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Introduction

Many studies undertaken after the 2008 crisis, underlined the finding that highly skilled workers were affected by the crisis less severely than their less qualified colleagues. It was widely agreed that further productivity growth (and sustainable growth generally) require a knowledge-based economy – and therefore personnel with relevant skills and competencies [Commission of EU, 2008; Humburg, de Grip, van der Velden, 2012; Levy, 2010; Marshall 2008; Varshavsky, Dubinina, Petrova, 2006; Gokhberg, Kouznetsova, 2009; Gonik, Guschina 2008; Makarenko, Soloviev, 2009]. Governments of European countries in particular closely tied economic development programmes with programmes designed to deal with shortage of professional competencies in the labour market.

It is assumed that successful modernisation of economies is directly correlated with the availability of skilled personnel, thus it’s necessary to study the relevant dimensions of human capital. This can include studying the skills of those working in the research sphere and creating inventions, and those in industrial and other organisations who apply these and develop them into innovations.

Human capital is recognised as one of the most valuable resource of organisations and economies. But comparative evaluations of human capital often are limited to applying general indicators of formal qualification levels – specifically the number of people with higher education diplomas. Even suing such metrics has required substantial efforts in assessing the comparability of qualifications across different national education and training systems the number (or the share) of people with diplomas doesn’t provide precise information about the quality and content of their education. Nor do the qualifications tell us much about what skill levels are required at particular jobs, and data on outputs of the educational system will be shaped by macroeconomic and policy trends in specific regions or countries [Arthur, 2006; Fitz-Enz, 2009; Hall, 2008; Keeley, 2007; Lengick-Hall, 2003; Scarbrough, Elias, 2004].

At this stage of study we still lack adequate tools for evaluating the competencies or skills, and researchers in various countries keep trying to develop such tools. Measuring competencies is rather complicated due to the complex nature of the phenomena. In current literature on skills and competencies, many definitions and distinctions apply. For example, skills are in fact treated as one of the constituent elements of competencies, along with motivation, character traits, knowledge and behaviour. And competencies at their turn can be defined as the «abilities to successfully meet complex demands in a particular context through the mobilization of psychosocial prerequisites (including both cognitive and non-cognitive aspects)» [Rychen, Salganik, 2003].
In the context of the European Qualifications Framework the «skills» are described as cognitive (involving the use of logical, intuitive and creative thinking) or practical (involving manual dexterity and the use of methods, materials, tools and instruments) and means the ability to apply knowledge and use know-how to complete tasks and solve problems. As for «competencies», they are described in terms of responsibility and autonomy and mean the proven abilities to use knowledge, skills and personal, social and/or methodological abilities, in work or study situations and in professional and personal development. The competency deals with the potential and special attributes that enable a person to perform his job well and to access knowledge and skills. Although in the current policy discussions on the employability and training of doctorate holders the term «skills» is generally referred to, it would be more accurate to adopt the definition of «competencies» in this paper.

The OECD studies such issues as the scale and the consequences of mismatch (or a gap) between the demand for and the available supply of competencies in the labour market, and the importance of workers’ general and specific skills [OECD, 2007].

The European Skill Needs Forecasting System project was launched by the European Centre for the Development of Vocational Training (Cedefop) as early as in 2001, and since 2004, an expert work group called Skillsnet has been operating in the framework of this project. This is organised as an international network of experts with the objective of early identifying skill-related requirements and forecasting their supply and demand. The network serves as a basis for interaction between national forecasting systems and promotes improved information exchanges [Cedefop, 2009, 2010].

The largest project implemented with Skillsnet’s participation was Forecasting Skill Demand and Supply launched by Cedefop in 2005: the first pan-European project to provide a harmonised assessment of future demand for skills. The project’s results to date include forecasts of European demand for skills until 2015 and 2020 (published in 2010), and on projected skills supply until 2020 (first published in 2009) [Cedefop, 2010].

In Russia the skills-based approach is not yet very popular; its main application area is development of state education standards (Federal Government Education Standards, FGES), the formalisation of education standards in terms of competencies, and the evaluation of curricula.

---

The need to move on to skills-based assessment is primarily caused by the changing labour market situation, which is dramatically transformed by technological progress, increased and diversified information flows, and the emergence of a global market for education and R&D services. [Gokhberg, Kuznetsova, Roud, Zaichenko, 2013; Zaytseva, Shuvalova, Meissner, 2013] The development of new education standards involves changing the very foundation of standardisation; the accent is placed not on the contents of the curriculum but on the results – the graduate’s skills set, their ability to perform specific practical functions.

