

DISPUTE SETTLEMENT BODY

Annual Report (2011)¹

The present report has been prepared in pursuance of the Procedures for an Annual Overview of WTO Activities and for Reporting under the WTO (WT/L/105), and sets out the actions taken by the Dispute Settlement Body (DSB) in the period since its previous annual report.²

In carrying out its tasks, the DSB has held 16 meetings since the period covered by the previous report. The minutes of these meetings, which remain the record of the DSB's work, are contained in documents WT/DSB/M/290 – WT/DSB/M/305³ and should be read in conjunction with this report.

The following subjects are included in the report:

	<u>Page</u>
1. Election of Chairperson.....	3
2. Appointment of Appellate Body members.....	3
3. Indicative list of governmental and non-governmental panelists	4
4. Adoption of the 2011 draft Annual Report of the DSB	5
5. Recourse to dispute settlement procedures	6
(a) Australia.....	6
(i) <i>Measures affecting the importation of apples from New Zealand</i>	6
(b) Canada	6
(i) <i>Certain measures affecting the renewable energy generation sector</i>	6
(c) China.....	7
(i) <i>Certain measures affecting electronic payment services</i>	7
(ii) <i>Countervailing and anti-dumping duties on grain oriented flat-rolled electrical steel from the United States</i>	7
(d) Dominican Republic	8
(i) <i>Safeguard measures on imports of polypropylene bags and tubular fabric</i>	8

¹ The overview of the state of play of WTO disputes since 1 January 1995 to 30 September 2011 prepared by the Secretariat on its own responsibility is included, for practical purposes, in an Addendum to this report.

² WT/DSB/51.

³ The present report includes meetings of the DSB starting from the 17 December 2010 DSB meeting until the 25 October 2011 DSB meeting.

(e)	European Communities ⁴	8
(i)	<i>Certain measures prohibiting the importation and marketing of seal products</i>	8
(ii)	<i>Definitive anti-dumping measures on certain iron or steel fasteners from China</i>	9
(iii)	<i>Measures prohibiting the importation and marketing of seal products</i>	9
(f)	European Communities ⁴ and certain member States	10
(i)	<i>Measures affecting trade in large civil aircraft</i>	10
(g)	Moldova.....	11
(i)	<i>Measures affecting the importation and internal sale of goods (environmental charge)</i>	11
(h)	Thailand	11
(i)	<i>Customs and fiscal measures on cigarettes from the Philippines</i>	11
(i)	Ukraine.....	12
(i)	<i>Taxes on distilled spirits</i>	12
(j)	United States	13
(i)	<i>Anti-dumping administrative reviews and other measures related to imports of certain orange juice from Brazil</i>	13
(ii)	<i>Anti-dumping measures on certain shrimp and diamond sawblades from China</i>	13
(iii)	<i>Anti-dumping measures on certain shrimp from Viet Nam</i>	13
(iv)	<i>Definitive anti-dumping and countervailing duties on certain products from China</i>	14
(v)	<i>Measures affecting imports of certain passenger vehicle and light truck tyres from China</i>	14
(vi)	<i>Measures affecting the production and sale of clove cigarettes</i>	15
(vii)	<i>Use of zeroing in anti-dumping measures involving products from Korea</i>	15
6.	Surveillance of implementation of recommendations adopted by the DSB	16
(a)	Australia.....	16
(i)	<i>Measures affecting the importation of apples from New Zealand</i>	16
(b)	China.....	16
(i)	<i>Measures affecting trading rights and distribution services for certain publications and audiovisual entertainment products</i>	16
(c)	European Communities ⁴	18
(i)	<i>Measures affecting the approval and marketing of biotech products</i>	18
(d)	European Communities ⁴ and its member States	20
(i)	<i>Tariff treatment of certain information technology products</i>	20
(e)	United States	20
(i)	<i>Anti-dumping measures on certain hot-rolled steel products from Japan</i>	20

⁴ On 1 December 2009, the Treaty of Lisbon amending the Treaty on European Union and the Treaty establishing the European Community (done at Lisbon, 13 December 2007) entered into force. On 29 November 2009, the WTO received a verbal note (WT/L/779) from the Council of the European Union and the Commission of the European Communities stating that, by virtue of the Treaty of Lisbon, as of 1 December 2009, the European Union replaces and succeeds the European Community.

(ii)	<i>Continued existence and application of zeroing methodology</i>	22
(iii)	<i>Laws, regulations and methodology for calculating dumping margins ("zeroing")</i>	24
(iv)	<i>Measures relating to zeroing and sunset reviews</i>	26
(v)	<i>Section 110(5) of the US Copyright Act</i>	28
(vi)	<i>Section 211 Omnibus Appropriations Act of 1998</i>	30
7.	United States – Continued Dumping and Subsidy Offset Act of 2000: Implementation of the recommendations adopted by the DSB: Statements by the European Union and Japan	32

1. Election of Chairperson (WT/DSB/M/293)

At its meeting on 24 February 2011, the DSB elected Amb. Elin Østebø Johansen (Norway) as Chairperson of the DSB by acclamation.

