

DISPUTE SETTLEMENT BODY

Annual Report (2010)¹

The present report has been prepared in pursuance of the Procedures for an Annual Overview of WTO Activities and for Reporting under the WTO (WT/L/105), and sets out the actions taken by the Dispute Settlement Body (DSB) in the period since its previous annual report.²

In carrying out its tasks, the DSB has held 14 meetings since the period covered by the previous report. The minutes of these meetings, which remain the record of the DSB's work, are contained in documents WT/DSB/M/276 – WT/DSB/M/289³ and should be read in conjunction with this report.

The following subjects are included in the report:

	<u>Page</u>
1. Election of Chairman.....	3
2. Amendments to the Working Procedures for Appellate Review.....	3
3. Indicative list of governmental and non-governmental panelists.....	5
4. Adoption of the 2010 draft Annual Report of the DSB.....	5
5. Recourse to dispute settlement procedures.....	6
(a) Armenia.....	6
(i) <i>Measures affecting the importation and internal sale of cigarettes and alcoholic beverages....</i>	<i>6</i>
(b) China.....	6
(i) <i>Measures affecting trading rights and distribution services for certain publications and audiovisual entertainment products.....</i>	<i>6</i>
(ii) <i>Measures related to the exportation of various raw materials.....</i>	<i>6</i>
(c) European Communities ⁴	7

¹ The overview of the state of play of WTO disputes since 1 January 1995 to 31 October 2010 prepared by the Secretariat on its own responsibility is included, for practical purposes, in an Addendum to this report.

² WT/DSB/49.

³ The present report includes meetings of the DSB starting from the 19 November 2009 DSB meeting until the 23 November 2010 DSB meeting.

⁴ On 1 December 2009, the Treaty of Lisbon amending the Treaty on European Union and the Treaty establishing the European Community (done at Lisbon, 13 December 2007) entered into force. On 29 November 2009, the WTO received a verbal note (WT/L/779) from the Council of the European Union and the Commission of the European Communities stating that, by virtue of the Treaty of Lisbon, as of 1 December 2009, the European Union replaces and succeeds the European Community.

(i)	<i>Certain measures affecting poultry meat and poultry meat products from the United States</i>	7
(d)	European Communities ⁴ and its member States	7
(i)	<i>Tariff treatment of certain information technology products</i>	7
(e)	European Union	8
(i)	<i>Anti-dumping measures on certain footwear from China</i>	8
(f)	Philippines	8
(i)	<i>Taxes on distilled spirits</i>	8
(g)	United States	9
(i)	<i>Anti-dumping measures on certain shrimp from Viet Nam</i>	9
(ii)	<i>Anti-dumping measures on polyethylene retail carrier bags from Thailand</i>	10
(iii)	<i>Certain country of origin labelling (COOL) requirements</i>	10
(iv)	<i>Certain measures affecting imports of poultry from China</i>	11
(v)	<i>Final anti-dumping measures on stainless steel from Mexico</i>	11
(vi)	<i>Laws, regulations and methodology for calculating dumping margins ("zeroing")</i>	12
(vii)	<i>Measures affecting imports of certain passenger vehicle and light truck tyres from China</i>	12
(viii)	<i>Measures affecting the production and sale of clove cigarettes</i>	12
(ix)	<i>Subsidies on upland cotton</i>	13
(x)	<i>Use of zeroing in anti-dumping measures involving products from Korea</i>	13
6.	Surveillance of implementation of recommendations adopted by the DSB	14
(a)	China.....	14
(i)	<i>Measures affecting the protection and enforcement of intellectual property rights</i>	14
(b)	Colombia.....	14
(i)	<i>Indicative prices and restrictions on ports of entry</i>	14
(c)	European Communities ⁴	15
(i)	<i>Measures affecting the approval and marketing of biotech products</i>	15
(ii)	<i>Regime for the importation, sale and distribution of bananas: Second recourse to Article 21.5 of the DSU by Ecuador</i>	17
(d)	United States	17
(i)	<i>Anti-dumping measures on certain hot-rolled steel products from Japan</i>	17
(ii)	<i>Continued existence and application of zeroing methodology</i>	20
(iii)	<i>Laws, regulations and methodology for calculating dumping margins ("zeroing")</i>	22
(iv)	<i>Measures relating to zeroing and sunset reviews</i>	24
(v)	<i>Section 110(5) of the US Copyright Act</i>	26
(vi)	<i>Section 211 Omnibus Appropriations Act of 1998</i>	28

7.	China – Measures affecting the protection and enforcement of intellectual property rights: Statements by China and the United States	31
8.	Colombia – Indicative prices and restrictions on ports of entry: Statement by Colombia.....	31
9.	European Communities⁴ – Export subsidies on sugar: Statements by Australia, Brazil and Thailand	31
10.	Korea – Anti-dumping duties on imports of certain paper from Indonesia: Statement by Indonesia	32
11.	Statement by Brazil regarding official documents addressing the issue of implementation of DSB recommendations and rulings.....	32
12.	Statement by Colombia concerning the Report by the Director-General on the use of his Good Offices pertaining to the disputes on bananas.....	33
13.	United States – Continued Dumping and Subsidy Offset Act of 2000: Implementation of the recommendations adopted by the DSB: Statements by the European Union and Japan	33

1. Election of Chairman (WT/DSB/M/280)

At its meeting on 19 March 2010, the DSB elected Amb. Yonov Frederick Agah (Nigeria) as Chairman of the DSB by acclamation.

The outgoing and incoming Chairmen as well as the representatives of the European Union, the United States, Canada, Australia, China, Mexico, Brazil, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, India and Argentina spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.⁵

2. Amendments to the Working Procedures for Appellate Review (WT/DSB/M/278, 279, 280, 283, 284, 286)

At the DSB meeting on 19 January 2010, the Chairman made a statement concerning a communication from the Appellate Body regarding the proposed amendments to the Working Procedures for Appellate Review (WT/AB/WP/W/10). He said that before this matter was placed on the Agenda of the DSB, pursuant to the 19 December 2002 DSB Decision, it would seem appropriate to allow a period of time for reflection and, if necessary, informal consultations. Thereafter, the DSB would revert formally to this matter and, at that time, the Chairman would make a specific proposal as how to proceed, taking into account past practice and the 19 December 2002 DSB Decision.

The DSB took note of the statement.

⁵ Subsequently, in the course of the meeting (under various Agenda items) the following delegations welcomed the new Chairman of the DSB and thanked the outgoing Chairman of the DSB for his work over the past year: Cuba, Ecuador, Viet Nam, Costa Rica, Paraguay, Japan and Thailand.

At the DSB meeting on 18 February 2010, the Chairman made a statement regarding the proposed amendments to the Working Procedures for Appellate Review (WT/AB/WP/W/10). He said that he had made informal contacts with a number of Ambassadors regarding this matter and that the consensus view was that, given the complexity of these proposed amendments, Members would need more time to consult with their capitals. He said that once Members had indicated that they were ready for consideration by the DSB, the Chairman would place this matter on the DSB's Agenda.

The DSB took note of the statement.

At the DSB meeting on 19 March 2010, the Chairman made a statement regarding the amendments proposed by the Appellate Body to the Working Procedures for Appellate Review (WT/AB/WP/W/10). In this regard, he outlined the following process. First, to facilitate an open and transparent exchange of views among all interested Members on the proposed amendments before the matter was placed on the DSB's Agenda, he proposed to convene an informal meeting in the second half of April 2010. Second, he said that he would subsequently request the Secretariat to place this matter on the Agenda of the regular DSB meeting to be held on 18 May 2010, at which time Members could provide their views formally for the record for transmittal to the Appellate Body. Finally, he said that, pursuant to the 19 December 2002 DSB Decision on this matter, delegations were also free to submit their comments in writing. He proposed that any written comments be provided to him in care of the Council and TNC Division by 18 May 2010.

The DSB took note of the statement.

At the DSB meeting on 18 May 2010, pursuant to the 19 December 2002 DSB Decision, the Chairman invited delegations to provide comments for the record on the amendments proposed by the Appellate Body to the Working Procedures for Appellate Review (WT/AB/WP/W/10). He said that, pursuant to the 2002 DSB Decision, delegations would also be given an opportunity to provide written comments on the proposed amendments. He recalled that, as agreed at the 20 April 2010 informal DSB meeting, the deadline for submitting those comments would now be 26 May 2010.

The representatives of Ecuador, Costa Rica, Chile, Brazil, Guatemala, Egypt, China, Turkey, Peru, Australia, Singapore, Switzerland, Korea, Norway, the United States, Chinese Taipei, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Colombia, Japan, Canada, New Zealand, Mexico, Malaysia, Argentina, the European Union and Hong Kong, China as well as the Chairman spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed that, in accordance with the procedures contained in document WT/DSB/31, the Chairman of the DSB would convey to the Appellate Body the views expressed by delegations at the present meeting and any written comments to be provided by the deadline of 26 May 2010 on the amendments under consideration by the Appellate Body and would request the Appellate Body to take them into account.

At the DSB meeting on 22 June 2010, the Chairman informed delegations that on 27 May 2010, in accordance with the 19 December 2002 DSB Decision, he had conveyed to the Appellate Body the views expressed by Members at the 18 May 2010 DSB meeting on the proposed amendments, by forwarding to them the record of that meeting, as well as the written comments submitted by Members on this matter by 26 May 2010. He said that written comments had been submitted by the following delegations: Australia, Chile, China, Guatemala, Japan, Korea, Mexico, New Zealand, Singapore, Chinese Taipei, Thailand, Turkey and the United States. He also said that he had requested the Appellate Body to take Members' views and comments into account.

The DSB took note of the statement.

At the DSB meeting on 31 August 2010, the Chairman made a statement concerning the Appellate Body's amendments to the Working Procedures for Appellate Review, as set out in document WT/AB/WP/W/11. He said that the revised and consolidated version of the Working Procedures was set out in document WT/AB/WP/6 and that the modified rules would apply to appeals initiated on or after 15 September 2010.

The DSB took note of the statement.

3. Indicative list of governmental and non-governmental panelists (WT/DSB/M/277, 278, 279, 284, 285, 289)

At its meeting on 21 December 2009, the DSB approved the names contained in document WT/DSB/W/417 proposed for inclusion on the indicative list, in accordance with Article 8.4 of the DSU.

At its meeting on 19 January 2010, the DSB approved the name contained in document WT/DSB/W/419 proposed for inclusion on the indicative list, in accordance with Article 8.4 of the DSU.

