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Research question

How knowledge and skills measured in TIMSS associate with the skills measured in PISA?
**Sample TIMSS-2011:**
4893 pupils from 229 classes
(49.3% girls and 50.7% boys)

**Sample PISA-2012:**
4399 pupils from 229 classes
(49.6% girls and 50.4% boys)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Статистика</th>
<th>TIMSS – 2011 (PV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>538.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>543.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Deviation</td>
<td>78.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>308.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>804.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Strategy of analysis

• Divide TIMSS students into 6 groups from the top performers to poor performers
• Pick up 10 and 20 hardest PISA items
• Check what is the percent of the ten (and 20) hardest PISA items each TIMSS group did correctly
How have we divided the sample?

229 classes in TIMSS

1. 35 classes (15.3%)
2. 39 classes (17.0%)
3. 39 classes (17.0%)
4. 39 classes (17.0%)
5. 39 classes (17.0%)
6. 38 classes (16.6%)

The classes have highest PVs math

The classes have lowest PVs math
One-Parameter Rasch Model (Partial-Credit)

The difficulty of each tasks in the PISA in logits

Selection of 10 (20) of the most difficult tasks PISA (highest logits)
Results: mathematics

- % correct items of 10 hardest items in each group
- % correct items of 20 hardest items in each group

**Only highly developed “TIMSS” skills differentiate success in PISA**
Results: content domains

% correct items of 10 hardest items in each group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>№ group</th>
<th>Algebra</th>
<th>Data and chance</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Geometry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>17.76</td>
<td>17.89</td>
<td>18.31</td>
<td>18.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.84</td>
<td>9.91</td>
<td>10.28</td>
<td>11.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>7.45</td>
<td>6.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.41</td>
<td>6.28</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>7.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>5.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>3.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The same situation.
Only knowledge of the geometry has a smoother effect on the ability to solve difficult tasks PISA.
### Results: cognitive domains

% correct items of 10 hardest items in each group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>№ group</th>
<th>Knowing</th>
<th>Applying</th>
<th>Reasoning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>18,82</td>
<td>18,03</td>
<td>18,25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9,85</td>
<td>10,10</td>
<td>10,64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6,97</td>
<td>8,04</td>
<td>7,45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5,95</td>
<td>5,40</td>
<td>6,50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7,44</td>
<td>7,51</td>
<td>6,21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2,88</td>
<td>2,87</td>
<td>3,07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The same situation.
What we talk about when we talk about hardest PISA items

• To associate information presented in different ways
• To keep relationships between things (or concepts) through time
• To use information from one domain to solve a problem in another domain
• To model relationships and changes mathematically
In terms of PISA and TIMSS tests we can say that “TIMSS” skills very weakly differentiate “PISA” skills. Factually only highest level of mastery of TIMSS skills enable success in PISA BUT!

We can consider TIMSS skills as mastery of subject content; PISA skills as ability to transfer knowledge from one domain to another. Then
ALSO
We can consider TIMSS skills as mastery of subject content; PISA skills - as ability to transfer knowledge from one domain to another.
Then our results mean that **only highest level of mastery of subject content enables the meta-domain transition.**
Future ways of analysis

• To check how overall TIMSS success can affect solving the hardest TIMSS items
• To specify what TIMSS domain/items/cognitive process affect success in different PISA domain/items/cognitive process
• To define other cognitive abilities, except subject knowledge, (e.g. analogical thinking) that can affect meta-domain transferring
Thank you for your attention!
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