The outgoing and incoming Chairpersons as well as the representatives of the United States, China, Canada, Brazil, Cuba, Korea, the European Union, Japan, Cameroon, Australia, the Dominican Republic and Chinese Taipei spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

2. Appointment of Appellate Body members (WT/DSB/M/295, 296, 300, 302, 303, 305)

At the DSB meeting on 21 April 2011, the Chairperson made a statement concerning some matters related to the Appellate Body. She informed delegations that the DSB would be required to take certain actions with respect to two Appellate Body positions that would become vacant on 10 December 2011. She further stated that it was her intention to include this matter on the Agenda of the 24 May 2011 DSB meeting in order to submit to the DSB for approval her proposal regarding the process for selecting two new members of the Appellate Body.

The DSB took note of the statement.

At the DSB meeting on 24 May 2011, the Chairperson submitted to the DSB a proposal regarding the procedures for selecting two new Appellate Body members. The proposal contained the following elements: (i) to launch as from 24 May 2011 the selection process for appointment of two new members of the Appellate Body; (ii) to set a deadline of 31 August 2011 for Members' nominations of candidates for the two positions; (iii) to agree to establish a Selection Committee, based on the procedure set out in document WT/DSB/1, which would consist of the Director-General and the 2011 Chairpersons of the General Council, the Goods Council, the Services Council, the TRIPS Council and the DSB, which would be presided by the 2011 DSB Chairperson; (iv) to request the Selection Committee to conduct interviews with candidates and to hear views of WTO Members in September/October, and to make recommendations to the DSB by no later than 10 November 2011, so that the DSB could take a final decision on this matter at the latest at its regular meeting on 21 November 2011.

The representative of the European Union and the Chairperson spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to the Chairperson's proposal regarding the selection process for the appointment of two new Appellate Body members.

At the DSB meeting on 20 July 2011, the Chairperson made a statement concerning the process for selecting two new Appellate Body members. In this regard, she reminded Members that a deadline for submitting nominations for the two positions in the Appellate Body was 31 August 2011 and that shortly thereafter she would inform delegations about the work of the Selection Committee to be carried out in September/October 2011.

The DSB took note of the statement.

At the DSB meeting on 2 September 2011, the Chairperson made a statement concerning the next steps in the process for the appointment of two members of the Appellate Body. In this regard, she informed delegations that, following the 31 August 2011 deadline for Members' nominations, four candidates had been nominated for the two positions in the Appellate Body by the following countries: Pakistan, India and the United States. She said that, as soon as a time-table for the process for the work of the Selection Committee was agreed, she would send a fax to delegations regarding this matter.

The DSB took note of the statement.

At the DSB meeting on 27 September 2011, the Chairperson made a statement concerning the process for the appointment of two Appellate Body members. In this connection, she updated delegations about the ongoing process and drew attention to a fax, dated 14 September 2011, which was sent out to all delegations outlining the arrangements concerning the process for the work of the Selection Committee.

The DSB took note of the statement.

At the DSB meeting on 25 October 2011, the Chairperson made a statement concerning the process for the appointment of two Appellate Body members. In this connection, she reminded delegations that consultations with interested delegations who would wish to express their views on the candidates to the Selection Committee would take place on 31 October, 1 and 2 November 2011. She noted that since the DSB meeting in November had been rescheduled, as announced by fax of 20 October, the recommendations of the Selection Committee would have to be issued at the latest on 7 November 2011 so that the DSB decision on appointment of two new members of the Appellate Body could be taken by the DSB at its regular meeting on 18 November 2011.

The DSB took note of the statement.

3. Indicative list of governmental and non-governmental panelists (WT/DSB/M/291, 293, 294, 295, 296, 298, 300, 302, 303, 305)

At its meeting on 25 January 2011, the DSB approved the names contained in document WT/DSB/W/440 proposed for inclusion on the indicative list, in accordance with Article 8.4 of the DSU.

At its meeting on 24 February 2011, the DSB approved the names contained in document WT/DSB/W/443 proposed for inclusion on the indicative list, in accordance with Article 8.4 of the DSU.

At its meeting on 25 March 2011, the DSB approved the name contained in document WT/DSB/W/445 proposed for inclusion on the indicative list, in accordance with Article 8.4 of the DSU.

At its meeting on 21 April 2011, the DSB approved the name contained in document WT/DSB/W/447 proposed for inclusion on the indicative list, in accordance with Article 8.4 of the DSU.

At its meeting on 24 May 2011, the DSB approved the names contained in document WT/DSB/W/449 proposed for inclusion on the indicative list, in accordance with Article 8.4 of the DSU.

At its meeting on 17 June 2011, the DSB approved the name contained in document WT/DSB/W/452 proposed for inclusion on the indicative list, in accordance with Article 8.4 of the DSU.

At its meeting on 20 July 2011, the DSB approved the names contained in document WT/DSB/W/455 proposed for inclusion on the indicative list, in accordance with Article 8.4 of the DSU.

In the context of this Agenda item, the Chairperson made a statement regarding the need to update the curricula vitae of persons included on the Indicative List of Governmental and Non-Governmental Panelists.

The DSB took note of the statement.

At its meeting on 2 September 2011, the DSB approved the names contained in document WT/DSB/W/458 proposed for inclusion on the indicative list, in accordance with Article 8.4 of the DSU.

At its meeting on 27 September 2011, the DSB approved the name contained in document WT/DSB/W/461 proposed for inclusion on the indicative list, in accordance with Article 8.4 of the DSU.