At its meeting on 18 February 2010, the DSB approved the names contained in document WT/DSB/W/421 proposed for inclusion on the indicative list, in accordance with Article 8.4 of the DSU.

At its meeting on 22 June 2010, the DSB approved the names contained in document WT/DSB/W/427 proposed for inclusion on the indicative list, in accordance with Article 8.4 of the DSU.

At its meeting on 20 July 2010, the DSB approved the name contained in document WT/DSB/W/429 proposed for inclusion on the indicative list, in accordance with Article 8.4 of the DSU.

At its meeting on 31 August 2010, the DSB approved the name contained in document WT/DSB/W/432 proposed for inclusion on the indicative list, in accordance with Article 8.4 of the DSU.

At its meeting on 23 November 2010, the DSB approved the name contained in document WT/DSB/W/437 proposed for inclusion on the indicative list, in accordance with Article 8.4 of the DSU.

4. Adoption of the 2010 draft Annual Report of the DSB (WT/DSB/M/289)

At the DSB meeting on 23 November 2010, the Chairman submitted for adoption a draft text of the 2010 Annual Report of the DSB contained in WT/DSB/W/435 and Add.1. He proposed that, following the adoption of the Annual Report, the Secretariat be authorized to update this Report, under its own responsibility, in order to include the actions taken by the DSB at the present meeting. He said that the updated Annual Report would be submitted for consideration by the General Council at its meeting on 14 and 15 December 2010.

The DSB took note of the statement made by the Chairman and adopted the draft Annual Report contained in WT/DSB/W/435 and Add.1 on the understanding that it would be further updated by the Secretariat, as proposed by the Chairman.

5. Recourse to dispute settlement procedures

(a) Armenia

(i) *Measures affecting the importation and internal sale of cigarettes and alcoholic beverages (WT/DSB/M/288)*

At its meeting on 25 October 2010, the DSB considered a request by Ukraine for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint with regard to Armenia's measures affecting the importation and internal sale of cigarettes and alcoholic beverages (WT/DS411/2/Rev.1).

The representatives of Ukraine and Armenia spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter.

(b) China

(i) *Measures affecting trading rights and distribution services for certain publications and audiovisual entertainment products (WT/DSB/M/278, 279)*

In November 2007⁶, the DSB agreed to establish a panel to examine the complaint by the United States pertaining to this dispute. In September 2009, China notified the DSB of its decision to appeal certain issues of law covered in the Panel Report and certain legal interpretations developed by the Panel (WT/DS363/10).

At its meeting on 19 January 2010, the DSB considered the Appellate Body Report contained in WT/DS363/AB/R and the Panel Report contained in WT/DS363/R and Corr.1, pertaining to this dispute.

The representatives of the United States, China, the European Union and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements, and adopted the Appellate Body Report contained in WT/DS363/AB/R and the Panel Report contained in WT/DS363/R and Corr.1, as modified by the Appellate Body Report.

At its meeting on 18 February 2010, the representative of China informed the DSB of China's intentions in respect of implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings in this dispute, pursuant to Article 21.3 of the DSU.

The representatives of China and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements, and of the information provided by China regarding its intentions in respect of implementation of the DSB's recommendations.

(ii) *Measures related to the exportation of various raw materials (WT/DSB/M/276, 277)*

At its meeting on 19 November 2009, the DSB considered the requests by the United States, the European Communities and Mexico for the establishment of panels to examine their complaints with regard to China's measures related to the exportation of various raw materials (WT/DS394/7; WT/DS395/7; WT/DS398/6).

⁶ WT/DSB/M/242.

The representatives of the United States, the European Communities, Mexico and China spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to these matters.

At its meeting on 21 December 2009, the DSB again considered these matters.

The representatives of the United States, the European Union, Mexico and China spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a single panel pursuant to Article 9.1 of the DSU, with standard terms of reference, to examine the complaint by the United States contained in WT/DS394/7, the complaint by the European Communities contained in WT/DS395/7 and the complaint by Mexico contained in WT/DS398/6.

The representatives of Argentina, Brazil, Canada, Chile, Colombia, Ecuador, the European Union, India, Japan, Korea, Mexico, Norway, Chinese Taipei, Turkey and the United States reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.⁷

(c) European Communities

(i) *Certain measures affecting poultry meat and poultry meat products from the United States (WT/DSB/M/276)*

At its meeting on 19 November 2009, the DSB considered a request by the United States for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint with regard to the EC's measures affecting poultry meat and poultry meat products from the United States (WT/DS389/4).

The representatives of the United States and the European Communities spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a panel in accordance with the provisions of Article 6 of the DSU with standard terms of reference.

The representatives of Australia, China, Korea and Norway reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.⁸

(d) European Communities and its member States

(i) *Tariff treatment of certain information technology products (WT/DSB/M/287, 288)*

In September 2008⁹, the DSB agreed to establish a panel to examine the joint complaint by the United States, Japan and the Separate Customs Territory of Taiwan, Penghu, Kinmen and Matsu ("Chinese Taipei") pertaining to these disputes (WT/DS375/8 – WT/DS376/8 – WT/DS377/6).

At its meeting on 21 September 2010, the DSB considered the Panel Reports contained in WT/DS375/R, WT/DS376/R and WT/DS377/R pertaining to these disputes.

⁷ After the meeting, Saudi Arabia reserved its third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.

