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Practices of Suboptimal Investments in Russian Companies Depending on Life-cycle Stages

Victoria Cherkasova¹  Valentina Rechinskaya²

Abstract

The focus of this paper is the reasons of suboptimal investment policy that consists of over- or underinvestment. We consider the definitions of risk-shifting and risk avoidance effects that lead to suboptimal investments. These problems are connected with the agency conflicts in the firm between different parties: shareholders, debt holders and managers. Since the preferences of claimholders vary from one stage of the life-cycle to another, the incentives for over- and underinvestment differ in the stages of the life-cycle. The originality and the focus of this paper are the reasons for the exposure of overinvestment and underinvestment at different life-cycle stages.

The research was conducted on a sample of Russian nonfinancial companies from the period 2003-2012. This sample was divided into three life-cycle stages: growth, maturity and decline. The method of life-cycle stages identification was modified in order to use only available data and make the model more business oriented. Risk-shifting and risk avoidance, as the reasons to the problem of suboptimal investment were studied. For this purpose the estimations with one of the effects were identified. The life-cycle stages, at which the effects took place, were determined, and also the strength of risk-shifting and risk avoidance was identified with the help of the regression analysis. In addition there was considered a way to mitigate these effects. According to the results they might be eliminated by the adjustment of short-term debt level.

Keywords: Corporate Investment, Firm Value, Cost of Capital, Investment Policy, Agency Conflict, Shareholders’ Interests.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years researchers have become increasingly interested in the interrelation of the financial and investment policies which impact company performance. Therefore it is important that these decisions must be solved in complex.

Since a firm has different claimholders and each wants to maximize their yield, agency conflicts are likely to occur. If owners or managers receive lower cash flow when maximizing total value then, in the case of maximizing equity value or remuneration, they will improve their own income. Hence, the increasing interest in companies has heightened need to research the problems of underinvestment and overinvestment that decrease their value.

There are several reasons for such problems, but this study considers only two of them, namely, risk-shifting and risk avoidance effects. Both are connected with firms’ investment risk characteristics, but are based on different agency conflicts: risk-shifting concerns the owners-creditors conflict, risk avoidance - the manager-owners one. In order to cope with the problems of over- and underinvestment in detail it is important to identify the incentives to distort. Thus, the central issue of the study is to investigate risk-shifting that leads to overinvestment and risk avoidance effects that lead to underinvestment.

Considerable research has been devoted to the risk-shifting effect which encourages owners to accept risky investment projects. If a project is successful, shareholders receive a higher average return, and bondholders get fixed payments. In contrast, when the project fails, only creditors incur losses. In the case of risk avoidance, managers accept only safe investment projects in order not to lose valuable growth opportunities in case of the project’s failure and the company’s bankruptcy. Therefore in the first situation shareholders are inclined to over-invest, and in the second one managers tend to under-invest. Both cases are possible when the proportion of debt in the capital structure is high, but the influences on investment differ.

Each of these effects has been studied previously. However, they have never been fully investigated in the context of a company’s life cycle. Nevertheless the motivation for financial and investment decisions, and claimholder incentives may change depending on the stage of the firm’s development. Therefore, the power of risk-shifting and risk avoidance effects can vary from stage to stage. An understanding of this would be helpful for managers making corporate decisions.

The second feature of this paper is the study of the risk-shifting and risk avoidance effects on the example of Russian companies since they are becoming more integrated into the global capital markets. Russian companies have special nuances when they are experiencing risk avoidance and risk-shifting effects at different stages of the life cycle. There are differences between indicators of investment activity and debt burden at every phase of the firm’s development.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 contains basic concepts and review of theoretical studies on the topic. Section 3 contains the methodology of risk avoidance and risk-shifting.
study, and the life cycle of a company, comments about testing of hypotheses and the data description. Section 4 consists of empirical results and finally section 5 contains conclusions.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW
The interrelation of financial and investment decisions has been one of the most important issues of corporate finance for over half a century. The theoretical basis for this area was laid by Modigliani and Miller (1958), who argued that under an efficient capital market, the lack of taxes, bankruptcy costs, asymmetric information and agency costs, firms make decisions on financing and investing separately. However, relaxing each of the premises, it can be observed that the questions of the choice of capital structure and investment are interrelated. This theory was criticized by Jensen and Meckling (1976), Myers (1977), and later by Parrino and Weisbach (1999) who highlighted the problems of asymmetric information and the agency costs of conflicts between claimholders as the main factors determining financial and investment policies. The influence of the latter aspect of capital market imperfections on the relationship between financial and investment decisions is the focus of this paper.

