Optimal test of conditional independence testing in multivariate normal distribution
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Let $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_N)$ be random vector with multivariate normal distribution

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
X_1 \\
X_2 \\
\vdots \\
X_N
\end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{N}
\begin{pmatrix}
\mu_1 \\
\mu_2 \\
\vdots \\
\mu_N
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\sigma_{1,1} & \sigma_{1,2} & \cdots & \sigma_{1,N} \\
\sigma_{2,1} & \sigma_{2,2} & \cdots & \sigma_{2,N} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\sigma_{N,1} & \sigma_{N,2} & \cdots & \sigma_{N,N}
\end{pmatrix}
$$

Let $\rho_{i,j} = \rho_{i,j,1,\ldots,i-1,i+1,\ldots,j-1,j+1,\ldots,N}$ be the partial correlation between $X_i$ and $X_j$.

$$h_{i,j} : \rho_{i,j} = 0$$

versus

$$k_{i,j} : \rho_{i,j} \neq 0$$
Partial correlation as correlation between residuals

For simplicity of notations let \( i = 1, j = 2 \). Define linear regression

\[
X_1 = \beta_{1,3} X_3 + \ldots + \beta_{1,N} X_N + \epsilon_1
\]

\[
X_2 = \beta_{2,3} X_3 + \ldots + \beta_{2,N} X_N + \epsilon_2
\]

Then residuals are

\[
X_1 \cdot 3, \ldots, N = X_1 - \beta_{1,3} X_3 - \ldots - \beta_{1,N} X_N
\]

\[
X_2 \cdot 3, \ldots, N = X_2 - \beta_{2,3} X_3 - \ldots - \beta_{2,N} X_N
\]

Partial correlation is

\[
\rho^{1,2} = \rho_{1,2 \cdot 3, \ldots, N} = \rho(X_1 \cdot 3, \ldots, N, X_2 \cdot 3, \ldots, N) = \frac{E(X_1 \cdot 3, \ldots, N, X_2 \cdot 3, \ldots, N)}{\sqrt{E(X_1^2 \cdot 3, \ldots, N), E(X_2^2 \cdot 3, \ldots, N)}}
\]

If \( N = 3 \) then

\[
\rho^{1,2} = \rho_{1,2 \cdot 3} = \frac{\rho_{12} - \rho_{13} \rho_{23}}{\sqrt{1 - \rho_{13}^2} \sqrt{1 - \rho_{23}^2}}
\]
Partial correlation as correlation in conditional distribution

Let $\Sigma_{1,2} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1,1} & \sigma_{1,2} \\ \sigma_{2,1} & \sigma_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}$; $\Sigma_{3,N} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{3,3} & \ldots & \sigma_{3,N} \\ \sigma_{4,3} & \ldots & \sigma_{4,N} \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ \sigma_{N,3} & \ldots & \sigma_{N,N} \end{pmatrix}$

$\Sigma^{1,2} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1,3} & \ldots & \sigma_{1,N} \\ \sigma_{2,3} & \ldots & \sigma_{2,N} \end{pmatrix}$; $\Sigma^{2,1} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{3,1} & \sigma_{3,2} \\ \ldots & \ldots \\ \sigma_{N,1} & \sigma_{N,2} \end{pmatrix}$

Conditional distribution $F_{X_1,X_2/X_3,...,X_N}$ is normal $\mathcal{N}(\nu, \Sigma')$ where

$$\Sigma' = \Sigma_{1,2} - \Sigma^{1,2} (\Sigma_{3,N})^{-1} \Sigma^{2,1}$$

Partial correlation is

$$\rho^{1,2} = \rho_{1,2,3,...,N} = \frac{\sigma'_{1,2}}{\sqrt{\sigma'_{1,1} \sigma'_{2,2}}}$$
Existing statistical procedures. Exact test

Exact sample partial correlation test for testing hypothesis

\[ h_{i,j} : \rho^{i,j} = 0 \]

versus

\[ k_{i,j} : \rho^{i,j} \neq 0 \]

is:

\[
\varphi_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 
0, & |r^{i,j}| \leq c_{i,j} \\
1, & |r^{i,j}| > c_{i,j} 
\end{cases}
\]  (1)

where \( c_{i,j} \) is \((1 - \alpha/2)\)-quantile of the distribution with density function

\[
f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma((n - N + 1)/2)}{\Gamma((n - N)/2)} (1 - x^2)^{(n-N-2)/2}, \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1
\]

Existing statistical procedures. Asymptotic test

Asymptotic test of hypothesis \( h_{i,j} : \rho^{i,j} = 0 \) vs \( k_{i,j} : \rho^{i,j} \neq 0 \) has the form

\[
\varphi_{ij}(x) = \begin{cases} 
1, & |z^{ij}| > c_{ij} \\
0, & |z^{ij}| \leq c_{ij}
\end{cases}
\]

where \( z^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{1+r^{ij}}{1-r^{ij}} \right) \), \( r^{ij} \)-sample partial correlation.

\( z^{ij} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1) \) if \( n \to \infty \)

Then \( c_{ij} \) is \((1 - \alpha/2)\)-quantile of \( N(0, 1) \) distribution.