At its meeting on 25 October 2011, the DSB approved the names contained in document WT/DSB/W/464 proposed for inclusion on the indicative list, in accordance with Article 8.4 of the DSU.

4. Adoption of the 2011 draft Annual Report of the DSB (WT/DSB/M/305)

At the DSB meeting on 25 October 2011, the Chairperson submitted for adoption a draft text of the 2011 Annual Report of the DSB contained in WT/DSB/W/460 and Add.1. She proposed that, following the adoption of the Annual Report, the Secretariat be authorized to update this Report, under its own responsibility, in order to include the actions taken by the DSB at the present meeting. She said that the updated Annual Report would be submitted for consideration by the General Council at its meeting scheduled for 30 November 2011.

The DSB took note of the statement made by the Chairperson and adopted the draft Annual Report contained in WT/DSB/W/460 and Add.1 on the understanding that it would be further updated by the Secretariat, as proposed by the Chairperson.

5. Recourse to dispute settlement procedures

(a) Australia

(i) *Measures affecting the importation of apples from New Zealand (WT/DSB/M/290, 291)*

In January 2008⁵, the DSB agreed to establish a panel to examine the complaint by New Zealand pertaining to this dispute. In August 2010, Australia notified the DSB of its decision to appeal certain issues of law covered in the Panel Report and certain legal interpretations developed by the Panel (WT/DS367/13 and Corr.1).

At its meeting on 17 December 2010, the DSB considered the Appellate Body Report contained in WT/DS367/AB/R and the Panel Report contained in WT/DS367/R pertaining to this dispute.

The representatives of New Zealand, Australia and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and adopted the Appellate Body Report contained in WT/DS367/AB/R and the Panel Report contained in WT/DS367/R, as modified by the Appellate Body Report.

At the DSB meeting on 25 January 2011, the representative of Australia stated that Australia had informed the DSB in writing of its intentions in respect of implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings in this dispute, pursuant to Article 21.3 of the DSU (WT/DS367/18).

The representatives of Australia and New Zealand spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and of the information provided by Australia regarding its intentions in respect of implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings in this dispute.

(b) Canada

(i) *Certain measures affecting the renewable energy generation sector (WT/DSB/M/298, 300)*

At its meeting on 17 June 2011, the DSB considered a request by Japan for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint with regard to Canada's measures affecting the renewable energy generation sector (WT/DS412/5).

The representatives of Japan, Canada and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter.

At its meeting on 20 July 2011, the DSB again considered this matter.

The representatives of Japan and Canada spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a panel in accordance with Article 6 of the DSU with standard terms of reference.

⁵ WT/DSB/M/245.

The representatives of Australia, China, the European Union, Honduras, Korea, Norway, Chinese Taipei and the United States reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.⁶

(c) China

(i) *Certain measures affecting electronic payment services (WT/DSB/M/293, 294)*

At its meeting on 24 February 2011, the DSB considered a request by the United States for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint with regard to China's measures affecting electronic payment services (WT/DS413/2).

The representatives of the United States and China spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter.

At its meeting on 25 March 2011, the DSB again considered this matter.

The representatives of the United States and China spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a panel in accordance with the provisions of Article 6 of the DSU with standard terms of reference.

The representatives of Australia, the European Union, Guatemala, Japan and Korea reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.⁷

(ii) *Countervailing and anti-dumping duties on grain oriented flat-rolled electrical steel from the United States (WT/DSB/M/293, 294)*

At its meeting on 24 February 2011, the DSB considered a request by the United States for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint with regard to China's countervailing and anti-dumping duties on grain oriented flat-rolled electrical steel from the United States (WT/DS414/2).

The representatives of the United States and China spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter.

At its meeting on 25 March 2011, the DSB again considered this matter.

The representatives of the United States and China spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a panel in accordance with the provisions of Article 6 of the DSU with standard terms of reference.

The representatives of the European Union, Honduras, India, Japan, Korea and Viet Nam reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.⁸

⁶ After the meeting, Brazil, El Salvador, India, Mexico and Saudi Arabia reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.

⁷ After the meeting, Ecuador and India reserved their third party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.

⁸ After the meeting, Argentina and Saudi Arabia reserved their third party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.

- (d) Dominican Republic
- (i) *Safeguard measures on imports of polypropylene bags and tubular fabric (WT/DSB/M/291, 292)*

At its meeting on 25 January 2011, the DSB considered the requests by Costa Rica, Guatemala, Honduras and El Salvador for the establishment of panels to examine their complaints with regard to the Dominican Republic's measures on imports of polypropylene bags and tubular fabric (WT/DS415/7; WT/DS416/7; WT/DS417/7; WT/DS418/7).

The representatives of Costa Rica, Guatemala, El Salvador, Honduras and the Dominican Republic spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to these matters.

At its meeting on 7 February 2011, the DSB again considered these matters.

The representatives of Costa Rica, Guatemala, Honduras, El Salvador, the Dominican Republic and Panama spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a single panel, pursuant to Article 9.1 of the DSU, with standard terms of reference, to examine the complaint by Costa Rica contained in WT/DS415/7, the complaint by Guatemala contained in WT/DS416/7, the complaint by Honduras contained in WT/DS417/7 and the complaint by El Salvador contained in WT/DS418/7.