⁸ After the meeting, Guatemala, New Zealand and Chinese Taipei reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.

⁹ WT/DSB/M/256.

The representatives of the United States, Japan, Chinese Taipei, the European Union, Costa Rica, China and Hong Kong, China spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and adopted the Panel Reports contained in WT/DS375/R, WT/DS376/R and WT/DS377/R.

At the DSB meeting on 25 October 2010, the representative of the European Union stated that the EU had informed the DSB in writing of its intentions in respect of implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings in these disputes, pursuant to Article 21.3 of the DSU (WT/DS375/14 – WT/DS376/14 – WT/DS377/12).

The representatives of the European Union, the United States, Japan and Chinese Taipei spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements, and of the information provided by the European Union regarding its intentions in respect of implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings in these disputes.

(e) European Union

(i) *Anti-dumping measures on certain footwear from China (WT/DSB/M/282, 283)*

At its meeting on 20 April 2010, the DSB considered a request by China for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint with regard to the EU's anti-dumping measures on certain footwear from China (WT/DS405/2).

The representatives of China and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter.

At its meeting on 18 May 2010, the DSB again considered this matter.

The representatives of China and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a panel in accordance with the provisions of Article 6 of the DSU with standard terms of reference.

The representatives of Australia, Brazil, Japan, Turkey, the United States and Viet Nam reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.¹⁰

(f) Philippines

(i) *Taxes on distilled spirits (WT/DSB/M/277, 278, 281, 282)*

At its meeting on 21 December 2009, the DSB considered a request by the European Union for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint with regard to the Philippines' taxes on distilled spirits (WT/DS396/4).

The representatives of the European Union, the Philippines and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter.

¹⁰ After the meeting, Colombia reserved its third-party right to participate in the Panel's proceedings.

At its meeting on 19 January 2010, the DSB again considered this matter.

The representatives of the European Union, the United States and the Philippines spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a panel in accordance with the provisions of Article 6 of the DSU with standard terms of reference.

The representatives of Australia, China, Mexico, Chinese Taipei, Thailand and the United States reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.¹¹

At its meeting on 8 April 2010, the DSB considered a request by the United States for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint with regard to the Philippines' taxes on distilled spirits (WT/DS403/4).

The representatives of the United States, the Philippines and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter.

At its meeting on 20 April 2010, the DSB again considered this matter.

The representatives of the United States, the Philippines and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed that the request by the United States for the establishment of a panel with standard terms of reference was accepted, and that as provided for in Article 9.1 of the DSU in respect of multiple complainants, the Panel established at the 19 January 2010 DSB meeting to examine the complaint by the EU would also examine the US complaint contained in document WT/DS403/4.

The Chairman said that since a single Panel was established, those delegations who had reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel established at the request of the EU shall be considered as third parties in the single Panel. He recalled that Australia, China, India, Mexico, Chinese Taipei, Thailand and the United States had reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel established at the request of the EU on 19 January 2010. Furthermore, he also invited other delegations who would wish to reserve their third-party rights to participate in the proceedings of the single Panel. The representative of the European Union reserved its third-party rights.¹²

The DSB took note of the statement.

(g) United States

(i) *Anti-dumping measures on certain shrimp from Viet Nam (WT/DSB/M/282, 283)*

At its meeting on 20 April 2010, the DSB considered a request by Viet Nam for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint with regard to US anti-dumping measures on certain shrimp from Viet Nam (WT/DS404/5).

The representatives of Viet Nam and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter.

¹¹ After the meeting, India reserved its third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.

¹² After the meeting, Colombia reserved its third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.

At its meeting on 18 May 2010, the DSB again considered this matter.

The representatives of Viet Nam and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a panel in accordance with the provisions of Article 6 of the DSU with standard terms of reference.

The representatives of the European Union, Japan, Korea, Mexico and Thailand reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.¹³

(ii) *Anti-dumping measures on polyethylene retail carrier bags from Thailand (WT/DSB/M/279, 280, 286)*

In March 2009¹⁴, the DSB established a Panel to examine the complaint by Thailand pertaining to this dispute.

At its meeting on 18 February 2010, the DSB considered the Panel Report contained in WT/DS383/R pertaining to this dispute.

The representatives of Thailand and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and adopted the Panel Report contained in WT/DS383/R.

At the DSB meeting on 19 March 2010, the representative of the United States informed the DSB of the US intentions in respect of implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings in this dispute, pursuant to Article 21.3 of the DSU.

The representatives of the United States and Thailand spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements, and of the information provided by the United States regarding its intentions in respect of implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings in this dispute.

At the DSB meeting on 31 August 2010, the United States stated that it had implemented the DSB's recommendations and rulings in this dispute.

The representatives of the United States and Thailand spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

(iii) *Certain country of origin labelling (COOL) requirements (WT/DSB/M/276)*

At its meeting on 19 November 2009, the DSB considered a request by Canada for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint with regard to certain country of origin labelling requirements by the United States (WT/DS384/8) as well as a request by Mexico for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint with regard to certain country of origin labelling requirements by the United States (WT/DS386/7 and Corr.1).

The representatives of Canada, Mexico and the United States spoke.

¹³ After the meeting, China and India reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.

