

**National Research University Higher School of Economics  
Institute for Statistical Studies and Economics of Knowledge  
Master Programme “Science, Technology and Innovation Management  
and Policy”**

**Assessment sheet – Course Work / Master Thesis**

**Title**

---

**Author** \_\_\_\_\_

**Reviewer 1** \_\_\_\_\_

**Reviewer 2** \_\_\_\_\_

**Date received** \_\_\_\_\_

**Total Grade (TG):** \_\_\_\_

## Assessment - Written Work (WW)

*Grades for assessment: 1, 2, 3 = fail; 4, 5 = Satisfactory; 6, 7 = Good; 8, 9 = Very Good; 10 = excellent*

Grade	Criteria	Remarks
	Relationship to Topic and Literature	Relevance of work to the chosen topic
		Demonstration of an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and citation of an appropriate range of sources
		Development of clear research questions and / or hypotheses
		Relevance of research question to topic
	Methodology and results	Choice and application of well-reasoned research methods
		Correct use and employment of methods
		Clear presentation and analysis of research results
		Development, presentation and justification of adequate conclusions from research
		Preparation of answers to the research questions raised in the paper
	Quality of Communication	Clearness of expressing the case of the paper
		Communication of ideas in the paper
		Argumentation line

**WW Grade:** \_\_\_\_\_

## Assessment - Oral Defense (OD)

Reviewer 1 \_\_\_\_\_  
Reviewer 2 \_\_\_\_\_  
Defense Date \_\_\_\_\_

*Grades for assessment: 1, 2, 3 = fail; 4, 5 = Satisfactory; 6, 7 = Good; 8, 9 = Very Good; 10 = excellent*

Grade	Criteria	Remarks
	Reference to written work	Presentation of written paper summary
		Review of the written paper results
		Conclusions for future work developed
	Quality of Communication	Capability to give a clearly structured presentation
		Clear presentation of essential messages
		Evidence for statements and conclusions shown in the presentation
	Question and answer	Capability to give relevant answers to the questions

OD Grade: \_\_\_\_\_

## **Criteria for review writing**

1. Matching of the topic and contents
2. Meeting formal standards
3. Quality of literature work
4. Argumentation line of the paper
5. Quality of paper summary

## **Competences and skills developed by graduates**

**The final grade for Master Thesis expresses the degree to which the student has obtained the following capabilities:**

1. Capability to define and broadcast common goals in professional and social activities
2. Capability to search and formulate actual scientific problems in the field of management, to generalize and assess the results received by national and international researchers in the chosen area
3. Capability to formulate and check scientific hypotheses, select and substantiate tools, modern technological tools and information technologies for information processing according to the assigned scientific task in the field of management, analyse calculation data and justify conclusions
4. Capability to present the results of the conducted research as a report, an article or a presentation

**Additional capabilities are expressed in the grades achieved in courses taught in the framework of the programme:**

1. Capability to develop corporate/ business strategy and functional strategies of an organisation
2. Capability to plan and carry out projects and events aimed at implementing organisation strategies
3. Capability to use modern management technologies and develop new management technologies for raising of organisation efficiency
4. Capability to find and evaluate new market opportunities, form and assess business ideas, develop business plans for building new business
5. Capability to select and substantiate tools, modern information technologies for information processing technologies for information processing according to the assigned scientific task in the field of management, analyse calculation data and justify managerial recommendations