There are different explanations for the relationship between capital structure and investment decisions. A significant contribution to the theory of corporate finance was made by Jensen and Meckling (1976), who proposed considering a firm in terms of the existence of groups of security holders whose interests differ. So when the debt burden of a company increases, less of the cash flow goes to shareholders and more to debt holders. In this regard, the manager, acting on behalf of owners, is more inclined to accept high-risk projects and over-invest in order to increase the average income of the shareholders. If such a project gives the expected result, the shareholder wealth increases and creditors receive fixed payments. Otherwise, no single group of security holders receives remuneration. However, the owners risk less than claimholders, i.e. shareholders transfer the risk to the shoulders of creditors trying to increase wealth at their expense. This phenomenon is called risk-shifting.

The first who classified risk avoidance as a separate reason of underinvestment were Brito and John (2002). In this case, if the debt burden is high and company has good growth opportunities managers may be inclined to reject risky investment projects and accept safer ones, even with lower NPV (Net Present Value). The reason is that managers want to save control of the company and receive all the advantages from the future growth opportunities. If they realize risky investment in conditions of high leverage the firm probably may become bankrupt and valuable growth opportunities will disappear.

These authors also considered risk avoidance with other reasons of sub-optimal investment, namely risk-shifting and risk avoidance. Brito and John proved that risk-shifting incentives may be mitigated by high growth prospects of the company and firm’s investment decisions may become more conservative. So, risk avoidance and risk-shifting are mainly connected with the company’s risk choice.

The effect of risk-shifting has received serious attention in economic literature. The existence and significance of risk-shifting were investigated by Eisdorfer (2008) in the case of companies that were experiencing financial distress. Existence of risk-shifting was later considered in financially healthy companies (Danielova, Sarkar, Hong 2013).

Contrary to this, risk avoidance has received lower popularity. Keeley (1990) and Acharya (1996) for the first time described the mechanism of risk avoidance behavior on the example of charter value. They investigated the question, why banks do not maximize the risk of their assets, even if they have insured deposits. The key answer there was that banks had valuable illiquid charters, which were hard to sell even if the bank became a bankrupt due to excessive risk taking. Further, Brito and John (2002) developed this mechanism and formed the determination of risk avoidance effect. They also proved that risk-shifting incentives could be mitigated by risk avoidance ones if the company has high growth prospects.

All studies explored the relationship of capital structure and investment regardless of the level of a company’s development, the stage of its life cycle. However, the motivation of financial and investment decisions changes depending on the life-cycle stages. The theory of business cycles is worth considering in detail.

The majority of theoretical and empirical studies of this theme are based on the biological concept of the organization, in which the passage of stages is a consistent and iterative process. All studies on concept of organizational life cycle differ one from another due to the number of life-cycle stages, key organizational structures and main drivers of organizational effectiveness triggering change of life-cycle stage (Miller 1984, Downs 1967, Scott 1971, Greiner 1972, Adizes 1979, Kimberley 1980).

The most comprehensive theory was elaborated by Adizes I. He stated that organization goes through several stages relating to its main activities (Adizes, 1979). The life of organization begins with birth stage associated with entrepreneurship activities. Administrative procedures aimed at formalization of organizational activities become main feature of maturity stage. Finally, company moves to decline stage because of stability overestimation, burdening accountability and compliance. In the current research the Adizes’s concept of corporate life cycle that includes growth, maturity and decline stages will be used.

All methods of life-cycle stages determination may be split into two major groups. The first one relies on fundamental characteristics of the company. This group may be represented by Myers’ study which chooses five parameters as determinants: strategic focus, management type, ownership type, administrative systems, and financial specifics (Myers, 1997). This method is appropriate for case studies rather than for research with panel data. Second one uses for life cycle determinants quantitative financial and non-financial data related to company’s activities.