¹⁴ WT/DSB/M/266.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a single panel in accordance with the provisions of Article 9.1 of the DSU, with standard terms of reference, to examine the complaint by Canada contained in WT/DS384/8 and the complaint by Mexico contained in WT/DS386/7 and Corr.1.

The representatives of Argentina, Australia, Canada, China, Colombia, India, Japan, Korea, Mexico, New Zealand and Peru reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.¹⁵

(iv) *Certain measures affecting imports of poultry from China (WT/DSB/M/288)*

In July 2009¹⁶, the DSB agreed to establish a panel to examine the complaint by China pertaining to this dispute.

At its meeting on 25 October 2010, the DSB considered the Panel Report contained in WT/DS392/R pertaining to this dispute.

The representatives of China, the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and adopted the Panel Report contained in WT/DS392/R.

(v) *Final anti-dumping measures on stainless steel from Mexico (WT/DSB/M/285, 287)*

At the DSB meeting on 20 July 2010, Mexico made a statement regarding the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings in this dispute (DS344).

The representatives of Mexico and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At its meeting on 21 September 2010, the DSB considered a request by Mexico for the establishment of a panel to examine the implementation by the United States of the DSB's recommendations and rulings pertaining to this dispute, pursuant to Article 21.5 of the DSU (WT/DS344/20).

The representatives of Mexico and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed, pursuant to Article 21.5 of the DSU, to refer to the original Panel, if possible, the matter raised by Mexico in document WT/DS344/20. It was agreed that the Panel would have standard terms of reference.

The representatives of China, European Union, Japan and Korea reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.¹⁷

¹⁵ After the meeting, Brazil, the European Union, Guatemala and Chinese Taipei reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.

¹⁶ WT/DSB/M/272.

¹⁷ After the meeting, Brazil reserved its third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.

(vi) *Laws, regulations and methodology for calculating dumping margins ("zeroing") (WT/DSB/M/279)*

At its meeting on 18 February 2010, the DSB considered a request by the European Union, pursuant to Article 22.2 of the DSU, for the DSB's authorization to suspend the application to the United States of concessions or other obligations under the covered agreements (WT/DS294/35).

The representatives of the European Union, the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements, and it was agreed that the matter raised by the United States in document WT/DS294/36 is referred to arbitration, as required by Article 22.6 of the DSU.

(vii) *Measures affecting imports of certain passenger vehicle and light truck tyres from China (WT/DSB/M/277, 278)*

At its meeting on 21 December 2009, the DSB considered a request by China for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint with regard to US measures affecting imports of certain passenger vehicle and light truck tyres from China (WT/DS399/2).

The representatives of China and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter.

At its meeting on 19 January 2010, the DSB again considered this matter.

The representatives of China and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a panel in accordance with the provisions of Article 6 of the DSU with standard terms of reference.

The representatives of the European Union, Japan, Chinese Taipei, Turkey and Viet Nam reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.

(viii) *Measures affecting the production and sale of clove cigarettes (WT/DSB/M/284, 285)*

At its meeting on 22 June 2010, the DSB considered a request by Indonesia for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint with regard to US measures affecting the production and sale of clove cigarettes (WT/DS406/2).

The representatives of Indonesia and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter.

At its meeting on 20 July 2010, the DSB again considered this matter.

The representatives of Indonesia and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a panel in accordance with the provisions of Article 6 of the DSU with standard terms of reference.

The representatives of Brazil, the European Union, Guatemala, Norway and Turkey reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.¹⁸

(ix) *Subsidies on upland cotton (WT/DSB/M/276, 277)*

At its meeting on 19 November 2009, the DSB considered Brazil's requests, pursuant to Articles 4.10 and 7.9 of the SCM Agreement and Article 22.7 of the DSU, for the DSB's authorization to suspend the application to the United States of concessions or other obligations consistent with the Arbitrator's Decisions (WT/DS267/41; WT/DS267/42).

The representatives of Brazil and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and, pursuant to the request by Brazil contained in document WT/DS267/41, agreed to grant authorization to suspend the application to the United States of concessions or other obligations consistent with the Arbitrator's Decision contained in document WT/DS267/ARB/1. Furthermore, pursuant to the request by Brazil contained in document WT/DS267/42, the DSB also agreed to grant authorization to suspend the application to the United States of concessions or other obligations consistent with the Arbitrator's Decision contained in document WT/DS267/ARB/2 and Corr.1.

At the DSB meeting on 21 December 2009, the representative of Brazil made a statement concerning the Cotton dispute.

The representatives of Brazil and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

(x) *Use of zeroing in anti-dumping measures involving products from Korea (WT/DSB/M/282, 283)*

At its meeting on 20 April 2010, the DSB considered a request by Korea for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint with regard to the use by the United States of zeroing in anti-dumping measures involving products from Korea (WT/DS402/3).

The representatives of Korea and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter.

At its meeting on 18 May 2010, the DSB again considered this matter.

The representatives of Korea and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a panel in accordance with the provisions of Article 6 of the DSU with standard terms of reference.

The representatives of China, the European Union, Japan, Mexico, Thailand and Viet Nam reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.

¹⁸ After the meeting, Colombia, the Dominican Republic and Mexico reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.