---

Test of hypothesis

Hypothesis

\[ h_{i,j} : \rho^{i,j} = 0 \ vs \ k_{i,j} : \rho^{i,j} \neq 0 \]

According to Lauritzen S.L.\(^3\)

\[ \rho^{i,j} = \frac{-\sigma^{i,j}}{\sqrt{\sigma^{i,i}\sigma^{j,j}}} \]

Then

\[ h_{i,j} : \sigma^{i,j} = 0 \ vs \ k_{i,j} : \sigma^{i,j} \neq 0 \]

Theorem 1 Optimal in the class of unbiased statistical level $\alpha$ test for hypothesis $h_{ij} : \rho^{ij} = 0$ against $k_{ij} : \rho^{ij} \neq 0$ is:

$$
\varphi_{ij}^{\text{opt}} = \begin{cases} 
0, & \frac{|a s_{ij} - b|}{\sqrt{\frac{b^2}{4} + ac}} < 1 - 2c_{\alpha}^{\text{beta}} \\
1, & \frac{|a s_{ij} - b|}{\sqrt{\frac{b^2}{4} + ac}} > 1 - 2c_{\alpha}^{\text{beta}}
\end{cases}
$$

where $\det(s_{kl}) = -a s_{ij}^2 + b s_{ij} + c$, $c_{\alpha}^{\text{beta}}$ is the $\alpha$-quantile of Beta distribution. ($a = a(\{s_{kl}\}), b = b(\{s_{kl}\}), c = c(\{s_{kl}\})$).

---

Wishart distribution

\[ S = \begin{pmatrix}
S_{11} & S_{12} & \cdots & S_{1N} \\
S_{21} & S_{22} & \cdots & S_{2N} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
S_{N1} & S_{N2} & \cdots & S_{NN}
\end{pmatrix} \]  \tag{3}

\[ f(\{s_{k,l}\}) = \frac{[\text{det}(\sigma^{kl})]^{n/2} \times [\text{det}(s_{kl})]^{(n-N-2)/2} \times \exp[-(1/2) \sum_k \sum_l s_{k,l} \sigma^{kl}]}{2^{(Nn/2)} \times \pi^{N(N-1)/4} \times \Gamma(n/2)\Gamma((n-1)/2) \cdots \Gamma((n-N+1)/2)} \]

if the matrix \((s_{kl})\) is positive definite, and \(f(\{s_{kl}\}) = 0\) otherwise. Let \(I\) be the interval of positive definiteness of the matrix. One has for a fixed \(i < j\):

\[ f(\{s_{kl}\}) = C(\{\sigma^{kl}\}) \times \exp[-\sigma^{ij}s_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(k,l) \neq (i,j); (k,l) \neq (j,i)} s_{kl} \sigma^{kl}] \times h(\{s_{kl}\}) \]
UMPU test

UMPU test for testing hypothesis

\[ h_{ij} : \rho^{i,j} = 0 \text{ vs } k_{ij} : \rho^{i,j} \neq 0 \]

has the Neyman structure and can be written as

\[
\delta_{i,j}(\{s_{kl}\}) = \begin{cases} 
\partial_{i,j}, & \text{if } c_1(\{s_{kl}\}) \leq s_{ij} \leq c_2(\{s_{kl}\}), \ (k, l) \neq (i, j) \\
\partial_{i,j}^{-1}, & \text{if } s_{ij} < c_1(\{s_{kl}\}) \quad s_{ij} > c_2(\{s_{kl}\}), \ (k, l) \neq (i, j)
\end{cases}
\]

(4)

where constants are defined from

\[
\int_{I \cap [c_1; c_2]} \exp[-\sigma_0^{ij} s_{ij}] \left[ \det(s_{kl}) \right]^{(n-N-2)/2} ds_{ij} 
\]

\[
= 1 - \alpha_{i,j},
\]

(5)

\[
\int_{I} \exp[-\sigma_0^{ij} s_{ij}] \left[ \det(s_{kl}) \right]^{(n-N-2)/2} ds_{ij} + 
\]

\[
\int_{I \cap [-\infty; c_1]} s_{ij} \exp[-\sigma_0^{ij} s_{ij}] \left[ \det(s_{kl}) \right]^{(n-N-2)/2} ds_{ij} + 
\]

\[
= \alpha_{i,j} \int_{I} s_{ij} \exp[-\sigma_0^{ij} s_{ij}] \left[ \det(s_{kl}) \right]^{(n-N-2)/2} ds_{ij},
\]

(6)
UMP test.