The representatives of China, Colombia, the European Union, Nicaragua, Panama, Turkey and the United States reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.⁹

- (e) European Communities
- (i) *Certain measures prohibiting the importation and marketing of seal products (WT/DSB/M/293, 294)*

At its meeting on 24 February 2011, the DSB considered a request by Canada for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint with regard to certain measures by the EU prohibiting the importation and marketing of seal products (WT/DS369/2).

The representatives of Canada and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter.

At its meeting on 25 March 2011, the DSB again considered this matter.

The representatives of Canada and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a panel in accordance with the provisions of Article 6 of the DSU with standard terms of reference.

⁹ After the meeting, Costa Rica (for DS416, DS417 & DS418), El Salvador (for DS415, DS416 & DS417), Guatemala (for DS415, DS417 & DS418) and Honduras (for DS415, DS416 & DS418) reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.

The representatives of China, Colombia, Japan, Mexico, Norway and the United States reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.¹⁰

(ii) *Definitive anti-dumping measures on certain iron or steel fasteners from China (WT/DSB/M/291, 301, 302)*

At its meeting on 25 January 2011, the DSB considered a joint request by the European Union and China for a decision by the DSB contained in document WT/DS397/6 pertaining to this dispute.

The representatives of China, the European Union and the Chairman spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to the draft decision contained in document WT/DS397/6.

In October 2009¹¹, the DSB had agreed to establish a panel to examine the complaint by China pertaining to this dispute. In March 2011, the European Union notified the DSB of its decision to appeal certain issues of law covered in the Panel Report and certain legal interpretations developed by the Panel (WT/DS397/7).

At its meeting on 28 July 2011, the DSB considered the Appellate Body Report contained in WT/DS397/AB/R and the Panel Report contained in WT/DS397/R pertaining to this dispute.

The representatives of China, the European Union, the United States, Costa Rica, Australia, Japan, Norway and the Chairperson spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and adopted the Appellate Body Report contained in WT/DS397/AB/R and the Panel Report contained in WT/DS397/R, as modified by the Appellate Body Report.

At the DSB meeting on 2 September 2011, the representative of the European Union stated that the European Union had informed the DSB in writing of its intentions in respect of implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings in this dispute, pursuant to Article 21.3 of the DSU (WT/DS397/12).

The representatives of the European Union and China spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and the information provided by the European Union regarding its intentions in respect of implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings in this dispute.

(iii) *Measures prohibiting the importation and marketing of seal products (WT/DSB/M/293, 294, 295)*

At its meeting on 24 February 2011, the DSB considered a request by Canada for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint with regard to EU measures prohibiting the importation and marketing of seal products (WT/DS400/4).

The representatives of Canada, the European Union and Norway spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter.

¹⁰ After the meeting, Ecuador and Argentina reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.

¹¹ WT/DSB/M/275.

At its meeting on 25 March 2011, the DSB again considered this matter.

The representatives of Canada, the European Union and Iceland spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a panel in accordance with the provisions of Article 6 of the DSU with standard terms of reference.

The representatives of China, Colombia, Iceland, Japan, Mexico, Norway and the United States reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.¹²

At its meeting on 25 March 2011, the DSB considered a request by Norway for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint with regard to EU measures prohibiting the importation and marketing of seal products (WT/DS401/5).

The representatives of Norway, the European Union, Canada and Iceland spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter.

At its meeting on 21 April 2011, the DSB again considered this matter.

The representatives of Norway, the European Union, Namibia, Canada, Iceland and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed that the request by Norway for the establishment of a panel with standard terms of reference was accepted and that, as provided for in Article 9.1 of the DSU in respect of multiple complainants, the Panel established at the 25 March 2011 DSB meeting to examine the complaint Canada (WT/DS400/4) would also examine the complaint by Norway contained in document WT/DS401/5.

The Chairperson stated that, since a single Panel was established, those delegations who had reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel established at the request of Canada shall be considered as third-parties in the single Panel. She recalled that Argentina, China, Colombia, Ecuador, Iceland, Japan, Mexico, Norway and the United States had reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel established at the request of Canada on 25 March 2011. She then invited other delegations who would wish to reserve their third-party rights to participate in the proceedings of the single Panel. The representatives of Canada and Namibia reserved their third-party rights.

(f) European Communities and certain member States

(i) *Measures affecting trade in large civil aircraft (WT/DSB/M/297, 298)*

In July 2005¹³, the DSB agreed to establish a panel to examine the complaint by the United States pertaining to this dispute. On 21 July 2010, the European Union notified the DSB of its decision to appeal certain issues of law covered in the Panel Report and certain legal interpretations developed by the Panel (WT/DS316/12 & Rev.1).

At its meeting on 1 June 2011, the DSB considered the Appellate Body Report contained in WT/DS316/AB/R and the Panel Report contained in WT/DS316/R pertaining to this dispute.

¹² After the meeting, Argentina and Ecuador reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.

¹³ WT/DSB/M/194.

The representatives of the United States, the European Union, China, Brazil, Canada and Australia spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and adopted the Appellate Body Report contained in WT/DS316/AB/R and the Panel Report contained in WT/DS316/R, as modified by the Appellate Body Report.

