## Group Term Paper Review Form

Students:

Title:

Research Advisor:

**GENERAL REQUIREMENTS**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **OK or (1-3) or «-2 points»** | | **Guidelines** |
| Clear cross-border component is present |  | | •“yes” - OK  •“no” – unsatisfactory grade (1-3) |
| Certain managerial decision-makingaspects are defined |  | | •“yes” – OK  •“no” - unsatisfactory grade (1-3) |
| Relevant numerical data and financial results are provided |  | | • both are present – OK  • only numerical data are present (no financial results; where required) – minus 2 points from FINAL GRADE  • none are present – unsatisfactory grade (1-3) |
| Size of the Paper |  | | • deviation within 10% of the required size – OK  • deviation of more than 10% of the required size – minus 2 points from FINAL GRADE  • deviation of more than 20% of the required size – unsatisfactory grade (1-3) |
| **NOTE: unsatisfactory grade is considered FINAL, no further evaluation required** | | | |
| **EVALUATION**  **Student 1:** | | | |
|  | **Points**  **(0-10)** | **Comments** | |
| **Introduction** | | | |
| Knowledge gap in current research is identified |  |  | |
| Object, subject, hypothesis/ research question, goals and tasks are valid |  |  | |
| **Body of the Paper** | | | |
| Logically structured, topic thoroughly explored (balanced combination of theory and analysis) |  |  | |
| Adequacy and completeness of theoretical background on the topic |  |  | |
| Relevance, sufficiency and depth of primary analysis |  |  | |
| Clear evidential support for findings is provided |  |  | |
| **Conclusion** | | | |
| Problems stated in the title were solved / key questions were answered |  |  | |
| **Format of the Paper** | | | |
| Proper formatting (titles, headings, etc.), grammar and syntax used |  |  | |
| References within text and in bibliography correctly cited |  |  | |
|  | | | |
| **FINAL GRADE (may differ from the mean value)** |  |  | |

|  |
| --- |
| **Overall Comments**  Strengths: |
| Weaknesses/Suggestions: |

**Student 2:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Points**  **(0-10)** | **Comments** |
| **Introduction** | | |
| Knowledge gap in current research is identified |  |  |
| Object, subject, hypothesis, goals and tasks are valid |  |  |
| **Body of the Paper** | | |
| Logically structured, topic thoroughly explored (balanced combination of theory and analysis) |  |  |
| Adequacy and completeness of theoretical background on the topic |  |  |
| Relevance, sufficiency and depth of primary analysis |  |  |
| Clear evidential support for findings is provided |  |  |
| **Conclusion** | | |
| Problems stated in the title were solved / key questions were answered |  |  |
| **Format of the Paper** | | |
| Proper formatting (titles, headings, etc.), grammar and syntax used |  |  |
| References within text and in bibliography correctly cited |  |  |
|  | | |
| **FINAL GRADE (may differ from the mean value)** |  |  |
|  | | |
| **Overall Comments**  Strengths: | | |
| Weaknesses/Suggestions: | | |

Research Advisor’s Signature: