The article describes an approach to the metadata inclusion into Open XML and ODF documents. This metadata allows implement semantic indexing. The described solution is implemented as a software library SemanticLib that provides a uniform access to documents in these formats.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Semantic indexing of electronic documents is intended to include special structure associated with the content of documents in its metadata. Most of the currently used electronic document formats do not permit the inclusion of additional information. Electronic documents open formats Office Open XML and OpenDocument Format become increasingly popular nowadays. By author’s opinion these formats are the most promising.

II. OFFICE OPEN XML FORMAT

Office Open XML (OOXML) is a set of open formats based on ZIP and XML technologies intended for representation of electronic documents package of office applications such as spreadsheets, presentations, text documents.

In 2006 the Office Open XML was recognized as the standard ECMA-376 and 2008 as the international standard ISO/IEC 29500:2008.

Since 2007 version of Microsoft Office OOXML is the default format for all applications included in the package of Microsoft Office.

For each document type its own markup language is used:

- WordprocessingML for text documents;
- SpreadsheetML for spreadsheets;
- PresentationML for presentations.

OOXML also includes a set of specialized markup languages that can be used in documents of various types:

- Office Math Markup Language is used to represent mathematical formulas;
- DrawingML is used to represent vector graphics and diagrams.

Office Open XML uses Open Packaging Convention (OPC), created by Microsoft and intended for storing a combination of XML and binary files (eg, BMP, PNG, AVI and etc.) in a single container file.

III. OPENDOCUMENT FORMAT

OpenDocument Format (ODF) is an open document file format intended for storing and exchanging editable office documents such as spreadsheets, text documents and presentations.

ODF standard is created and supported by Committee ODF Technical Committee organization OASIS (Organization for the Advancement of Structured Information Standards). OASIS published ODF 1.0 in May 2005, Commission International Organization for Standardization / International Electrotechnical Commission ratified it in May 2006 as ISO/IEC 26300:2006, so ODF become the first international standard for office documents.

ODF was accepted as the national standard in the Russian Federation, Brazil, Croatia, Italy, Korea, South Africa, Sweden and Venezuela.

IV. APPROACH DIFFERENCES

Although both formats are based on open technologies, and are actually ZIP-archives that contain a set of XML-files defining the contents of the documents, they use very different approaches to solve the same problems and have radically different internal representation.

Format ODF reuses existing open XML standards, and introduces new ones only if it is really necessary. For example, ODF uses a subset of Dublin Core to represent document metadata, MathML to present mathematical expressions, SMIL to present multimedia content of the document, XLink to provide hyperlinks, etc. It means primarily it is easy to use this format by people already familiar with the existing methods to process XML.
The Office Open XML Format uses solutions developed by Microsoft to solve these problems, such as, Office Math Markup Language, DrawingML, etc.

V. OFFICE OPEN XML AND OPENDOCUMENT FORMAT APIs

As mentioned above, despite the same set of used technologies – XML and ZIP, Office Open XML Format and the OpenDocument Format have very different internal representation. Besides over the formats are under permanent development, there are currently several revisions of each format with very different possibilities.

For the Office Open XML they are:
• ECMA-376;
• ISO / IEC 29500:2008 Transitional;

For the OpenDocument Format they are:
• ISO / IEC 26300;
• OASIS ODF 1.1;
• OASIS ODF 1.2.

Existing software solutions designed to work with this formats are quite different. We will consider some of them.

A. Office Open XML APIs

All libraries and other software tools for working with documents in the Office Open XML Formats can be divided into two broad categories. We will reference these technologies next way:

• OPC API – low-level API, allowing to work with OPC-structure of OOXML documents, but do not provide opportunities to work with markup languages Office Open XML. Examples of those APIs are shown in Table I.

• OOXML API – high-level API, designed to work with specific markup languages (WordprocessingML, SpreadsheetML, PresentationML). Libraries and tools of this category typically are based on OPC API. Examples of OOXML APIs are shown in Table II.

B. ODF APIs

Libraries for operating with electronic documents in the ODF format can be divided into two broad categories too:

• Libraries in the ODF Toolkit. ODF Toolkit Union is the community of open source software developers. Its goal is simplifying document and document content software management.

• Third-party organizations libraries.

VI. SEMANTICLIB

It is obvious that there should be a universal approach, allowed to work with electronic documents in various formats in a standardized way.

Library SemanticLib was developed to solve this problem. The library provides a unified API for working with documents in two formats: Office Open XML and OpenDocument Format.