At the DSB meeting on 17 June 2011, the representative of the European Union informed the DSB of the EU's intentions in respect of implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings in this dispute, pursuant to Article 21.3 of the DSU.

The representatives of the European Union and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and of the information provided by the European Union regarding its intentions in respect of implementation of the DSB's recommendations.

(g) Moldova

(i) *Measures affecting the importation and internal sale of goods (environmental charge) (WT/DSB/M/296, 298)*

At its meeting on 24 May 2011, the DSB considered a request by Ukraine for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint with regard to Moldova's measures affecting the importation and internal sale of goods (WT/DS421/4).

The representatives of Ukraine and Moldova spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter.

At its meeting on 17 June 2011, the DSB again considered this matter.

The representatives of Ukraine and Moldova spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a panel in accordance with the provisions of Article 6 of the DSU with standard terms of reference.

The representatives of Argentina, China and the European Union reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.¹⁴

(h) Thailand

(i) *Customs and fiscal measures on cigarettes from the Philippines (WT/DSB/M/290, 299, 302)*

At its meeting on 17 December 2010, the DSB considered a joint request by Thailand and the Philippines for a decision by the DSB contained in document WT/DS371/7 pertaining to this dispute.

The representatives of the Philippines, Thailand and the Chairman spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to the draft decision contained in document WT/DS371/7.

¹⁴ After the meeting, Saudi Arabia and the United States reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.

In November 2008¹⁵, the DSB had agreed to establish a panel to examine the complaint by the Philippines pertaining to this dispute. In February 2011, Thailand informed the DSB of its decision to appeal certain issues of law covered in the Panel Report and certain legal interpretations developed by the Panel (WT/DS371/8).

At its meeting on 15 July 2011, the DSB considered the Appellate Body Report contained in WT/DS371/AB/R and the Panel Report contained in WT/DS371/R pertaining to this dispute.

The representatives of the Philippines, Thailand, the European Union, Australia, the United States, Mexico and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and adopted the Appellate Body Report contained in WT/DS371/AB/R and the Panel Report contained in WT/DS371/R, as modified by the Appellate Body Report.

At the DSB meeting on 2 September 2011, the representative of Thailand stated that Thailand had informed the DSB in writing of its intentions in respect of implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings in this dispute, pursuant to Article 21.3 of the DSU (WT/DS371/12).

The representatives of Thailand and the Philippines spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and of the information provided by Thailand regarding its intentions in respect of implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings in this dispute.

(i) Ukraine

(i) *Taxes on distilled spirits (WT/DSB/M/298, 300)*

At its meeting on 17 June 2011, the DSB considered a request by Moldova for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint with regard to Ukraine's taxes on distilled spirits (WT/DS423/4).

The representatives of Moldova, Ukraine and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter.

At its meeting on 20 July 2011, the DSB again considered this matter.

The representatives of Moldova and Ukraine spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a panel in accordance with the provisions of Article 6 of the DSU with standard terms of reference.

The representatives of China, Colombia, the European Union and the United States reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.¹⁶

¹⁵ WT/DSB/M/259.

¹⁶ After the meeting, Chinese Taipei reserved its third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.

(j) United States

(i) *Anti-dumping administrative reviews and other measures related to imports of certain orange juice from Brazil (WT/DSB/M/295, 298)*

At its meeting on 21 April 2011, the DSB considered a joint request by Brazil and the United States for a decision by the DSB contained in document WT/DS382/7 pertaining to this dispute.

The representatives of Brazil, the United States, Australia, the European Union, Japan and Canada spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to the draft decision contained in document WT/DS382/7.

In September 2009¹⁷, the DSB had agreed to establish a panel to examine the complaint by Brazil pertaining to this dispute.

At its meeting on 17 June 2011, the DSB considered the Panel Report contained in WT/DS382/R pertaining to this dispute.

The representatives of Brazil, the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and adopted the Panel Report contained in WT/DS382/R.

(ii) *Anti-dumping measures on certain shrimp and diamond sawblades from China (WT/DSB/M/305)*

At its meeting on 25 October 2011, the DSB considered a request by China for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint with regard to US anti-dumping measures on certain shrimp and diamond sawblades from China.

The representatives of China and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a panel in accordance with Article 6 of the DSU with standard terms of reference.

The representatives of the EU, Honduras, Japan, Korea, Thailand and Viet Nam reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.

(iii) *Anti-dumping measures on certain shrimp from Viet Nam (WT/DSB/M/302, 303)*

In May 2010¹⁸, the DSB agreed to establish a panel to examine the complaint by Viet Nam pertaining to this dispute.

At its meeting on 2 September 2011, the DSB considered the Panel Report contained in WT/DS404/R pertaining to this dispute.

¹⁷ WT/DSB/M/274.

¹⁸ WT/DSB/M/283.

The representatives of Viet Nam, the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and adopted the Panel Report contained in WT/DS404/R.

At the DSB meeting on 27 September 2011, the representative of the United States informed the DSB of his country's intentions in respect of implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings in this dispute, pursuant to Article 21.3 of the DSU.

The representatives of the United States and Viet Nam spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and of the information provided by the United States regarding its intentions in respect of implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings in this dispute.