At the same time, a functional skills-based approach is more suitable for the development of professional, not educational standards – though it appears there are very few studies specifically aimed at developing a skills set for STI personnel. As Ian Miles pointed out, the policymakers responsible for improving the skill base needed for future economic development must assess the implications of radical technological change in the future [Miles, 2010; 2011]. Such studies are undertaken by individual government agencies or institutions, and mostly devoted to the development of specific professional standards (e.g. automation engineer, mechatronics engineer, etc.) but don’t cover whole industries or large professional groups. Developing a national system of competencies which would allow to select, and in a scheduled manner train personnel capable of creating and applying innovations, along with relevant specific methodologies and tools, is in itself a radically novel solution which hasn’t yet been implemented anywhere in the world.

The traditional approach to assessing how necessary and sufficient competencies are acquired in the course of education and training is to look at how people’s formal qualifications match the requirements imposed by the work they actually do; to evaluate higher education institutes’ graduates’ needs for further training to successfully compete on the labour market; to measure how often formally qualified professionals apply for various forms of upgrading, and their overall needs for further knowledge (and willingness to acquire it) [Heijke, Meng, Ris, 2003; Livanos, Wilson, 2010a, 2010b].
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7 See, for example, results of the project Promising R&D Skills and Professions for the 21 Century commissioned by the RVK, Inc. to the Expert Club of Industry and Power Engineering (implemented in 2010-2011) <http://prof-standart.org/?p=1100> Also, in October, 2011 a project was launched to establish Qualifications Development and Systemic Organisation Centre for industrial and energy sectors. The Centre will be monitoring companies’ demand for skills, competencies and qualifications. <http://www.expertclub.ru/sections/hr/action/25>
Although many discussions are hold around the topic of skills for innovation major unanswered questions remain:

1) Innovation workers, e.g. engineers, doctorates etc, often perceive their skills differently than recent or potential employers do. Hence there is presumably a gap between the perceived competencies of innovation workers and the demand for competencies.

2) The labour force is diverse. Diversity refers to the individual but still the education level and the education field can be used as a proxy to identify perceived and required competencies.

3) In many countries policy makers initiate measures targeted at improving the competencies and skills of innovation workers. However it can be assumed that the chosen approaches by policy makers to close the gap between skill demand and supply do not necessarily match.

4) Innovation is an undertaking with a global dimension still skills demanded and supplied are region and country specific. This is due to the national and cultural characteristics and training of innovation workers in these systems.

The paper is organized as follows. First, the data collection approach and analysis methodology are introduced and results discussed. Second, engineering education and application of acquired skills are analysed. The paper concludes with a summary of the major findings that show the important role of ‘general’ competencies required from engineers at their jobs, such as self-organisation, openness to new information, the ability and willingness to learn, and communication skills.

Methodology and Approach

A survey of engineers conducted by the Institute for Statistical Studies and Economics of Knowledge of the NRU HSE in 2011 aimed at dealing with issues described and studied STI-related skills. The survey was implemented in the framework of Monitoring the Labour Market for Highly Skilled R&D Personnel project, and is a part of two large-scale international projects: Careers of Doctorate Holders (CDH) Survey, and Knowledge for Innovations (KnowInno). The first (CDH) brings together researchers from 25 countries under the auspices of three major international organisations: OECD, Eurostat and UNESCO Institute for Statistics. The objective of the project is to analyse development trends of STI personnel. The second project - Knowledge for Innovations – also is a major international comparative study coordinated by the
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8 The study was implemented in the framework of the Basic Research Program at the National Research University Higher School of Economics (HSE) in 2011.
OECD and co-funded by the EU 7th Framework Programme involving 12 countries, among them Austria, Belgium, the UK, Spain, France, Japan.

The first round of the monitoring (2010) covered highly skilled research and teaching personnel (holders of Candidate of Science and Doctor of Science degrees). The second round (2011) concentrated on engineering personnel employed by research institutes, industrial enterprises and engineering services companies (including technology transfer centres, etc.). Respondents were selected regardless of whether they had one of the above degrees, or not.

The sample represents two large groups of STI personnel:

(1) staff of research, development, design etc. organisations whose responsibilities include R&D (n = 1,473),

(2) engineering and technical personnel with high qualifications employed by industrial enterprises (n=1,685).

The total sample amounted to 3,158 respondents.

The project takes three interconnected factors into account:

(1) the skills university graduates need to adequately function in a knowledge-based society;

(2) the role of universities in developing these skills;

(3) mismatches connected with conflicting objectives and interests of graduates, universities, employers and other key players.

To assess the skills or competencies, three major methods are currently in use by researchers:

1 – indirect question addressing the value of diplomas, scientific degrees, experience;

2 – assessment of skills via descriptors of different practices;

3 – addressing competencies directly by self-assessment.

In the survey of Russian Engineers the self-assessment was applied as a major assessment method. Measuring levels of competencies by using self-assessment has disadvantages (self-awareness) but its alternatives (e.g. highly specific assessment in assessment centres) are not always feasible or eligible.

A special attention was paid to studying STI personnel’s competencies; in particular, a set of skills-related indicators applied in course of the European Reflex project9 was tested. The main objective of the study was to measure the level of graduates’ skills and determine how far the competencies they obtained matched the employers’ requirements. In the course of the project, professionals were surveyed in 13 countries in 2005, 5 years after their graduation from

9 http://www.fdewb.unimaas.nl/roa/reflex/
universities. As in our survey, both doctorate holders and people without this academic degree were included in the samples [Allen, van der Velden, 2007; Arthur, 2006].

An important methodological issue addressed in course of the REFLEX project was the application of an assessment procedure in a mass survey. Specific features of a large scale survey led researchers to the conclusion that without self-assessment procedures, collecting reliable data would be impossible. Accordingly, comparing the available and required competencies took the form of identical scales, where respondents answered the questions: «How would you assess your knowledge and skills level in the following areas?» and “What level of these knowledge and skills is required at your job?” for each of the 19 skills reflecting professional knowledge, functional flexibility, ability to mobilise available resources, readiness to innovate, international experience and mobility. The Reflex methodology places its accent upon assessing general or «soft» skills. This reflects in part employers’ needs for their employees to have good social, communication and management skills, and be willing and able to develop them throughout their careers. Such requirements have been highlighted in numerous studies. In contrast, specialised professional (or «hard») skills are not analysed in detail. In a large-sample questionnaire-based survey, it is difficult to address the huge range of highly specific skills associated with a broad diversity of professional backgrounds of respondents. For example, even within a specific professional group, there will be considerable variations in the sorts of equipment being employed to carry out almost similar tasks, and these types of equipment experience rapid generational changes in some areas especially where they involve new Information Technologies. Thus the surveys ask about the use of professional skills in the respondent’s own field, without any detailed specification of what that field is or how the knowledge is precisely configured.

The Russian survey used similar self-assessment procedures to assess engineers’ competencies regarding (a) their actual skill levels, and (b) the levels required at their workplace. The actual skills set used in the survey was somewhat modified, taking into account specific features of Russian engineers’ work environment. The list of competencies used in the survey included the following:

- **use of professional knowledge**
  - Mastery of own field or discipline
  - Knowledge of other fields or disciplines
  - Analytical thinking
  - Ability to rapidly acquire new knowledge

- **teamwork skills**
  - Willingness to question own and others’ ideas
- Ability to mobilize the capacities of others
- Ability to come up with new ideas and solutions
- Alertness of new opportunities

**management skills**
- Ability to coordinate business activities
- Ability to use time efficiently
- Ability to negotiate effectively
- Ability to find a customer / sell a product or a service

**personal effectiveness**
- Ability to work productively with others
- Ability to perform well under pressure
- Ability to make ideas clear to others
- Ability to assert authority

**communication skills**
- Ability to use computers and the Internet
- Ability to present results of your work to an audience (meeting, workshop, etc.)
- Ability to write reports and other documents
- Ability to write and speak in a foreign language.