The core library contains an abstract model of an electronic document that is a generalization of the models used in the Office Open XML and OpenDocument Format. Schematic representation of the model is shown in Fig. 1.
Fig. 2 shows a software implementation of DOM SemanticLib.
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**Fig. 2.** SemanticLib.Core.dll interfaces to work with OOOXML and ODF documents

- **IMarkupable** interface contains properties and methods that are used for semantic markup.

- **ITextDocument** interface contains methods and properties for working with text documents, presented in a format like OOOXML, and in the format ODF.

- **IParagraph** interface contains properties and methods for working with particular paragraphs of the document.

- **IRange** interface is used for working areas with continuous text contained in paragraphs.

- **IText** interface is designed to work with particular text fragments contained in the text fields. The reason for the separation is the necessary to provide an opportunity for semantic markup of particular words in a text document.

It is worth to note that all mentioned interfaces inherit from interface IMarkupable, so the semantic markup can be used as well as at the level of the document and to its particular elements such as paragraphs, text fields and text fragments.

It was mentioned that a text document and its fragments are containers, i.e. they contain other elements:

- a text document contains a collection of paragraphs;
- each section contains a collection of text fields;
- each text area contains a collection of text fragments.

Fig. 3 shows the hierarchy of abstract classes that represent collections of text documents.
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**Fig. 3.** Collections of DOM SemanticLib

- **CustomCollection** is the base class for all collections SemanticLib. It contains the common set of properties and methods, such as, for example, adding a new item in a collection, inserting a new item in a collection, removal element of the collection, etc.

- **ParagraphCollection** represents a collection of paragraphs.

- **RangeCollection** represents a collection of text fields.

- **TextCollection** represents a collection of text fragments.

**VII. PLUG-IN SYSTEM**

In SemanticLib implementation plug-ins based architecture is used. The library core contains only a description of the document model (DOM) and implementation of the methods for processing documents of any format is contained in the plug-ins. Typically each plug-in is an implementation of SemanticLib DOM with some libraries described in paragraph V. For example, a plug-in SemanticLib.OpenXmlSdkPlugin.dll uses API Open XML SDK 2.0, a plug-in SemanticLib.LibOpcPlugin.dll contains API libOPC.

Using plug-ins using makes possible a high degree of flexibility and extensibility. If a library expire or a new one appears, developer can just replace or add a plug-in without changing the basic functions of libraries and existing code.

However, plug-in development becomes significant difficult because of the existing the variety and diversity libraries. For example, the library Office Open XML SDK 2.0 is created on the platform .NET, while the library ODFDOM is created in Java, which means a significant difficulty trying to promote interoperability between these libraries. It is also difficult to ensure interoperability between C/C++ and .NET libraries. Let’s consider how these issues are resolved in SemanticLib.

**A. C/C++ plug-ins**

Let’s see the interoperability between C/C++ and .NET code by the example LibOpcPlugin, which is the
implementation of DOM SemanticLib with libraries libOPC, written in ANSI C.

It was decided to use C++/CLI to enable interoperability between managed and unmanaged code. The main advantage of this solution is the ability to use object-oriented programming style even interacting with procedural code of libOPC. In this case plug-in consists of a set of classes that implement the interfaces of DOM SemanticLib.

B. Java plug-ins

Interoperability between Java and .NET code will be considered on the example plug-SemanticLib.OdfDomPlugin.

There are some solutions to ensure interaction between Java and .NET applications. For example, there are products of JNBridge company, which provide both in-process and inter-process (network cloud) communication.

However, in SemanticLib Open Source project jni4net was selected. Its aim is providing an in-process communication.

Deal with jni4net has several stages:

• Creating a proxy for a Java library with a special utility proxygen, which is part of jni4net.
• Creating a .NET stub, which provides the work with Java-proxy. This step is also performed using proxygen.
• Implementation of a plugin functional using the resulting stub.

This process is quite complex and requires specific skills, so it is necessary to create automation tools in future versions of SemanticLib.

C. Working with plug-ins

One of the significant advantages offered by SemanticLib, is the ability to work with a dynamic plug-ins. This feature is important if you work with a large number of different plug-ins. Plug-in manager, which is part of SemanticLib, helps user to manage the plug-ins loading process. Plugin Manager provides the following features:

• Find the required plug-ins in accordance with certain criteria, such as the name of the plug-in or the format of the document.
• Loading and unloading plug-ins.
• Viewing the meta-information about the loaded plug-ins (name, manufacturer, document format, etc.).

CONCLUSION

Semantic indexing of documents in Open XML Formats and Open Document Format can be implemented on the basis of the described solutions. The developed library is a part of the intelligent document processing project, but also can be used to solve other problems that require metadata inclusion.
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