Second group is the most common across different studies on organizational life cycle. Method proposed by Anthony and Ramesh assumes that company moving though stages of life cycle may assess its effectiveness with some quantitative criteria (Anthony J., Ramesh K. 1992). There were chosen four determinants of life-cycle stages: age of organization, growth of revenue, growth of capital expenditures, and
dividend payout ratio. Splitting the sample into percentiles groups per every factor is necessary for grading. The sum of
grades for each factor allows determining the life-cycle stage of
organization.

The financial and investment decisions of the company vary
during its development. The first stage is characterized by
unstable cash flows of long-term capital investments, and thus
the difficulty of attracting debt. When a company enters the
maturity stage, stabilizing the cash flow from operations,
borrowing becomes more affordable due to a lower required
rate of return. Going into a recession, the company usually has
a high proportion of debt in the capital structure. Significant
investment injections are also required in order to
revive the business, but the possibility of funding is reduced
or overcomes the crisis and begins a new life cycle. Thus, the
problem of risk-shifting is more likely to arise at maturity and
decline stages, when debt burden is high and there are no
good growth prospects. On the contrary, risk avoidance effect
is more common for the companies at growth and maturity
stages, where leverage is significant, but growth opportunities
still exist.

3. SAMPLE AND METHODOLOGY

3.1. Methodology and Hypotheses

There are several steps in this research. At the beginning
life-cycle stages are identified. The second step is the
determination of samples of companies that have features of
risk-shifting and risk avoidance effects at each stage of the life
cycle. Finally we identify life-cycle stages at which the effects
take place with the help of regression analysis, and also
determine the strength of these effects at each stage of the life
cycle. Now each step is going to be considered in detail.

First step is the determination of life-cycle stages for the sample
of companies. Considering the features of different methods
and data constraints, the Anthony and Ramesh concept is
used for sorting companies by life-cycle stage. This method
needs some adjustments caused by the specifics of the initial
data and by the inherent disadvantages of the approach.

Firstly, dividend payout ratio is replaced by share of retained
earnings in total assets. This replacement is necessary,
since 90% of sampled companies are ‘non-listed’ and do
not disclose information about dividends. This modification
was practiced in a study on life-cycle stages by DeAngelo,
Owen & Yawson (2010). Secondly, market share is included
into the initial concept, since this parameter is important for
determining corporate strategy. The increase of market share
is associated with the growth stage, retention of market share
refers to maturity, and decrease of market share is associated
with decline stage.

The initial concept of Anthony and Ramesh reflected only
related stages of life cycle. Even though the sample consists
of growing companies, it is split into growing, mature, and
declining companies. In order to avoid this bias, the shift
to determination of absolute stages is needed – revenues
growth, investment growth, and retained earnings share to be
recalculated using industry average values. Firstly, industry
indicators are calculated on the basis of NACE-codes. Secondly, indicators of every company are adjusted with a
calculated industry average. For each industry there is one
constant average. It helps to prevent the influence of industry
trends that occur from year to year and account only
constant effect. This modification allows taking into account
industry specifics in the research and is important for the two
indicators on top. Companies of capital-intensive industries
have typically double-digit capital expenditures growth. In
contrast, companies of the service sector are not as capital-
intensive. This does not necessarily mean that companies of
capital-intensive industries refer to the growth stage and the
service companies to the decline stage. Industry specifics may
be important for retained earnings share in total assets. Some
industries may apply a financial margin of safety if company
indicators either are volatile or depend highly on the business
environment. For such companies it seems to be relevant to
have some excessive retained earnings for covering liabilities
and not to jeopardize business activities.

In total, five indicators for distributing companies among life-
cycle stages were used: age (Age), capital expenditures
adjusted for industry average (Investment Growth adjusted),
revenues growth adjusted for industry average (Revenue
Growth adjusted), retain earnings share in total assets adjusted
for industry average (Retained Earnings adjusted), and incremental
market share (Change of Market Share).