**Results and Discussions**

**The value of diplomas, scientific degrees, experience**

Following the traditional approach, to assess skills of highly qualified personnel, graduates of engineering schools and universities, the level of education or years of schooling have often been used as a proxy. The analysis of data concerns how the surveyed engineers’ first job after graduation relates to their profession according to the respective diploma. This reveals that the majority of them (62%) worked «exactly according to their professional qualifications» and only 10% found jobs which had nothing to do with their formal specialism (see figure 1, note that the situation is different for different groups of surveyed engineers). The closest match between the first job and formal qualifications is observed for doctorate holders and research engineers, which is probably explained by their early immersion into the professional area, participation in research projects during their student years, and combining postgraduate studies with work.
Figure 1: Correlation between the first job and formal qualifications obtained at university

This hypothesis is confirmed by the respondents’ answers to the question about their professional activities during their university studies. Doctorate holders participated in their university’s research projects twice as often as the average for the sample, and much more frequently had (part-time) jobs in their professional field (see figure 2). This group also stands out in terms of engagement in R&D work at their university. At the same time other groups of the surveyed engineers show much lower activity in terms of learning their chosen profession at university. The least active of all were the future industrial engineers: for them, the most typical form of extra work during university years was part-time jobs, both inside and outside their professional area.

Figure 2: Extra-curricula professional activities during university years
Despite the fact that the share of engineers who work in line with their formal qualifications is quite high, less than a third of the surveyed were fully satisfied with the knowledge they possessed. Note that research engineers are the most dissatisfied in the sample (77%), while engineers employed by industrial companies are more happy than others (33% are quite satisfied). Also the share of engineers who feel an acute shortage of knowledge and the need for further education or another form of professional upgrading, is rather small – between 6-9% (see figure 3).

**Figure 3:** Engineers’ need for further education

An important indicator for the willingness to upgrade and participate in life-long education and training is the investment of one’s own money into further education. According to the survey, only one third of the respondents (on average for the sample) ever invested their own funds into their professional advancement. Again doctorate holders were more active than all other groups of engineers surveyed: for them, the share of those who paid for their further education was 43%.

A more detailed analysis of the engineers’ participation in various forms of professional upgrading revealed that, despite the fact that a large proportion of the respondents felt they lacked professional knowledge (in varying degrees), over one third of the engineers had never upgraded their qualification during the previous 10 years. The most common form of upgrading was short-term training courses and workshops in their main or related professional area (every third respondent engineer took part in such events; see figure 4). The second most popular form of professional development was computer courses to learn specific software; all groups of the surveyed engineers were more or less equally active in this respect (about 20% of the
respondents took part). Also, about one tenth of the respondents attended training courses in areas other than their formal university qualifications. A slightly smaller proportion of the surveyed engineers attended foreign language courses during the last 10 years.

Figure 4: Distribution of the respondents by participation in professional upgrading during the previous 10 years

At the same time the survey results showed that further education (and not just short courses or workshops, but even postgraduate studies) often had no effect on the surveyed engineers’ positions. In approximately one quarter of the cases, further education had not affected the respondents’ careers. Fewer respondents still reported that after upgrading they were able to find a more interesting job: for those who completed postgraduate studies the relevant figure was just 5%, and for those who received second higher education, 12%. The same goes for pay (at least, according to the respondents’ reported feelings): upgrading had a positive effect over their compensation in only 6-9% of the cases. The most effective forms of upgrading in terms of getting a pay rise was acquiring an MBA degree, and learning to use specialised software packages. The most common positive effect of further education was strengthening one’s job security, at the same job in the same organisation.
One of the most important forms of professional upgrading is secondment to external organisations, at home or abroad. Numerous international mobility programmes are specifically designed to promote this form of skills development. However, according to our survey only one of every fifteen engineers was seconded to a foreign research organisation or technological centre, and only one in nine had an opportunity to take a training position at a leading Russian research or S&T centre. There is a clear trend here: engineers – doctorate holders engaged in research work participate in secondment and fellowship programmes (both at home and abroad)
much more often than other engineers, while industrial engineers practically do not use this mechanism to extend their professional competency.

**Engineering education and application of acquired skills**

Analysis of the collected data revealed that the surveyed engineers generally rated their knowledge and skill levels quite high in practically all areas. One may suppose that the respondents had a tendency to exaggerate their self-assessment, since (with rare exceptions) their self-assigned ratings didn’t go below three on the scale of one to five. On the other hand, being accepted for the posts that these people actually occupy necessarily involves possession of a high level of the skills. Furthermore, cases of engineers’ rating their skill level above the required at the job, were rare. Thus the assessment shows that on average, the level of skills, knowledge and abilities required by employers is regularly seen to be somewhat higher than the level of competencies the engineers actually had.

Analysis of specific professional groups within the sample showed that competencies profiles of researcher, production engineers and engineers employed by service engineering companies were quite close. The profiles’ configurations have minimum and maximum values of the same skills indicators. These «critical points» include the ability to discuss professional issues in a foreign language, an ability to find customers, sell products/services and an ability to work productively with others.