6. Surveillance of implementation of recommendations adopted by the DSB

Matters considered by the DSB under this item are included on the Agenda pursuant to Article 21.6 of the DSU which provides that: "Unless the DSB decides otherwise, the issue of implementation of the recommendations or rulings shall be placed on the agenda of the DSB meeting after six months following the date of establishment of the reasonable time-period pursuant to paragraph 3 and shall remain on the DSB's agenda until the issue is resolved. At least 10 days prior to each such DSB meeting, the Member concerned shall provide the DSB with a status report in writing of its progress in the implementation of the recommendations or rulings".

(a) China

(i) *Measures affecting the protection and enforcement of intellectual property rights (WT/DSB/M/278, 279, 280)*

At its meeting on 19 January 2010, the DSB considered the status report by China on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS362/14).

The representatives of China, the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 18 February 2010, the DSB considered the status report by China on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS362/14/Add.1).

The representatives of China, the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 19 March 2010, the DSB considered the status report by China on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS362/14/Add.2).

The representatives of China, the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

(b) Colombia

(i) *Indicative prices and restrictions on ports of entry (WT/DSB/M/282)*

At its meeting on 20 April 2010, the DSB considered the status report by Colombia on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS366/15).

The representatives of Colombia and Panama spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

(c) European Communities

(i) *Measures affecting the approval and marketing of biotech products (WT/DSB/M/276, 277, 278, 279, 280, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289)*

At its meeting on 19 November 2009, the DSB considered the status report by the European Communities on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS291/37/Add.22 – WT/DS293/31/Add.22).

The representatives of the European Communities, Argentina and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 21 December 2009, the DSB considered the status report by the European Union on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS291/37/Add.23 – WT/DS293/31/Add.23).

The representatives of the European Union, Argentina and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 19 January 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the European Union on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS291/37/Add.24 – WT/DS293/31/Add.24).

The representatives of the European Union, Argentina and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 18 February 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the European Union on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS291/37/Add.25 – WT/DS293/31/Add.25).

The representatives of the European Union, Argentina and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 19 March 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the European Union on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS291/37/Add.26 – WT/DS293/31/Add.26).

The representatives of the European Union, Argentina and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 20 April 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the European Union on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS291/37/Add.27).

The representatives of the European Union and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 18 May 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the European Union on progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS291/37/Add.28).

The representatives of the European Union and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 22 June 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the European Union on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS291/37/Add.29).

The representatives of the European Union and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 20 July 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the European Union on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS291/37/Add.30).

The representatives of the European Union and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 31 August 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the European Union on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS291/37/Add.31).

The representatives of the European Union and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 21 September 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the European Union on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS291/37/Add.32).

The representatives of the European Union and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 25 October 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the European Union on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS291/37/Add.33).

The representatives of the European Union and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 23 November 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the European Union on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS291/37/Add.34).

The representatives of the European Union and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

(ii) *Regime for the importation, sale and distribution of bananas: Second recourse to Article 21.5 of the DSU by Ecuador (WT/DSB/M/276, 277)*

At its meeting on 19 November 2009, the DSB considered the status report by the European Communities on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS27/96/Add.10).

The representatives of the European Communities, Ecuador, Honduras, the Dominican Republic (speaking also on behalf of the ACP countries), Colombia, Panama, the United States and Nicaragua spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 21 December 2009, the DSB considered the status report by the European Union on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS27/96/Add.11).

The representatives of the European Union, Ecuador, Panama, Colombia, India, the United States, Mexico and Cameroon spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

(d) United States

(i) *Anti-dumping measures on certain hot-rolled steel products from Japan (WT/DSB/M/276, 277, 278, 279, 280, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289)*

At its meeting on 19 November 2009, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS184/15/Add.84).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 21 December 2009, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS184/15/Add.85).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 19 January 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS184/15/Add.86).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 18 February 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS184/15/Add.87).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 19 March 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS184/15/Add.88).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 20 April 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS184/15/Add.89).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 18 May 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS184/15/Add.90).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 22 June 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS184/15/Add.91).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 20 July 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS184/15/Add.92).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 31 August 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS184/15/Add.93).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 21 September 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS184/15/Add.94).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 25 October 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS184/15/Add.95).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 23 November 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS184/15/Add.96).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

(ii) *Continued existence and application of zeroing methodology (WT/DSB/M/277, 278, 279, 280, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289)*

At its meeting on 21 December 2009, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS350/18).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 19 January 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS350/18/Add.1).

The representatives of the United States, the European Union and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 18 February 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS350/18/Add.2).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 19 March 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS350/18/Add.3).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 20 April 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS350/18/Add.4).

The representatives of the United States, the European Union and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 18 May 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS350/18/Add.5).

The representatives of the United States, the European Union and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 22 June 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS350/18/Add.6).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 20 July 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS350/18/Add.7).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 31 August 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS350/18/Add.8).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 21 September 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS350/18/Add.9).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 25 October 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS350/18/Add.10).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 23 November 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS350/18/Add.11).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

(iii) *Laws, regulations and methodology for calculating dumping margins ("zeroing")* (WT/DSB/M/276, 277, 278, 279, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289)

At its meeting on 19 November 2009, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS294/34/Add.3).

The representatives of the United States and the European Communities spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 21 December 2009, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS294/34/Add.4).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 19 January 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS294/34/Add.5).

The representatives of the United States, the European Union and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 18 February 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS294/34/Add.6).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At its meeting on 22 June 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS294/38).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 20 July 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS294/38/Add.1).