\[ \text{Age} = \text{Current Date} - \text{Date of Foundation} \]

where Date of Foundation - date of foundation, detected in
Ruslana Bureau Van Dijk;

\[ \text{Investment Growth adjusted} = \frac{\text{Capex}}{\text{Capex}_{\text{industry}}} \tag{2} \]

where Capex – yearly value of company’s capital expenditures;

\[ \text{Revenue Growth adjusted} = \frac{\text{Revenue}}{\text{Revenue}_{\text{industry}}} \tag{3} \]

where Revenue – yearly value of company’s revenue;

\[ \text{Retained Earnings adjusted} = \frac{\text{RE/TA}_{\text{industry}}}{\text{RE/TA}} \tag{4} \]

where RE/TA – yearly ratio of company’s retained earnings;

\[ \text{Change of Market Share} = \frac{\text{Revenue}}{\text{Industry Revenue}_{\text{industry}}}, \frac{\text{Revenue}_{\text{industry}}}{\text{Revenue}_{\text{industry}-1}} \tag{5} \]

Where Revenue – yearly value of company’s revenue,

Industry Revenue – yearly market value;

Then, all companies on the yearly basis are ranked according
to the calculated indicators. For each observation, a specific
grade is assigned (see Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Investment/</th>
<th>Revenue</th>
<th>Retained</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Growth of the market share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total assets (in %, correct)</td>
<td>growth (in %, correct)</td>
<td>earnings/</td>
<td></td>
<td>(in %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total assets (in %, correct)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ methodology

Summarizing grades for all indicators final rating of every
observation is calculated. Marks from 5 to 7 correspond to the
decline stage, from 9 to 11 - to the maturity stage, from 13 to 15
- to the growth stage. For dividing the companies into life-cycle
stages, the modification of Jenkins (2006) is used to exclude
According to La Rocca & Gerace’s methodology, other empirical research, and general notion, the growth potential of a company is measured by indicators from market data. The most popular one is Market-to-Book index. It shows how many times the market capitalization of the company exceeds the book value of equity holders’ funds. If this ratio is high, it means that investors who buy the company’s shares are ready to buy much more than they can get if the firm becomes a bankrupt. This situation happens if the company is thought to have high potential of growth and therefore could bring high income to shareholders. However, most of Russian firms are closed joint-stock companies. This fact makes it impossible to use market data to measure growth potential. Therefore in this study growth potential is calculated as the percentage change in value of company’s capital, excluding extra cash that often is used to settle the liabilities.

\[ \text{Growth potential} = \frac{\Delta(\text{Total Equity} + \text{Total Debt} - \text{Cash}) - \Delta\text{Industry average}(\text{Total Equity} + \text{Total Debt} - \text{Cash})}{\Delta\text{EBITDA}_{t-1}} \]  

Since both effects are connected with the company’s investment risk, additional conditions for their identification are needed. The right way to determine risk-shifting and risk-avoidance problems is to control the growth of the operating risk as long as the investment increases. Therefore, for risk-shifting identification it is necessary to select those companies that have investment level and project’s risk growth simultaneously (Mao 2003; Danielova, Sarkar 2013). The opposite relation is used for risk avoidance identification. In this case the more the company invests, the safer the projects are. So, it is necessary to select companies that have investment level growth and at the same time the project’s risk decreases. In this study the measure of risk is EBITDA volatility, which is calculated as follows:

\[ \text{EBITDA volatility}_{t} = \frac{\Delta\text{EBITDA}_{t}}{\Delta\text{EBITDA}_{t-1}} \]  

where EBITDA – Earnings before interest, tax, depreciation and amortization.

In this case Investmentgrowth, that is not adjusted for industry average values is used.

Finally, estimations are selected according to following method (see Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index/Effect</th>
<th>Risk-shifting</th>
<th>Risk avoidance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[\text{EBITDA volatility}_{t}]</td>
<td>&gt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment growth</td>
<td>&gt;0</td>
<td>&lt;0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ methodology

The model that identifies the relation between debt burden and investment is the following:

where Investment and Investment are calculated as in equation (2),
H3. The effect of risk avoidance is more likely to occur at the stage of growth and maturity stages than at decline one.

Short-term debt is considered as a way of risk-shifting elimination. Leland (1998) and Ericsson (2000) and Djembissi (2008) suggested that debt maturity and debt covenants are those things that affect equity holders’ incentives to shift risk onto creditors. In this case short-term debt plays a disciplining role for owners and mitigates the risk-shifting effect. Also another logic is applicable: for example, the less the maturity of corporate bonds, the less their price is sensitive to the risk of investment projects. Therefore companies that have incentives for risk-shifting should restructure debt in the context of its maturity. Thus, the companies that experience risk-shifting are more likely to have debt with longer maturity.