(iv) *Definitive anti-dumping and countervailing duties on certain products from China (WT/DSB/M/294, 295)*

In January 2009¹⁹, the DSB agreed to establish a panel to examine the complaint by China pertaining to this dispute. In December 2010, China notified the DSB of its decision to appeal certain issues of law and legal interpretations developed by the Panel (WT/DS379/6).

At its meeting on 25 March 2011, the DSB considered the Appellate Body Report contained in WT/DS379/AB/R and the Panel Report contained in WT/DS379/R pertaining to this dispute.

The representatives of China, the United States, Mexico, Turkey, the European Union, Norway, Canada, Australia, Japan and Argentina spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and adopted the Appellate Body Report contained in WT/DS379/AB/R and the Panel Report contained in WT/DS379/R, as modified by the Appellate Body Report.

At the DSB meeting on 21 April 2011, the representative of the United States informed the DSB of his country's intentions in respect of implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings in this dispute, pursuant to Article 21.3 of the DSU.

The representatives of the United States and China spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and of the information provided by the United States regarding its intentions in respect of implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings in this dispute.

(v) *Measures affecting imports of certain passenger vehicle and light truck tyres from China (WT/DSB/M/292, 304)*

At its meeting on 7 February 2011, the DSB considered a joint request by China and the United States for a decision by the DSB contained in document WT/DS399/5 pertaining to this dispute.

¹⁹ WT/DSB/M/263.

The representatives of China, the United States and the Chairman spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to the draft decision contained in document WT/DS399/5.

In January 2010²⁰, the DSB had agreed to establish a panel to examine the complaint by China pertaining to this dispute. In May 2011, China notified the DSB of its decision to appeal certain issues of law and legal interpretations developed by the Panel (WT/DS399/6).

At its meeting on 5 October 2011, the DSB considered the Appellate Body Report contained in WT/DS399/AB/R and the Panel Report contained in WT/DS399/R pertaining to this dispute.

The representatives of the United States, China, the European Union, Japan, Australia, Chile, Argentina, Costa Rica and Guatemala spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and adopted the Appellate Body Report contained in WT/DS399/AB/R and the Panel Report contained in WT/DS399/R, as upheld by the Appellate Body Report.

(vi) *Measures affecting the production and sale of clove cigarettes (WT/DSB/M/303)*

At its meeting on 27 September 2011, the DSB considered a joint request by Indonesia and the United States for a decision by the DSB contained in document WT/DS406/5 pertaining to this dispute.

The representatives of Indonesia, the United States, Japan and the Chairperson spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to the draft decision contained in document WT/DS406/5.

(vii) *Use of zeroing in anti-dumping measures involving products from Korea (WT/DSB/M/293, 294)*

In May 2010²¹, the DSB agreed to establish a panel to examine the complaint by Korea pertaining to this dispute.

At its meeting on 24 February 2011, the DSB considered the Panel Report contained in WT/DS402/R pertaining to this dispute.

The representatives of Korea, the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and adopted the Panel Report contained in WT/DS402/R.

At the DSB meeting on 25 March 2011, the representative of the United States informed the DSB of his country's intentions in respect of the DSB's recommendations and rulings in this dispute, pursuant to Article 21.3 of the DSU.

²⁰ WT/DSB/M/278.

²¹ WT/DSB/M/283.

The representatives of the United States and Korea spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements, and of the information provided by the United States regarding its intentions in respect of implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings in this dispute.

6. Surveillance of implementation of recommendations adopted by the DSB

Matters considered by the DSB under this item are included on the Agenda pursuant to Article 21.6 of the DSU which provides that: "Unless the DSB decides otherwise, the issue of implementation of the recommendations or rulings shall be placed on the agenda of the DSB meeting after six months following the date of establishment of the reasonable time-period pursuant to paragraph 3 and shall remain on the DSB's agenda until the issue is resolved. At least 10 days prior to each such DSB meeting, the Member concerned shall provide the DSB with a status report in writing of its progress in the implementation of the recommendations or rulings".

(a) Australia

(i) *Measures affecting the importation of apples from New Zealand (WT/DSB/M/302)*

At its meeting on 2 September 2011, the DSB considered the status report by Australia on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS367/20).

The representatives of Australia and New Zealand spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

(b) China

(i) *Measures affecting trading rights and distribution services for certain publications and audiovisual entertainment products (WT/DSB/M/291, 293, 294, 295, 296, 298, 300, 302, 303, 305)*

At its meeting on 25 January 2011, the DSB considered the status report by China on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS363/17).

The representatives of China and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 24 February 2011, the DSB considered the status report by China on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS363/17/Add.1).

The representatives of China and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 25 March 2011, the DSB considered the status report by China on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS363/17/Add.2).

The representatives of China, the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 21 April 2011, the DSB considered the status report by China on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS363/17/Add.3).

The representatives of China and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 24 May 2011, the DSB considered the status report by China on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS363/17/Add.4).

The representatives of China and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 17 June 2011, the DSB considered the status report by China on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS363/17/Add.5).

The representatives of China and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 20 July 2011, the DSB considered the status report by China on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS363/17/Add.6).

The representatives of China and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 2 September 2011, the DSB considered the status report by China on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS363/17/Add.7).

The representatives of China and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 27 September 2011, the DSB considered the status report by China on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS363/17/Add.8).

The representatives of China and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 25 October 2011, the DSB considered the status report by China on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS363/17/Add.9).

