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1. BACKGROUND

* Frontal and temporal brain areas in the LH are classically associated with language processing [2,9,13].
* Damage to these areas causes sustained and distinct linguistic deficits in stroke patients [8], but not necessarily in tumor patients [1].
* Neuroimaging studies with healthy adults and lesion studies with aphasia patients show that objects and actions are represented in at least partially distinct neural substrates, suggesting a differential brain organization for nouns and verbs (nouns are suberved by temporal areas; verbs - frontal areas) [4,5,7,10,11,12].

2. RESEARCH QUESTIONS

1. Are objects and actions distinctly processed in temporal and frontal brain regions, respectively, by patients with brain tumor?
2. Do reorganization capacities (due to neuroplasticity) of frontal and temporal areas differ for object and action processing?

3. PATIENTS

N=14 patients (native Russian, right-handed) with tumor in the temporal (n=7) and frontal (n=7) lobe:
* dysembryoplastic neuroepithelial tumor: 1
* diffuse astrocytoma (WHO II): 1
* diffuse oligoastrocytoma (WHO II): 4
* anaplastic astrocytoma (WHO III): 1
* anaplastic oligoastrocytoma (WHO III): 4
* glioblastoma (WHO IV): 3
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4. METHOD AND MATERIALS

Russian Object and Action Naming Test (administered pre-, intra-, post-operatively)
* routinely used in DES language mapping [8];
* appropriate for tumor patients because word-finding difficulties are the most common linguistic symptoms;
* involves various cognitive sub-processes (object recognition, memory recall, lexical retrieval, phonological encoding, etc.) [3]

Design: 50 object & 50 action b/w pictures; controlled for psycholinguistic parameters; display interval=3s

5. RESULTS

5a. RESULTS: OVERALL MEAN ACCURACY

5b. RESULTS: INTERPATIENT VARIABILITY

5c. RESULTS: COVARIATES

5d. RESULTS: ERROR TYPE

6. SUMMARY

* The mere fact of glioma in the eloquent cortices—IFG and STG—does not cause a significant deterioration of object or action naming in either frontal or temporal patient groups → effect of neuroplasticity;
* Post-op mean accuracy rate differs in the two groups of patients: ‘frontal’ patients do not show a sig. accuracy drop for either action or object naming, ‘temporal’ patients perform worse on both nouns (a sig. drop of 26%) and verbs (a drop of 19%) → linguistic functions that are grounded in the temporal lobe are less reluctant to reorganization than those based in the frontal areas;
* But, much inter-group and inter-patient variability is observed (3 people showed improvement, 4 → decline in action naming; 4 → decline in noun naming; 3 → no sig. difference b/n object and action naming) → tumor location and its resection does not unambiguously predict post-op recovery pattern for naming function (e.g., ‘temporal’ patients #1 and #14 demonstrate a directly opposite pattern);
* The present test is the first naming test normed and adapted for the Russian language that is used intra-operatively during awake craniotomy.