H4. Companies that experience risk-shifting have on average smaller share of short-term debt at maturity and decline stages.

Another logic might be applicable for companies that experience risk avoidance. These companies underinvest, because they do not want to lose valuable growth opportunities due to failure of risky investment project. In this case if such firms have relatively high share of short-term debt, there is a significant cash outflow for debt holders and many investment projects might be rejected. The extending of debt maturity probably can help these companies to realize investment projects and get financial results before debt repayment that significantly reduces the probability of bankruptcy. Therefore enlargement of the debt maturity may be a solution to the risk avoidance problem.

H5. Companies that experience risk avoidance have on average a larger share of short-term debt at growth and maturity stages.

It is also interesting to test the hypothesis concerning the structure of ownership, bargaining power that has this or that type of claimholders, since it highly correlated with the severity of the agency conflict. However, there is no such available data for Russian companies. These hypotheses can be tested with the example of other emerging countries, and by using other databases.

3.2: Data and Sample Characteristics

In order to carry out the analysis, financial and non-financial information from the database Ruslana Bureau Van Dijk is used. The sample contains only Russian companies and the estimated period is from 2003 to 2012.

At first the sample has been refined from companies of the financial sector, since they have disparate with other industries share of debt in capital. Firms from the sector of social services, and national and governmental organizations have been excluded too. In this study NACE-code is the base of industries classification. Also the filter on the form of incorporation has been set. Only opened and closed joint stock companies are present in a sample.

Also holding groups’ members have been excluded from the sample, because such companies do not have independent financial and investment policies. For this purpose the indicator Global Ultimate Owner (GUO) is used. It means that if a single
Guo possess 50% and 1 share in more than 1 company, all the dependent organizations are excluded from the sample. At the next step minimal value for revenues and assets was defined on the level of 1 million USD. There are two reasons for limitation:

• it excludes from the analysis small companies with some important parameters unavailable (capital structure, retained earnings);

• it helps to exclude the companies that are actually on the seed stage and get out of scope, since Anthony and Ramesh concept is appropriate only for growth, maturity, and decline stage.

Finally, the sample contains information about 9884 companies, which can be divided into several groups according to belonging to particular industry. The composition is presented in the Chart 1. More than 40% of all companies in a sample belong to the manufacturing sector. Also significant shares have construction (12%), agriculture, forestry and fishing (10%), wholesale and retail (10%), transportation and storage (9%). It could be concluded, that the industry structure of the sample is quite diversified. The study is presented in the Chart 1. More than 40% of all companies in a sample belong to the manufacturing sector. Also significant shares have construction (12%), agriculture, forestry and fishing (10%), wholesale and retail (10%), transportation and storage (9%). It could be concluded, that the industry structure of the sample is quite diversified. The study suggests the use of panel data, therefore pooled regression methodology is applied.

This procedure is based on the methodology of Antony and Ramesh that has been mentioned above. As a result 64070 company-year observations contain:

• 5331 company-year observations at the growth stage;

• 30975 company-year observations at the maturity stage;

• 5331 company-year observations at the decline stage;

• 17536 observations are omitted, since they have boundary final index (8 or 12) (see Table 4).

These figures are consistent with the fact that the majority of Russian firms were founded after privatization in 1990s and now they are mature companies.

Further, the first hypothesis is tested for determination of stages on which the effects mostly take place. In order to conduct this procedure, a t-test with different variances is used. It compares average levels of debt burden at each life-cycle stage. Table 5 contains the results of the test.

The difference between average levels of Debt ratio in growth and maturity stages is insignificant. However, it becomes more obvious when comparing average levels of Debt ratio of maturity and decline stages. It can be interpreted as an increase in debt in capital structure when the company moves from a growth stage to a maturity one, and then to the stage of decline. Since the investigated problems are harder when the debt burden is larger, it can be assumed that the risk-shifting and risk avoidance problems are likely to take place in later stages of the life cycle. These results and conclusions are used in further testing of other hypotheses.

Now hypotheses 2 and 3 must be tested in order to determine the extent of the effects with the help of the model (10) on panel data on each subsample that referred to life-cycle stage. At this stage, company-year observations that probably have one of the effects, risk-shifting or risk avoidance, are identified. As a result there is following structure of estimations for each of the effects (see Table 6). It is partially proportional to the distribution of companies according to life-cycle stages stated above.