Holders of PhD degrees gave themselves the highest ratings both for their actual skills and the requirements for their jobs. The highest marks were given to variables reflecting the traditional - for doctorate holders’ area – «academic skill set». On the other hand assessment of competencies required to perform the job adequately revealed that the set of most highly demanded skills doesn’t exactly match the «academic» category, but rather reflects professional efficiency and openness to new knowledge (see lists of competencies in table 1).

**Table 1:** Lists of most relevant competencies as rated by holders of Candidate of Science and Doctor of Science degrees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Actual competencies</th>
<th>Required competencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ability to make ideas clear to others</td>
<td>Knowledge of other fields or disciplines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ability to use computers and the Internet</td>
<td>Mastery of own field or discipline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ability to write reports, memos or documents</td>
<td>Ability to rapidly acquire new knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Analytical Skills</td>
<td>Ability to make ideas clear to others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Ability to work productively with others</td>
<td>Ability to present products, ideas or reports to an audience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ability to rapidly acquire new knowledge</td>
<td>Ability to negotiate effectively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Knowledge of other fields or disciplines</td>
<td>Alertness of new opportunities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comparison of similar sets of skills deemed to be most important for engineers employed by industrial enterprises shows that they are indeed very close to the above ones, albeit the ratings are different (table 2). As in the case of doctorate holders, industrial engineers are expected to possess competencies needed to efficiently perform their work, including theoretical knowledge and professional engineering skills.

**Table 2:** Lists of most relevant competencies as rated by industrial engineers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Actual competencies</th>
<th>Required competencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ability to work productively with others</td>
<td>Ability to use time efficiently</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ability to rapidly acquire new knowledge</td>
<td>Ability to work productively with others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ability to make ideas clear to others</td>
<td>Ability to make ideas clear to others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ability to use computers and the Internet</td>
<td>Knowledge of other fields or disciplines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Ability to write reports, memos or documents</td>
<td>Mastery of own field or discipline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ability to use time efficiently</td>
<td>Ability to rapidly acquire new knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Analytical Skills</td>
<td>Ability to negotiate effectively</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The biggest gaps between what is needed and what skills are actually possessed were found to relate to organisational and management skills, the first of which being an ability to find customers, sell products/services. The surveyed engineers assessed their proficiency with this skill as low (see figures 7-9), with research engineers showing the worst assessments. On the other hand it should be noted that researchers were more critical about their skills and abilities than all other surveyed engineers, however these are self-assessments rather than independent judgements, and it may be that the lower ratings reflect the nature of research work, rendering self-assessments judgements were more critical by default; this remains to be investigated by other means.
Organisations which represent new application areas for engineering skills, such as technology transfer centres, industrial parks, engineering companies and implementation organisations, attract younger and more professionally advanced personnel. Engineers employed by such organisations are more active in professional communication and upgrading; they have sufficiently developed “academic” skills, and are career-oriented. Also they participate more actively in innovation activities, and are more frequently involved in the development and application of radically new products/technologies/services, new business practices and new or significantly improved marketing techniques, than engineers employed by other kinds of organisations.
**Figure 8:** Competency profiles of research engineers and industrial engineers

**Figure 9:** Competency profiles of engineers with and without academic degree

**Competency space: primary structuring factors**

Surveying a large number of workers, employed at various industries and performing various functions, produces complex results in terms of the numerous skills considered. Therefore techniques for analysing empirical data are particularly important. These can reveal significant correlations between various kinds of skills, and identify, on the one hand, major characteristics which differentiate among sample participants, and on the other, allow us to examine the actual structure of the skill set under consideration. One such technique is multidimensional scaling of competencies.
Multidimensional scaling of competencies made for the whole sample of the surveyed engineers, allows us to build a competency space which reflects the structures of actual and of required competencies (see figures 10 and 11). This method (unlike measuring average values) allows to reveal latent connections between various types of competencies, and identify, on the one hand, the most important characteristics which differentiate the sample of engineers, and on the other, analyse the actual structure of the skill set being assessed here.

First, actual competences are considered. The set of knowledge, abilities and skills the surveyed engineers possess is represented as a space structured along two axes, which can be labelled «cognitive skills» and «team work skills». Axis F1 (cognitive skills) differentiates engineers depending on the level of their analytical skills, computer and Internet skills, ability to clearly present their ideas, and foreign language skills. Note that the ability to discuss professional topics in foreign languages is an important differentiating factor, far removed from all other cognitive skills in the competency space and forming a kind of negative pole – which demonstrates not just the importance of this competence but its low level among the surveyed engineers.