The representatives of the United States, the European Union and Turkey spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 31 August 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS294/38/Add.2).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 21 September 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS294/38/Add.3).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 25 October 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS294/38/Add.4).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 23 November 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS294/38/Add.5).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

- (iv) *Measures relating to zeroing and sunset reviews (WT/DSB/M/276, 277, 278, 279, 280, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289)*

At its meeting on 19 November 2009, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS322/36/Add.2).

The representatives of the United States, Japan and the European Communities spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 21 December 2009, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS322/36/Add.3).

The representatives of the United States, Japan and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 19 January 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS322/36/Add.4).

The representatives of the United States, Japan and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 18 February 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS322/36/Add.5).

The representatives of the United States, Japan and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 19 March 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS322/36/Add.6).

The representatives of the United States, Japan and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 20 April 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS322/36/Add.7).

The representatives of the United States, Japan and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 18 May 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS322/36/Add.8).

The representatives of the United States, Japan and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 22 June 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS322/36/Add.9).

The representatives of the United States, Japan and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 20 July 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS322/36/Add.10).

The representatives of the United States, Japan, the European Union and Turkey spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 31 August 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS322/36/Add.11).

The representatives of the United States, Japan and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 21 September 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS322/36/Add.12).

The representatives of the United States, Japan and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 25 October 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS322/36/Add.13).

The representatives of the United States, Japan and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 23 November 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS322/36/Add.14).

The representatives of the United States, Japan and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

(v) *Section 110(5) of the US Copyright Act (WT/DSB/M/276, 277, 278, 279, 280, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289)*

At its meeting on 19 November 2009, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS160/24/Add.59).

The representatives of the United States and the European Communities spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 21 December 2009, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS160/24/Add.60).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 19 January 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS160/24/Add.61).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 18 February 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS160/24/Add.62).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 19 March 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS160/24/Add.63).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 20 April 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS160/24/Add.64).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 18 May 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS160/24/Add.65).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 22 June 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS160/24/Add.66).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 20 July 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS160/24/Add.67).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 31 August 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS160/24/Add.68).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 21 September 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS160/24/Add.69).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 25 October 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS160/24/Add.70).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 23 November 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS160/24/Add.71).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

(vi) *Section 211 Omnibus Appropriations Act of 1998 (WT/DSB/M/276, 277, 278, 279, 280, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289)*

At its meeting on 19 November 2009, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS176/11/Add.84).

The representatives of the United States, the European Communities, Cuba, Ecuador, Brazil, China, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Viet Nam, Bolivia, Argentina and Nicaragua spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 21 December 2009, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS176/11/Add.85).

The representatives of the United States, the European Union, Cuba, Ecuador, China, Brazil, Bolivia, Chile, Costa Rica, Mexico and Paraguay spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 19 January 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS176/11/Add.86).

The representatives of the United States, the European Union, Cuba, Ecuador, China, Viet Nam, Brazil, Paraguay, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Argentina, Nicaragua, India and Mexico spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 18 February 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS176/11/Add.87).

The representatives of the United States, the European Union, Cuba, Ecuador, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, China, Viet Nam, Brazil, Chile, Argentina, Paraguay, Mexico, Nicaragua and India spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 19 March 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS176/11/Add.88).

The representatives of the United States, the European Union, Cuba, Mexico, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Ecuador, India, Brazil, Viet Nam, Costa Rica, Paraguay, China and Argentina spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 20 April 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS176/11/Add.89).

The representatives of the United States, the European Union, Cuba, China, Ecuador, Bolivia, India, Viet Nam, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Paraguay, Brazil, Costa Rica, Argentina and Mexico spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 18 May 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS176/11/Add.90).

The representatives of the United States, the European Union, Cuba, India, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, China, Ecuador, Bolivia, Brazil, Argentina and Mexico spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 22 June 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS176/11/Add.91).

The representatives of the United States, the European Union, Cuba, China, India, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Viet Nam, Ecuador, Chile, Brazil and Mexico spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 20 July 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS176/11/Add.92).

The representatives of the United States, the European Union, Cuba, Mexico, Brazil, Ecuador, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Bolivia, India, Viet Nam, Paraguay, China, Argentina and the Dominican Republic spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 31 August 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS176/11/Add.93).

The representatives of the United States, the European Union, Cuba, India, Ecuador, China, Brazil, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Viet Nam, Uruguay, Paraguay, Mexico, Argentina, Angola and Nicaragua spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 21 September 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS176/11/Add.94).

The representatives of the United States, the European Union, Cuba, Ecuador, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Brazil, China, Argentina, Nicaragua, Mexico, Chile and the Dominican Republic spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 25 October 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS176/11/Add.95).

The representatives of the United States, the European Union, Cuba, Ecuador, India, Angola, Nicaragua, Brazil, Bolivia, China, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Paraguay, Mexico, the Dominican Republic and South Africa spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 23 November 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS176/11/Add.96).

The representatives of the United States, the European Union, Cuba, Brazil, the Dominican Republic, India, China, Bolivia, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Viet Nam, Argentina, Mexico, Ecuador and Angola spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

7. China – Measures affecting the protection and enforcement of intellectual property rights: Statements by China and the United States (WT/DSB/M/282)

At the DSB meeting on 20 April 2010, China and the United States made statements regarding the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings in this dispute (DS362).