Under $\sigma_{0}^{i,j} = 0$ equation (5) is

$$\frac{\int_{I \cap [c_{1};c_{2}]} \left[ \det(s_{kl}) \right]^{n-N-2}/2 \, ds_{ij}}{\int_{I} \left[ \det(s_{kl}) \right]^{n-N-2}/2 \, ds_{ij}} = 1 - \alpha_{i,j}$$ (7)

Let $K = \frac{n-N-2}{2}$, $x = s_{ij}$. Then

$$\int_{f}^{d} (ax^{2} - bx - c)^{K} \, dx = (-1)^{K} a^{K} (x_{2} - x_{1})^{2K+1} \int_{\frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{f-x_{1}}}^{\frac{d-x_{1}}{x_{2}-x_{1}}} u^{K} (1 - u)^{K} \, du$$

Equation (7) can be written as

$$\int_{\frac{c_{2}-x_{1}}{x_{2}-x_{1}}}^{\frac{c_{1}-x_{1}}{x_{2}-x_{1}}} u^{K} (1-u)^{K} \, du = (1-\alpha) \int_{0}^{1} u^{K} (1-u)^{K} \, du = (1-\alpha) \frac{\Gamma(K+1)\Gamma(K+1)}{\Gamma(2K+2)}$$ (8)

Acceptance region is: $c_{\alpha}^{\beta} \leq \frac{s_{i,j}-x_{1}}{x_{2}-x_{1}} \leq 1 - c_{\alpha}^{\beta}$ or

$$2c_{\alpha}^{\beta} - 1 \leq \frac{as_{i,j}-b/2}{\sqrt{b^{2}/4+ac}} \leq 1 - 2c_{\alpha}^{\beta}$$
Let us consider exact sample partial correlation test for testing hypothesis \( \rho^{i,j} = 0 \):

\[
\varphi_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 
0, & |r^{i,j}| \leq c_{i,j} \\
1, & |r^{i,j}| > c_{i,j}
\end{cases}
\]  

(9)

where \( c_{i,j} \) is \( (1 - \alpha/2) \)-quantile of the distribution with density function

\[
f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma((n-N+1)/2)}{\Gamma((n-N)/2)} (1 - x^2)^{(n-N-2)/2}, \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1
\]

**Theorem 2** Exact sample partial correlation test (9) is equivalent to UMPU test (2) for testing hypothesis \( \rho^{i,j} = 0 \) vs \( \rho^{i,j} \neq 0 \).
Since
\[ r^{i,j}(x) = \frac{-S^{i,j}(x)}{\sqrt{S^{i,i}S^{j,j}}} \]
it is sufficient to prove that
\[ \frac{S^{i,j}}{\sqrt{S^{i,i}S^{j,j}}} = \frac{aS^{i,j} - b}{2\sqrt{\frac{b^2}{4} + ac}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Let \( A = (a_{k,l}) \) be an \((N \times N)\) symmetric matrix. Fix \( i < j \),
\( i,j = 1, 2, \ldots, N \). Denote by \( A(x) \) the matrix obtained from \( A \) by replacing the elements \( a_{i,j} \) and \( a_{j,i} \) by \( x \). Denote by \( A^{i,j}(x) \) the cofactor of the element \((i, j)\) in the matrix \( A(x) \). Then the following statement is true

**Lemma 1** One has \([\det A(x)]' = -2A^{i,j}(x)\).
Equivalence of exact partial correlation and UMPU tests.

\[
\det(S(x)) = -ax^2 + bx + c \rightarrow [\det S(x)]' = -2ax + b = -2S^{i,j}(x)
\]
i.e. \( S^{i,j}(x) = ax - b/2 \).

\[
x = s_{i,j} \rightarrow as_{i,j} - \frac{b}{2} = S^{i,j}
\]

It is sufficient to prove that \( \sqrt{S^{i,i}S^{j,j}} = \sqrt{\frac{b^2}{4} + ac} \).

Let \( x_2 = \frac{b+\sqrt{b^2+4ac}}{2a} \) be the maximum root of equation \( ax^2 - bx - c = 0 \).

Then \( ax_2 - \frac{b}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{b^2}{4} + ac} \).
Equivalence of exact partial correlation and UMPU tests.

Consider

\[ r^{i,j}(x) = \frac{-S^{i,j}(x)}{\sqrt{S^{i,i}S^{j,j}}} \]

According to Silvester determinant identity:

\[ S\{i,j\},\{i,j\} \det S(x) = S^{i,i}S^{j,j} - [S^{i,j}(x)]^2 \]

Therefore for \( x = x_1 \) and \( x = x_2 \) one has

\[ S^{i,i}S^{j,j} - [S^{i,j}(x)]^2 = 0 \]

For \( x = x_1 \) and \( x = x_2 \) one has \( r^{i,j}(x) = \pm 1 \). The equation \( S^{i,j}(x) = ax - \frac{b}{2} \) implies that when \( x \) is increasing from \( x_1 \) to \( x_2 \) then \( r^{i,j}(x) \) is decreasing from 1 to \(-1 \). That is \( r^{i,j}(x_2) = -1 \), i.e. 

\[ ax_2 - \frac{b}{2} = \sqrt{S^{i,i}S^{j,j}} \]. Therefore

\[ \sqrt{S^{i,i}S^{j,j}} = \sqrt{\frac{b^2}{4} + ac} \]
1. The UMPU test for testing hypothesis $h_{i,j} : \rho^{i,j} = 0$ versus $k_{i,j} : \rho^{i,j} \neq 0$ in multivariate normal distribution is constructed.

2. It is shown that UMPU test is equivalent to exact test based on partial correlation. Then the exact test based on partial correlation is UMPU one.
THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!