to the firm' (diffusion) innovations
- Radical and incremental ones
- Product and process innovations
- High-tech products and low-tech products
- Innovations in specific sectors of production
- Innovations related to certain objectives of innovation policy, etc.

# Selectivity

- Public policy intervention is seldom neutral – it has an element of “picking winners” or to “avoid supporting losers” (this is what firms try to do)
- Policies target “problems”(just like firms)
- Selective policies:
  - Regional policies
  - R&D policies
  - A tax break on R&D expenditures
  - Public procurement for innovation, etc, etc

# Uncertainty and timing

- Firms perform least efficiently with regard to **new** activities, where uncertainty and risk are large.
- Uncertainty is largest for innovation in **new** fields.
- Large-scale and radical technological shifts rarely take place without public intervention.
- A **minor** intervention at an **early** stage in the innovation process may have a very large impact. A **major** effort at a **mature** stage may have only a small impact.

# Implications

- Public resources are limited.
- Public action should **not duplicate** private action – but supplement it. = **additionality** should be sought!
- Hence innovation policy should focus on **new** fields: it should serve as a **midwife** – not provides support towards the end of life.

# References:

- Edquist, Charles. '**Systems of Innovation – Perspectives and Challenges**', in Fagerberg, Jan, Mowery, David, and Nelson, Richard (ed.) *Oxford Handbook of Innovation*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, November 2004, 27 pp.
- Edquist, Charles and Hommen, Leif (ed.) 2008 '**Small country Innovations systems: globalization, change and policy in Asia and Europe**' Edward Elgar Publishing, 544 pp. Paperback in 2009. Edition in Chinese 2011.
- Edquist, Charles '**Design of Innovation Policy through diagnostic analysis: Identification of Systemic Problems (or Failures)**, *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 2011 .
- Edquist, Charles and Zabala Jon Mikel '**Public Procurement for Innovation as Mission-oriented Innovation Policy**', *Research Policy*, 2012 (forthcoming)

[www.circle.lu.se](http://www.circle.lu.se)