The 2nd hypothesis that concerns risk-shifting effect is confirmed. The model (10) gives following results. A positive dependence of debt level on investment can be observed at all the life-cycle stages. The level of coefficients before Debt ratio reflects the strength of dependence on Investment and it increases from stage to stage. The relation is weak at the growth stage and then its strength improves at the maturity stage. At the stage of decline the positive dependence between debt and investment is the strongest. In other words the

Table 4: The Distribution of Estimations through the Period and According the Stages of the Life-cycle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Number of obs</th>
<th>t-statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Growth</td>
<td>-0.0016</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>5331</td>
<td>0.8399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maturity</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>30975</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decline</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>30975</td>
<td>-22.003***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ calculations

Levels of significance: * - 0.1, ** - 0.05, *** - 0.01.

Table 5: The Results of Testing the 1st Hypothesis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Risk-shifting</th>
<th>Risk avoidance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Growth</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>218</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maturity</td>
<td>907</td>
<td>543</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decline</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>128</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Ruslana Bureau Van Dijk, authors’ calculations
risk-shifting effect becomes harder when a company moves from one life-cycle stage to another. However, it is hard to conclude that the risk-shifting effect is relevant for high growth companies than for others, because such positive relation may exist due to low cost of debt since investors’ expectations are high; and this has no relationship with the agency conflict. These results also correspond to Daniellova and Sarkar’s logic (Danielova, Sarkar, 2013). Risk-shifting might be typical not only for companies experiencing financial distress, which are often at the decline stage, but also for ‘healthy companies’ at the maturity stage.

The 3rd hypothesis is also confirmed. There is a strong negative relation between debt ratio and investment at the growth stage of the life cycle. At the maturity stage the relation becomes weaker. However, at the stage of decline negative effect does not take place and risk avoidance is mitigated by risk-shifting incentives. It can be concluded that the risk avoidance effect takes place only at the 2 first stages and decreases as a company moves from growth to maturity.

Thus, it can be concluded that risk-shifting and risk avoidance problems take place at different stages of the life cycle. Risk-shifting is more common for companies at the maturity and decline, and risk avoidance appears mainly at growth and maturity. In addition, risk-shifting is harder for companies at the decline stage, while – risk avoidance is harder for companies at the growth stage (see Table 7).

| Table 7: Determination of Risk-shifting and Risk Avoidance Effects |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Dependent variable | Investment | |
| Effect | Risk-shifting | Risk avoidance |
| Stage | Growth | Maturity | Decline | Growth | Maturity | Decline |
| Intercept estimate | 0.125*** | 0.079*** | 0.046*** | -0.255*** | 0.015* | -0.160*** |
| Debt ratio | 0.032*** | 0.049* | 0.099* | -0.222*** | -0.066* | 0.164*** |
| Value growth ratio | 0.001 | 0.001* | -0.001** | 0.001 | 0.001 | -0.001*** |
| BITDA | -0.046** | -0.124** | 0.008 | -0.355*** | 0.181* | 0.050 |
| Investment | -0.011*** | 0.073*** | -0.008 | -0.037 | -0.011* | -0.011 |
| Number of obs. | 266 | 907 | 131 | 218 | 543 | 128 |
| R-squared | 0.271 | 0.265 | 0.316 | 0.284 | 0.213 | 0.279 |
| Prob>F | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |

Levels of significance: * - 0.1, ** - 0.05, *** - 0.01. Source: Authors’ calculations 1 – t-statistics.

Finally, testing of the hypotheses connected with short-term debt influence on the strength of risk-shifting and risk avoidance is held. It is considered as one of the decisions to these problems. The analysis is based on the stages at which risk-shifting and risk avoidance have been identified: maturity and decline stages for risk-shifting, growth and maturity stages for risk avoidance. For testing a two-sample t-test with different variances is used. The average values of indicator of the sample of companies that engage effect are compared with average values of indicator of the initial sample. Short-term debt ratio is calculated as follows:

\[
STD \text{ ratio} = \frac{Short - term \text{ Debt}_t}{Total \text{ Assets}_t} \tag{11}
\]

Then it is averaged at industry level and in this way average industry values are calculated. Therefore the estimations here will be industry-year.