The representatives of China and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

8. Colombia – Indicative prices and restrictions on ports of entry: Statement by Colombia (WT/DSB/M/279)

At the DSB meeting on 18 February 2010, Colombia made a statement regarding its measures taken to comply with the DSB's recommendations and rulings pertaining to this dispute (DS366).

The DSB took note of the statement.

9. European Communities – Export subsidies on sugar: Statements by Australia, Brazil and Thailand (WT/DSB/M/279, 280, 282, 283, 284)

At the DSB meeting on 18 February 2010, Australia, Brazil and Thailand raised this matter in order to make statements regarding the EU's decision to export out-of-quota sugar in excess of its annual scheduled quantity commitment level.

The representatives of Australia, Brazil, Thailand and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At its meeting of 19 March 2010, the DSB again considered this matter at the request of Australia, Brazil and Thailand.

The representatives of Australia, speaking also on behalf of Brazil and Thailand, and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At its meeting of 20 April 2010, the DSB again considered this matter at the request of Australia, Brazil and Thailand.

The representatives of Australia, speaking also on behalf of Brazil and Thailand, and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At its meeting on 18 May 2010, the DSB again considered this matter at the request of Australia, Brazil and Thailand.

The representatives of Australia, speaking also on behalf of Brazil and Thailand, the European Union and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At its meeting on 22 June 2010, the DSB again considered this matter at the request of Australia, Brazil and Thailand.

The representatives of Australia, speaking also on behalf of Brazil and Thailand, and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

10. Korea – Anti-dumping duties on imports of certain paper from Indonesia: Statement by Indonesia (WT/DSB/M/283, 284)

At the DSB meeting on 18 May 2010, Indonesia raised the matter concerning Korea's implementation of the DSB's recommendations in this dispute (DS312).

The representatives of Indonesia and Korea spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At its meeting on 22 June 2010, the DSB again considered this matter at the request of Indonesia.

The representatives of Indonesia and Korea spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

11. Statement by Brazil regarding official documents addressing the issue of implementation of DSB recommendations and rulings (WT/DSB/M/288)

At the DSB meeting on 25 October 2010, Brazil made a statement regarding official documents addressing the issue of implementation of DSB recommendations and rulings.

The DSB took note of the statement.

12. Statement by Colombia concerning the Report by the Director-General on the use of his Good Offices pertaining to the disputes on bananas (WT/DSB/M/278)

At the DSB meeting on 19 January 2010, Colombia made a statement regarding the Report by the Director-General on the use of his Good Offices with respect to the Bananas disputes (WT/DS361/2 & Corr.1 – WT/DS364/2 & Corr.1).

The DSB took note of the statement.

13. United States – Continued Dumping and Subsidy Offset Act of 2000: Implementation of the recommendations adopted by the DSB: Statements by the European Union and Japan (WT/DSB/M/276, 277, 278, 279, 280, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289)

At the DSB meeting on 19 November 2009, the European Communities and Japan raised this matter in order to make statements regarding the implementation by the United States of the DSB's recommendations and rulings in the disputes: "US – Continued Dumping and Subsidy Offset Act of 2000".

The representatives of Japan, the European Communities, China, Canada, India, Brazil, Thailand and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At the DSB meeting on 21 December 2009, the DSB again considered this matter at the request of the European Union and Japan.

The representatives of Japan, the European Union, Brazil, India, Thailand, China, Canada and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At the DSB meeting on 19 January 2010, the DSB again considered this matter at the request of the European Union and Japan.

The representatives of Japan, the European Union, Brazil, Canada, India, China, Thailand and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At the DSB meeting on 18 February 2010, the DSB again considered this matter at the request of the European Union and Japan.

The representatives of Japan, the European Union, Canada, China, Brazil, India, Thailand and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At the DSB meeting on 19 March 2010, the DSB again considered this matter at the request of the European Union and Japan.

The representatives of Japan, the European Union, China, Brazil, Canada, India, Thailand and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At the DSB meeting on 20 April 2010, the DSB again considered this matter at the request of the European Union and Japan.

The representatives of Japan, the European Union, India, Brazil, Canada, China, Thailand and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At the DSB meeting on 18 May 2010, the DSB again considered this matter at the request of the European Union and Japan.

The representatives of Japan, the European Union, Brazil, Canada, India, China, Thailand and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At the DSB meeting on 22 June 2010, the DSB again considered this matter at the request of the European Union and Japan.

The representatives of Japan, the European Union, Brazil, India, China, Thailand, Canada and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At the DSB meeting on 20 July 2010, the DSB again considered this matter at the request of the European Union and Japan.

The representatives of Japan, the European Union, Brazil, Canada, China, India, Thailand and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At the DSB meeting on 31 August 2010, the DSB again considered this matter at the request of the European Union and Japan.

The representatives of Japan, the European Union, Brazil, Canada, India, Thailand, China and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At the DSB meeting on 21 September 2010, the DSB again considered this matter at the request of the European Union and Japan.

The representatives of the European Union, Japan, India, Thailand, Brazil, Canada, China and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At the DSB meeting on 25 October 2010, the DSB again considered this matter at the request of the European Union and Japan.

The representatives of the European Union, Japan, India, Brazil, China, Thailand and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At the DSB meeting on 23 November 2010, the DSB again considered this matter at the request of the European Union and Japan.

The representatives of Japan, the European Union, Brazil, Canada, India, China, Thailand and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.
