

**National Research University Higher School of Economics
Institute for Statistical Studies and Economics of Knowledge
Master Programme “Governance of Science, Technology and Innovation”**

Assessment sheet – Course Work / Master Thesis

Title

Author _____

Reviewer 1 _____

Reviewer 2 _____

Date received _____

Total Grade (TG): ____

Total grade (TG) = 0.6*Written Work + 0.4*Oral Defense

Assessment - Written Work (WW)

Grades for assessment: 1, 2, 3 = fail; 4, 5 = Satisfactory; 6, 7 = Good; 8, 9 = Very Good; 10 = excellent

| Grade | Criteria | Remarks |
|-------|--------------------------------------|--|
| | Relationship to Topic and Literature | Relevance of work to the chosen topic |
| | | Demonstration of an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and citation of an appropriate range of sources |
| | | Development of clear research questions and / or hypotheses |
| | | Relevance of research question to topic |
| | Methodology and results | Choice and application of well-reasoned research methods |
| | | Correct use and employment of methods |
| | | Clear presentation and analysis of research results |
| | | Development, presentation and justification of adequate conclusions from research |
| | | Preparation of answers to the research questions raised in the paper |
| | Quality of Communication | Clearness of expressing the case of the paper |
| | | Communication of ideas in the paper |
| | | Argumentation line |

WW Grade: _____

Assessment - Oral Defense (OD)

Reviewer 1 _____
Reviewer 2 _____
Defense Date _____

Grades for assessment: 1, 2, 3 = fail; 4, 5 = Satisfactory; 6, 7 = Good; 8, 9 = Very Good; 10 = excellent

| Grade | Criteria | Remarks |
|-------|---------------------------|---|
| | Reference to written work | Presentation of written paper summary |
| | | Review of the written paper results |
| | | Conclusions for future work developed |
| | Quality of Communication | Capability to give a clearly structured presentation |
| | | Clear presentation of essential messages |
| | | Evidence for statements and conclusions shown in the presentation |
| | Question and answer | Capability to give relevant answers to the questions |

OD Grade: _____

Criteria for review writing

1. Matching of the topic and contents
2. Meeting formal standards
3. Quality of literature work
4. Argumentation line of the paper
5. Quality of paper summary

Competences and skills developed by graduates

The final grade for Master Thesis expresses the degree to which the student has obtained the following capabilities:

1. Capability to define and broadcast common goals in professional and social activities
2. Capability to search and formulate actual scientific problems in the field of management, to generalize and assess the results received by national and international researchers in the chosen area
3. Capability to formulate and check scientific hypotheses, select and substantiate tools, modern technological tools and information technologies for information processing according to the assigned scientific task in the field of management, analyse calculation data and justify conclusions
4. Capability to present the results of the conducted research as a report, an article or a presentation

Additional capabilities are expressed in the grades achieved in courses taught in the framework of the programme:

1. Capability to develop corporate/ business strategy and functional strategies of an organisation
2. Capability to plan and carry out projects and events aimed at implementing organisation strategies
3. Capability to use modern management technologies and develop new management technologies for raising of organisation efficiency
4. Capability to find and evaluate new market opportunities, form and assess business ideas, develop business plans for building new business
5. Capability to select and substantiate tools, modern information technologies for information processing technologies for information processing according to the assigned scientific task in the field of management, analyse calculation data and justify managerial recommendations