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Motivation for the Semi-Automated Pipeline

- When there is a corpus that merits description
- the focus is on change over time
- How to trace changes in meanings and key terms?
- How to merge terms into topics?
- Thematic analysis.
- Now, what if the corpus is hundreds of texts? Use our pipeline.
Our idea

- a working instrument
- can describe media discourse across time
- keywords within topics can change over time
- trace shifts and continuities in discourse so that
- there is no need to match the topics manually by years
Key concepts

Assumption: ‘fluidity of discursive categories’ (e.g. cultural rights)

Topics are defined as clusters of local semantic networks that are meaningful from a particular historic standpoint.

Discourse streams represent the, they are ‘the same thing from period to period, although it need not remain one thing’

In a nutshell

10k texts
Selected by keywords
From media bank

River network of topics over years
The Pipeline

- Data
  - Load data from search query

- Method
  - Text preprocessing
  - Create networks by year
  - Label
  - Cluster each network
  - Connect the closest pairs
  - Plot the clusters by year

- Validation
  - Inter-coder
  - LDA
Comparison with existing instruments

- LDA (arbitrary # of topics)
- STM (time covariate is possible, but # of topics = const)
- Dictionary-based methods (words change meanings, while topics grow and fade)
- Rule et al. applied a more complex procedure over texts of comparable length over 200 years: word co-occurences and their interconnections (directly interpretable, non-exclusiveness of terms)
Our Contribution

- The pipeline can work with short texts (100 words)
- Can work with texts of different lengths
- Mutation of key terms is allowed
- Automatic connection of closest topic across time
- Few, interpretable steps (R + Python, no black-box models)
- Graphic output, a ‘river network’
Application 1: Internet Regulation
Case features

- Integrum media bank
- 7,000+ texts
- Russian (Cyrillic alphabet)
- 2011-2017
- Variety of topics grew twice over five years

Result:

We found 7 discursive streams: evolution of streams over time + 3 shorter periods
Application 2: Labor Immigration
Case features

- Public.ru media bank
- 2011-2018
- 5,000+ texts
- in Russian
- Countries sending migrants changed
- Migration rules changed twice
- Result:
  We discovered 8 topics with one major shift
Discussion

• This pipeline can deal with politically charged, evolving, and eventful topics
• Can work well in non-Latin scripts
• Fast processing of thousands of texts with trends over time is helpful at exploratory stages of media discourse analysis
• a varying number of topics and phrases rather than words
• Fewer steps than in the original method by Rule et al.
Discussion

Limitations:

- Depends on sufficient amount of coverage
- Human coders are to manually label the clusters: there are similarly-looking topics; some expertise is necessary for interpretation
- Reliability of clustering can be problematic (no benchmark for quality)

Next steps:

- Compare performance on a manually labelled corpus of 1k+
- Add covariates (e.g. partisanship) into pipeline
- Create a one-button app for non-coder users
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