The representatives of China and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

(c) European Communities

(i) *Measures affecting the approval and marketing of biotech products (WT/DSB/M/290, 291, 293, 294, 295, 296, 298, 300, 302, 303, 305)*

At its meeting on 17 December 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the European Union on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS291/37/Add.35).

The representatives of the European Union and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 25 January 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the European Union on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS291/37/Add.36).

The representatives of the European Union and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 24 February 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the European Union on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS291/37/Add.37).

The representatives of the European Union and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 25 March 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the European Union on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS291/37/Add.38).

The representatives of the European Union and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 21 April 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the European Union on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS291/37/Add.39).

The representatives of the European Union and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 24 May 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the European Union on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS291/37/Add.40).

The representatives of the European Union and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 17 June 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the European Union on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS291/37/Add.41).

The representatives of the European Union and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 20 July 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the European Union on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS291/37/Add.42).

The representatives of the European Union and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 2 September 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the European Union on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS291/37/Add.43).

The representatives of the European Union and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 27 September 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the European Union on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS291/37/Add.44).

The representatives of the European Union and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 25 October 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the European Union on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS291/37/Add.45).

The representatives of the European Union and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

(d) European Communities and its member States

(i) *Tariff treatment of certain information technology products (WT/DSB/M/300)*

At its meeting on 20 July 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the European Union on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS375/18 - WT/DS376/18 - WT/DS377/16).

The representatives of the European Union, the United States, Chinese Taipei and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

(e) United States

(i) *Anti-dumping measures on certain hot-rolled steel products from Japan (WT/DSB/M/290, 291, 293, 294, 295, 296, 298, 300, 302, 303, 305)*

At its meeting on 17 December 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS184/15/Add.97).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 25 January 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS184/15/Add.98).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 24 February 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS184/15/Add.99).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 25 March 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS184/15/Add.100).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 21 April 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS184/15/Add.101).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 24 May 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS184/15/Add.102).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 17 June 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS184/15/Add.103).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 20 July 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS184/15/Add.104).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 2 September 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS184/15/Add.105).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 27 September 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS184/15/Add.106).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 25 October 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS184/15/Add.107).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

(ii) *Continued existence and application of zeroing methodology (WT/DSB/M/290, 291, 293, 294, 295, 296, 298, 300, 302, 303, 305)*

At its meeting on 17 December 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS350/18/Add.12).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 25 January 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS350/18/Add.13).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 24 February 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS350/18/Add.14).

The representatives of the United States, the European Union and China spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 25 March 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS350/18/Add.15).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 21 April 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS350/18/Add.16).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 24 May 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS350/18/Add.17).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 17 June 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS350/18/Add.18).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 20 July 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS350/18/Add.19).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 2 September 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS350/18/Add.20).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 27 September 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS350/18/Add.21).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 25 October 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS350/18/Add.22).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

(iii) *Laws, regulations and methodology for calculating dumping margins ("zeroing")*
(WT/DSB/M/290, 291, 293, 294, 295, 296, 298, 300, 302, 303, 305)

At its meeting on 17 December 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS294/38/Add.6).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 25 January 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS294/38/Add.7).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 24 February 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS294/38/Add.8).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 25 March 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS294/38/Add.9).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 21 April 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS294/38/Add.10).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 24 May 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS294/38/Add.11).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 17 June 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS294/38/Add.12).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 20 July 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS294/38/Add.13).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 2 September 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS294/38/Add.14).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 27 September 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS294/38/Add.15).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 25 October 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS294/38/Add.16).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

(iv) *Measures relating to zeroing and sunset reviews (WT/DSB/M/290, 291, 293, 294, 295, 296, 298, 300, 302, 303, 305)*

At its meeting on 17 December 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS322/36/Add.15).

The representatives of the United States, Japan and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 25 January 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS322/36/Add.16).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 24 February 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS322/36/Add.17).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 25 March 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS322/36/Add.18).

The representatives of the United States, Japan and China spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 21 April 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS322/36/Add.19).

The representatives of the United States, Japan and China spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 24 May 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS322/36/Add.20).

The representatives of the United States, Japan and China spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 17 June 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS322/36/Add.21).

The representatives of the United States, Japan and China spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 20 July 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS322/36/Add.22).

The representatives of the United States, Japan and China spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 2 September 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS322/36/Add.23).

The representatives of the United States, Japan and China spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 27 September 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS322/36/Add.24).

The representatives of the United States, Japan and China spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 25 October 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS322/36/Add.25).

The representatives of the United States, Japan and China spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

(v) *Section 110(5) of the US Copyright Act (WT/DSB/M/290, 291, 293, 294, 295, 296, 298, 300, 302, 303, 305)*

At its meeting on 17 December 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS160/24/Add.72).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 25 January 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS160/24/Add.73).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 24 February 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS160/24/Add.74).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 25 March 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS160/24/Add.75).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 21 April 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS160/24/Add.76).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 24 May 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS160/24/Add.77).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 17 June 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS160/24/Add.78).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 20 July 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS160/24/Add.79).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 2 September 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS160/24/Add.80).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 27 September 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS160/24/Add.81).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 25 October 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS160/24/Add.82).