Axis F2 (team work skills) differentiates engineers depending on their ability to find compromise solutions, and on the other hand, depending on their abilities to mobilise and use other people’s (subordinates’) potential, and efficiently implement their plans.

**Figure. 10:** Actual competency space of the surveyed engineers
Now, turning to required competences, the skills that the engineers believe are called for at their jobs are structured differently than skills they actually have. The two structuring axes are F1 (which we call «professional dynamism») and F2, which can be defined as «results orientation». The professional dynamism axis allows differentiating engineers depending on the level of their professional engineering skills, their ability to critically assess their own and other people’s ideas, efficiently implement plans, and discuss professional topics in foreign languages. Note that the accent here is placed on practical application of engineering knowledge and skills, while theoretical knowledge in the specialism area is not a differentiating factor. The «results orientation» axis allows to rate engineers depending on their ability to sell their ideas/products/services; ability to present their results to an audience; and ability to insist on their position. Again, practical aspects of the above skills and abilities, and their active application are the important factors here.

**Figure 11:** Required competency space of the surveyed engineers

Thus results of multidimensional scaling of actual and required skill levels of the surveyed engineers form «competency classes» different from the theoretical grouping in five groups: professional, organisational, management, communication and personal efficiency. The data analyses suggest that the group of competencies includes the following subgroups: «professional dynamism», «results orientation», «team work skills», and «cognitive skills». As for skills required by employers, there is a clear stress on the active application of skills
necessary for the efficient achievement of results, while engineers themselves demonstrate a latent inclination to «use what they already have». Skills mostly in demand are the ability to find customers and sell products/services, and professional communication with foreign partners or consumers – qualities directly related to innovation culture. The most important of actually available skills are the ability to find compromise solutions, use other people’s potential, and one’s own analytical ability.

These results are very much in line with the ones obtained by Russian [Bagdasarian, Gavrilina, 2010; Dobriakova, Frumin, 2008; Yendovitsky, Titov, 2011; Fomina, Kuzmina, 2011; Zaytseva, Shuvalova, Meissner, 2013] and international researchers analysing professional competencies and skills in demand in the labour market [Arthur, 2006; Levy, 2010; Messinis, Ahmed, 2010]. Specifically, according to surveys of employers, the following skills are currently more in demand than others:

1. the ability to efficiently operate in a competitive environment, under stress factors, etc.;
2. business communication skills, in particular cooperation and team work;
3. the ability to work with various information sources (finding, processing, storing, reproducing information);
4. the ability to operate and make responsible decisions in unusual and uncertain situations;
5. willingness for continuous learning, training and professional upgrading;
6. critical thinking and self-organisation ability.

Our survey of engineers’ competencies did not involve such polling of employers, and was based only on engineers’ self-assessments; however, in future, during the next round of highly skilled professionals’ monitoring, we do intend to collect employers’ opinions – which would help to have a deeper understanding of which skills are in demand in the innovation economy.

**Conclusion**

The study has shown a number of deficits in the perception of innovation skills and the respective demand for these. For a large proportion of university graduates, the qualifications acquired at university or related higher education institute are not sufficient for efficient work in the engineering profession in the current economic situation. To become successful and demanded, they turn to various sources of further knowledge and skills. A third of all participants in additional training found that their main objective was to acquire new knowledge.
in their existing professional area. It could be shown that technical competencies and specific engineering skills, alone Russian engineers and doctorates possess are not sufficient in the modern labour market but employers expect engineers to actively promote the products they create, including at foreign markets. Insufficient university training in a number of fields including the development of social, management and communication skills leads to an objective and understandable gap between the perceived and the required levels of such competencies. Russian engineers and researchers are expected to have management skills and be more innovative than their European colleagues. Moreover there is an increasing high demand for professional dynamism, orientation towards results, and team work skills.

This observation is confirmed by the assessment that Russian companies and research institutes frequently lack efficient managers, thus their responsibilities frequently have to be taken by engineers and researchers.

The most important trend identified during the study is that Russian doctorate holders’ level of general and specific skills is lower than what’s required at their jobs, while for Europeans the trend is reverse, i.e. they believe the level of their skills (practically in all categories used in the survey) is higher than what their employers need.
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