In this test H0 suggests that the difference of mean values equals to 0. It means that if H0 is confirmed, there are no grounds to conclude that on average companies engaging risk-shifting or risk-avoidance have higher or lower level of the stated above indicators than all companies in the sample.

The 4th hypothesis is confirmed at both stages, maturity and decline. The result can be interpreted as following. Companies that experience risk-shifting have a lower share of short-term debt on average. Therefore the reducing of short-term debt share can be considered as a solution to the risk-shifting problem. This conclusion is more relevant for declining companies than for those at the maturity stage.

The 5th hypothesis is confirmed at stages of growth and decline. It means that firms engaging risk avoidance have a higher share of short-term debt on average; and it will be better for such companies to enlarge debt maturity in order to have more time to implement investment projects before debt repayment.

The results of testing hypotheses about the short-term debt influence on risk-shifting and risk avoidance problems are summarized in Table 8.

| Table 8: The Results of Testing 4th and 5th Hypothesis |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Stage | 4th hypothesis (risk-shifting) | 5th hypothesis (risk avoidance) |
| Mean (r.s.) | Mean (i.s.) | N.obs | Mean (r.s.) | Mean (i.s.) | N.obs |
| Growth | - | - | - | 1.997** | 0.513 | 0.509 | 40 |
| Maturity | 1.981* | 0.397 | 0.436 | 62 | 1.993** | 0.491 | 0.429 | 47 |
| Decline | 2.011** | 0.289 | 0.378 | 38 | - | - | - |

Levels of significance: * - 0.1, ** - 0.05, *** - 0.01. Mean (r.s.) – average company short-term debt among observations that tend to have risk-shifting; Mean (r.s.) – average company short-term debt among observations that tend to have risk avoidance; Mean (i.s.) – average value of short-term debt among the initial sample. N.obs - Number of observations in 4 and 5 hypotheses – industry-year Source: Authors’ calculations

5. CONCLUSION

Such reasons of overinvestment and underinvestment as risk-shifting and risk avoidance effects seem to have a serious negative impact on a company’s performance, especially on its value. Both effects mostly occur under the conditions of the high proportion of debt in the capital structure and low availability of cash flow. The only difference is the level of growth potential: for companies that experience risk-shifting...
it must be low, and for companies engaging risk avoidance - high. However, the influences of debt on the investment are different: when risk avoidance occurs, less investment projects are approved, since managers do not want to lose valuable growth opportunities due to failure of risky investment projects. This situation leads to underinvestment. On the contrary, equity holders tend to overinvest even in risky projects, when there is a significant share of debt. It gives possibility to receive higher income in case of investment project success, and lose less in the case of failure. This situation leads to overinvestment. Since these agency conflicts are connected with risk, but cause overinvestment and underinvestment, it is better to investigate the risk-shifting and risk avoidance effects simultaneously. The originality of the study is the linkage of these problems with the life cycle, and the investigation into the strength of their occurrence at different stages.

The testing of the formulated hypotheses gives the right to conclude that risk-shifting and risk avoidance problems take place at different levels of firm development: risk-shifting is more common for later life-cycle stages, and risk avoidance occurs at growth and maturity stages. It is also stated that risk-shifting is stronger at the decline stage, while risk avoidance has more power at the growth stage of the life cycle.

The ways of mitigating these effects are also investigated. The conducted analysis gives the right to conclude that companies experiencing risk-shifting effect have lower share of short-term debt on average, and this is more applicable for firms at the decline stage. For such companies it would be better to enlarge the share of short-term debt since it could cause a disciplining effect on the owners’ behavior. Contrary, firms engaging in risk avoidance have a higher share of short-term debt on average, and this is more relevant for companies at the maturity stage. Longer debt maturity would help such firms to implement more investment projects before debt repayment, and finally decrease the probability of bankruptcy.

It was stated that the strength of risk-shifting and risk avoidance effects and investigated way of their mitigation vary from stage to stage. This understanding might be helpful for top-management while making corporate decisions. It is also interesting to study risk-shifting and risk avoidance effects using the example of Russian companies since they are becoming more integrated into the global capital markets.
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