The representatives of the United States and the European Union spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

(vi) *Section 211 Omnibus Appropriations Act of 1998 (WT/DSB/M/290, 291, 293, 294, 295, 296, 298, 300, 302, 303, 305)*

At its meeting on 17 December 2010, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS176/11/Add.97).

The representatives of the United States, the European Union, Cuba, the Plurinational State of Bolivia, China, Angola, Ecuador, Nicaragua, the Dominican Republic, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Brazil, Mexico and Paraguay spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 25 January 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS176/11/Add.98).

The representatives of the United States, the European Union, Cuba, the Dominican Republic, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Nicaragua, Zimbabwe, Brazil, the Plurinational State of Bolivia, Mexico, China, Viet Nam and Ecuador spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 24 February 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS176/11/Add.99).

The representatives of the United States, the European Union, Cuba, Ecuador, China, Argentina, Nicaragua, Brazil, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, the Dominican Republic, Mexico, Viet Nam, Zimbabwe and Paraguay spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 25 March 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS176/11/Add.100).

The representatives of the United States, the European Union, Cuba, China, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Uruguay, Brazil, Nicaragua, Mexico, Angola, Zimbabwe and Ecuador spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 21 April 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS176/11/Add.101).

The representatives of the United States, the European Union, Cuba, the Plurinational State of Bolivia, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Zimbabwe, Paraguay, Ecuador, China, Brazil, Nicaragua, Angola, Uruguay, Viet Nam, Argentina and Mexico spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 24 May 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS176/11/Add.102).

The representatives of the United States, the European Union, Cuba, the Dominican Republic, Zimbabwe, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, China, Brazil, Ecuador, Mexico, Viet Nam and Paraguay spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 17 June 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS176/11/Add.103).

The representatives of the United States, the European Union, Cuba, the Plurinational State of Bolivia, Brazil, Ecuador, the Dominican Republic, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Zimbabwe, China, Angola, Paraguay and Mexico spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 20 July 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS176/11/Add.104).

The representatives of the United States, the European Union, Cuba, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, China, the Plurinational State of Bolivia, Zimbabwe, Angola, Ecuador, Brazil, Mexico and Paraguay spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 2 September 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS176/11/Add.105).

The representatives of the United States, the European Union, Cuba, Ecuador, China, Viet Nam, Brazil, the Plurinational State of Bolivia, Nicaragua, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Argentina, Paraguay and Mexico spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 27 September 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS176/11/Add.106).

The representatives of the United States, the European Union, Cuba, China, Zimbabwe, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Ecuador, Viet Nam, the Dominican Republic, the Plurinational State of Bolivia, Paraguay, Mexico, Argentina and Brazil spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 25 October 2011, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings on this matter (WT/DS176/11/Add.107).

The representatives of the United States, the European Union, Cuba, Mexico, Zimbabwe, the Plurinational State of Bolivia, Brazil, Ecuador, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, China, Nicaragua, Viet Nam and Angola spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

7. United States – Continued Dumping and Subsidy Offset Act of 2000: Implementation of the recommendations adopted by the DSB: Statements by the European Union and Japan (WT/DSB/M/290, 291, 293, 294, 295, 296, 298, 300, 302, 303, 305)

At the DSB meeting on 17 December 2010, the European Union and Japan raised this matter in order to make statements regarding the implementation by the United States of the DSB's recommendations and rulings in the disputes: "US – Continued Dumping and Subsidy Offset Act of 2000".

The representatives of the European Union, Japan, Brazil, Canada, India, China, Thailand and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At the DSB meeting on 25 January 2011, the DSB again considered this matter at the request of the European Union and Japan.

The representatives of the European Union, Japan, India, Brazil, Canada, Thailand, China, and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At the DSB meeting on 24 February 2011, the DSB again considered this matter at the request of the European Union and Japan.

The representatives of Japan, the European Union, India, Brazil, Canada, China, Thailand and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At the DSB meeting on 25 March 2011, the DSB again considered this matter at the request of the European Union and Japan.

The representatives of the European Union, Japan, China, Brazil, Canada, India, Thailand and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At the DSB meeting on 21 April 2011, the DSB again considered this matter at the request of the European Union and Japan.

The representatives of Japan, the European Union, Brazil, Canada, India, Thailand and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At the DSB meeting on 24 May 2011, the DSB again considered this matter at the request of the European Union and Japan.

The representatives of the European Union, Japan, Canada, Brazil, India, Thailand and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At the DSB meeting on 17 June 2011, the DSB again considered this matter at the request of the European Union and Japan.

The representatives of Japan, the European Union, Canada, Brazil, Thailand, India and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At the DSB meeting on 20 July 2011, the DSB again considered this matter at the request of the European Union and Japan.

The representatives of Japan, the European Union, Brazil, Canada, Thailand and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At the DSB meeting on 2 September 2011, the DSB again considered this matter at the request of the European Union and Japan.

The representatives of the European Union, Japan, Brazil, India, Canada, Thailand and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At the DSB meeting on 27 September 2011, the DSB again considered this matter at the request of the European Union and Japan.

The representatives of Japan, the European Union, India, Canada, Thailand and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At the DSB meeting on 25 October 2011, the DSB again considered this matter at the request of the European Union and Japan.

The representatives of the European Union, Japan, Canada, India, Brazil, Thailand and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.
